# IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Digital Repository

Graduate Theses and Dissertations

Iowa State University Capstones, Theses and Dissertations

2013

# Promoting wellness in youth through experiential learning at summer camp

Elizabeth Anne Mabary *Iowa State University* 

Follow this and additional works at: https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/etd Part of the <u>Public Health Education and Promotion Commons</u>

**Recommended** Citation

Mabary, Elizabeth Anne, "Promoting wellness in youth through experiential learning at summer camp" (2013). *Graduate Theses and Dissertations*. 13530. https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/etd/13530

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Iowa State University Capstones, Theses and Dissertations at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Graduate Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.



Promoting wellness in youth through experiential learning at summer camp

by

Elizabeth Anne Mabary

A thesis submitted to the graduate faculty

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

# MASTER OF SCIENCE

Major: Nutritional Sciences

Program of Study Committee: Ruth Litchfield, Major Professor Lorraine Lanningham-Foster Daniel Russell

Iowa State University

Ames, Iowa

2013

Copyright © Elizabeth Anne Mabary, 2013. All rights reserved.



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ABSTRACT	V
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	1
Background	1
Goals and Objectives	2
Thesis Organization	2
CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
Introduction	3
Social Cognitive Theory	4
Predictors of Fruit and Vegetable Consumption	6
Personal Agency	6
Self-Efficacy	6
Preferences	7
Knowledge and Awareness	7
Experiential Learning	8
Proxy Agency	9
Proxy-Efficacy	9
Home Food Environment	9
Parental Modeling	10
Household Income	11
Parenting Styles	12
Early Exposure and Intervention	12
Garden	13
Peer-Modeling	14
Collective Agency	



Environment	15
Conclusion	16
CHAPTER III: METHODS	18
Introduction	18
Data Collection	18
Camper Information	19
Camper Survey	19
Home Environment Survey	20
Subjects	20
Procedures	21
Intervention	22
Data Analysis	25
Statistical Analysis for Manuscript One (Chapter IV)	25
Statistical Analysis for Manuscript Two (Chapter V)	27
CHAPTER IV: CAN AN IMMERSION IN WELLNESS CAMP INFLUENCE YOUTH HEALTH BEHAVIORS?	29
Abstract	29
Introduction	30
Methods	31
Data Collection	32
Intervention	33
Data Analysis	34
Results	36
Discussion	38
References	50



MYPLATE AND DIETARY GUIDELINES FOR AMERICANS?	56		
Abstract	56		
Introduction	57		
Methods	58		
Data Collection	59		
Data Analysis	59		
Results	61		
Discussion	63		
References	71		
CONCLUSIONS	76		
APPENDIX A: INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD APPROVAL	77		
APPENDIX B: CAMPER INFORMATION	78		
APPENDIX C: CAMPER SURVEY	79		
APPENDIX D: HOME ENVIRONMENT SURVEY	84		
APPENDIX E: RECRUITMENT AND COVER LETTERS	106		
APPENDIX F: INFORMED CONSENT DOCUMENTS	110		
APPENDIX G: RESEARCH PARTICIPANT RECEIPT FORM	117		
APPENDIX H: CAMP PUBLICATIONS	118		
REFERENCES	122		
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS			



CILADTED V.

A DE VOLTULDIETA DV DATTEDNE MEETING CUDDENT

# ABSTRACT

v

*Background:* Overweight adolescents are more likely to be overweight or obese in adulthood and are subsequently at greater risk for chronic diseases. The development and complications of overweight and obesity as well as some chronic diseases such as heart disease, cancer, stroke, hypertension, and diabetes may be lessened by consumption of a healthier diet, specifically increasing fruit and vegetable (FV) consumption. Less than 10% of the United States population meets FV recommendations, with the lowest levels of consumption among adolescents. Interventions are needed to address and improve FV consumption.

*Methods:* The Immersion in Wellness project was funded by the Wellmark Foundation and was conducted at the Iowa 4-H Center near Madrid, Iowa during the summer of 2012 and 2013. The project goal was to immerse campers in a five day intervention focusing on nutrition, culinary and gardening topics to improve health behaviors and construct a healthy lifestyle. Intervention campers received gardening, culinary, and nutrition education over the five-day immersion experience and were provided a take-home kit in an effort to influence the home environment after leaving camp. Control campers received the traditional camp experience; no educational lessons or take home kit were provided.

*Results:* At six-month post-camp the intervention campers did not score significantly higher in FV self-efficacy, nutrition knowledge, or FV preferences compared to the control group. However, the intervention group did experience a greater increase in their most preferred home food environment (p<0.05) and garden vegetable



intake (p<0.10). There was no statistical difference between genders in the likelihood of meeting age specific FV recommendations, however age did influence whether specific FV recommendations were met.

*Conclusions:* Overall, FV consumption was low and Iowa youth are likely not meeting daily potassium, dietary fiber, and calcium requirements. As for future research, the home food environment may influence FV self-efficacy, nutrition knowledge, FV preferences as well as FV intakes. Interventions should target improving FV preferences and FV self-efficacy to positively influence FV intake.



# **CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION**

# Background

The future health of Americans is concerning as more youth are becoming overweight or obese (Daniels et al., 2005; Ogden, Carroll, Kit, & Flegal, 2012; Schwimmer, Burwinkle, & Varni, 2003; Whitlock, Williams, Gold, Smith, & Shipman, 2005). Chronic diseases such as heart disease, cancer, stroke, hypertension, and diabetes can develop due to complications of overweight and obesity and may be lessened by consumption of a healthier diet, specifically increasing fruit and vegetable (FV) consumption (Bazzano, 2006; Daniels et al., 2005; Hung et al., 2004; Kimmons, Gillespie, Seymour, Serdula, & Blanck, 2009; Magarey, Daniels, Boulton, & Cockington, 2003; Ness & Powles, 1997; Steinmetz & Potter, 1996; Van Duyn & Pivonka, 2000). Consumption of FV is inadequate among age all groups, ethnicities, and gender throughout the United States (US) (Kimmons et al., 2009; Yeh et al., 2008). During growth and development, youth should especially meet nutrient needs through consumption of FV (Koletzko, De la Guéronnière, Toschke, & Von Kries, 2004).

Nutrition interventions can increase awareness, educate, promote and boost FV consumption among all individuals, especially youth. However, the best method to increase consumption and variety of FV consumed, as well as sustain this behavior, is controversial within the literature. Ultimately, FV consumption relies on a multitude of factors including the individual, parent, and surrounding environment.



## **Goals and Objectives**

**Goal 1:** Examine Immersion in Wellness influence on campers six-months following the camp experience

Objective 1: Collect surveys at baseline, post-camp, and six-months postcamp to determine the sustainable influence camp had on participants. *Objective 2:* Determine the health behaviors that are most likely influenced and sustainable at six-month post-camp through experiential learning.

Goal 2: Examine factors influencing FV consumption among Immersion in

Wellness participants.

Objective 1: Collect surveys at baseline, post-camp, and six-months post-

camp to determine potential associations of FV consumption.

Objective 2: Determine potential target components to increase FV

consumption for future research.

# **Thesis Organization**

This thesis starts with a review of literature focusing on predictors of FV consumption among youth. The second section pertains to the methodology behind the research procedures followed by two manuscripts. The final section contains overall conclusions, appendices, references, and acknowledgements.



# **CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

#### Introduction

Progressively, more youth are overweight or obese, mounting concern for the future health of Americans (Daniels et al., 2005; Ogden, Carroll, Kit, & Flegal, 2012; Schwimmer, Burwinkle, & Varni, 2003; Whitlock, Williams, Gold, Smith, & Shipman, 2005). Ogden and collegues (2010) using Center for Disease Control and Prevention growth charts, suggest a threefold increase in overweight and obesity among youth has occurred over a time span of twenty years. Overweight adolescents are more likely to be overweight or obese in adulthood and are subsequently at greater risk for chronic diseases (Dietz, 1998a, 1998b; Magarey, Daniels, Boulton, & Cockington, 2003). The development and complications of overweight and obesity as well as some chronic diseases such as heart disease, cancer, stroke, hypertension, and diabetes may be lessened by consumption of a healthier diet, specifically increasing FV consumption (Bazzano, 2006; Daniels et al., 2005; Hung et al., 2004; Kimmons, Gillespie, Seymour, Serdula, & Blanck, 2009; Magarey et al., 2003; Ness & Powles, 1997; Steinmetz & Potter, 1996; Van Duyn & Pivonka, 2000).

Inadequate fruit and vegetable (FV) consumption among all age groups, ethnicities, and gender is of great concern throughout the United States (Kimmons et al., 2009; Yeh et al., 2008). Data collected from 14 worldwide geographical regions suggest insufficient FV intake may account for more than two and half million fatalities annually (Lock, Pomerleau, Causer, Altmann, & McKee, 2005). Less than 10% of the United States (US) population meet individual FV recommendations, with the lowest levels of consumption among adolescents (Kimmons et al., 2009; Lorson, Melgar-Quinonez, &



Taylor, 2009). Adolescents believe they are eating enough FV to meet current recommendations, but in reality, they are not (Sheehy & Dharod, 2008). A press release from the National Institutes of Health (2013) indicated less than 33% of adolescents aged 11 to 16 years old eat FV daily. A review of 98 papers suggests as children become older, FV consumption decreases (Rasmussen et al., 2006). As FV consumption decreases, a notable rise in the consumption of solid fats and added sugars, as well as portion size has been observed (Koletzko, de la Guéronnière, Toschke, & von Kries, 2004; Munoz, Krebs-Smith, Ballard-Barbash, & Cleveland, 1997; Nielsen, 2003). Failing to meet nutrient needs provided by FV during growth is especially of concern among youth (Koletzko et al., 2004).

Just 0.9% of boys and girls, age 12-18, met calorie specific FV recommendations (Kimmons et al., 2009). Consuming nutrient dense vegetables such as legumes, dark green and deep orange colors should be encouraged amongst youth (Guenther, Dodd, Reedy, & Krebs-Smith, 2006; Krebs-Smith et al., 1996). Unfortunately, starchy vegetables such as fried potatoes and processed tomato products, including pizza sauce, contribute over half of all vegetable intake among adolescents (Kimmons et al., 2009). Consuming a variety of vegetables not only provides nutrients to support normal growth and development, but also significantly reduces the risk of chronic disease (USDA and HHS, 2010).

### **Social Cognitive Theory**

Nutrition interventions can increase awareness, educate, promote and boost FV consumption among all individuals, especially youth. However, the best method to increase consumption and variety of FV consumed, as well as sustain this behavior, is



controversial within the literature. The Social Cognitive Theory (SCT) (Figure 1) is a psychological model frequently used in assessing health behaviors and outcomes (Geller & Dzewaltowski, 2010). Personal, proxy, and collective agency are proposed in this model as constructs used to make



decisions as an individual or in collaboration with others (Bandura, 2000). Actions that are completed purposely are considered an agency, these actions could be positive or negative to the individual (Bandura, 2001).

Personal agency directs and encourages individual decisions (Bandura, 1997). An individual, or agent, must be self-driven and willing to implement action to see change (Bandura, 2001). Bandura (2001) states, "Efficacy beliefs are the foundation of human agency." Proxy agency is the act of using others to reach personal needs (Bandura, 2000). All individuals rely on this agency throughout their lives and even daily to effectively meet personal necessities and desires (Bandura, 2001); one's perceived efficacy determines their ability and comfort of proxy agency (Bandura, 2001). Collective agency depends upon mutual desires for greater change (Bandura, 1997, 2000). This agency functions on common principles and acting collectively as a group (Bandura, 2001).

Children tend to use personal and proxy agency to meet their needs through personal decisions and parental guidance (Bandura, 2001), whereas collective agency is needed to change the environment as a whole. Multidimensional interventions that address all three agencies are necessary to change consumption behaviors significantly



and be successful in targeting a large, diverse audience with wide-reaching interventions (Patrick & Nicklas, 2005).

# **Predictors of Fruit and Vegetable Consumption**

#### **Personal Agency**

#### Self-Efficacy

Geller and Dzewaltowski (2010) used data from the Healthy Opportunities for Physical Activity and Nutrition project to examine self-efficacy relative to FV intake. Results suggest FV consumption was related to the child's self-efficacy for consuming FV. Similar results were reported by a cross-sectional study of 422 middle school students where school lunch FV consumption correlated with FV self-efficacy (Thompson, Bachman, Baranowski, & Cullen, 2007). In a study of 145 alternative high school students, decreasing perceived health barriers was important to not only increase self-efficacy but also boost FV consumption (Bruening, Kubik, Kenyon, Davey, & Story, 2010). Web-based research on 1,606 Australian adolescents also indicated higher selfefficacy was positively correlated with FV consumption (Pearson, Ball, & Crawford, 2012). Finally, a randomized controlled trial comparing a social-cognitive intervention with a knowledge-based intervention in 114 participants found self-efficacy was more likely to promote change in FV consumption than education alone (Kreausukon, Gellert, Lippke, & Schwarzer, 2012).

Self-efficacy can be increased with interventions designed to instill specific behaviors such as asking for more FV at meals or snacks, asking parents to buy favorite FVs while shopping, or the skills to prepare FV snacks (Baranowski et al., 1993; Pearson et al., 2012; Sandeno, Wolf, Drake, & Reicks, 2000). While studies discuss the benefits of high self-efficacy for FV, perceived barriers such as cost and time to prepare FV can



negatively impact self-efficacy (Bruening et al., 2010). Self-efficacy is an important factor in FV consumption; however, food preferences likely predict FV intake as well (Reynolds, Hinton, Shewchuk, & Hickey, 1999; Van Duyn et al., 2001).

#### Preferences

Domel et al. (1996) administered a FV self-efficacy questionnaire to 392 fourth and fifth grade students. Results suggest FV preferences may have greater influence on FV consumption than self-efficacy, although other studies indicate FV preferences do not regulate FV consumption (Domel, Baranowski, Hunter, Leonard, & Riley, 1993). Resnicow and colleagues (1997) also suggest children's intake is more likely influenced by preference than self-efficacy. In fact, FV preferences have been identified as the strongest predictor of consumption (Brug, Tak, te Velde, Bere, & de Bourdeaudhuij, 2008) and may be more beneficial than nutrition education or increased knowledge (Harvey-Berino et al., 1997). Exposing children to a variety of FV early in life increases preferences for FV that may carry into adulthood (Birch, 1999; Domel et al., 1993; Kristjansdottir et al., 2006; Larson, Laska, Story, & Neumark-Sztainer, 2012; Nicklas et al., 2001; Patrick & Nicklas, 2005; Wardle, 1995; Wolfe & Campbell, 1993). Ultimately, behavior change interventions are needed to incorporate repeated exposure of FV to young children (Harvey-Berino et al., 1997; Schindler, Corbett, & Forestell, 2013); parental based interventions have also been suggested to control the home environment. Accessibility of FVs and parental FV preferences are positively correlated with their children's FV consumption (Bere & Klepp, 2004).

#### Knowledge and Awareness

Research by Van Duyn et al. (2001) indicated a 22% increase in adults' FV consumption with awareness of the 5 A Day for Better Health program. Evidence in the



literature suggests this is also true of children. Children with higher knowledge (Reynolds, Hinton, et al., 1999; Wardle, 1995) and awareness of FV recommendations (Bere & Klepp, 2005; Sandeno et al., 2000) have reported higher FV consumption. Research on 65 third grade students at a school implementing the CATCH nutrition curriculum and Farm to School program had increased knowledge of vitamins/minerals and awareness of farming practices. These students also reported consuming more vegetables during school meals (Moss, Smith, Null, Long Roth, & Tragoudas, 2013).

Conversely, research on 495 children (9-12 years), indicated no change in FV consumption with internet nutrition education and counseling sessions. Although the youths' awareness and knowledge of recommendations increased, self-reported FV consumption did not (Mangunkusumo, Brug, de Koning, van der Lei, & Raat, 2007). Yet, it should be noted that knowledge is a necessary pre-requisite to enhance self-efficacy (Kreausukon et al., 2012).

#### Experiential Learning

Skill building is another essential component of self-efficacy (Baranowski et al., 1993; Pearson et al., 2012; Sandeno et al., 2000). Participating in food preparation has been shown to increase interest in health benefits of FV (Hill, Casswell, Maskill, Jones, & Wyllie, 1998) and consumption of nutrient-dense foods including FV (Larson, Story, Eisenberg, & Neumark-Sztainer, 2006). A study examining school nutrition education of 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> grade students indicate FV preparation skills play an important role in FV consumption (Baranowski et al., 1993). These findings suggest skill building interventions are an important part of youth development, building self-efficacy, and consumption of FV (Baranowski et al., 1993; Condrasky & Hegler, 2010; Dzewaltowski



et al., 2009; Gross, Pollock, & Braun, 2010; Larson, Perry, Story, & Neumark-Sztainer, 2006; Larson, Story, et al., 2006).

In a New Zealand study, teens were not aware of the health benefits of consuming FVs but became more interested when helping their parents in the kitchen (Hill et al., 1998). A cross-sectional study design of middle and high school students found that those involved with making dinner were consuming more nutrient-dense foods; young adults involved with food preparation also noted higher FV consumption (Larson, Perry, et al., 2006; Larson, Story, et al., 2006).

# **Proxy-Agency**

#### *Proxy-Efficacy*

Proxy-efficacy is the act of depending on others to reach individual needs (Bandura, 2001), such as asking parents to buy or prepare more FV for the home. Research by Geller and Dzewaltowski (2010) demonstrated both personal and proxyagency influenced children's self-efficacy across gender, socio-economic status, and ethnicity (i.e. African American, Caucasian). Children reported more confidence asking parents to provide FV (Geller & Dzewaltowski, 2010) and were more likely to request FV with higher proxy efficacy (Geller, Dzewaltowski, Rosenkranz, & Karteroliotis, 2009).

## Home Food Environment

A home food environment that supports FV consumption is necessary to influence FV intake (Neumark-Sztainer, Wall, Perry, & Story, 2003; Rabe, Ohri-Vachaspati, & Scheer, 2006). As parents provided access to more FV in the home, adolescents reported eating more produce (Hanson, Neumark-Sztainer, Eisenberg, Story, & Wall, 2005). In fact, adolescents with mothers wishing to eat healthy were more likely to consume more



FV themselves and have a healthier home food environment (Boutelle, Birkeland, Hannan, Story, & Neumark-Sztainer, 2007). While research suggests a healthy home food environment promotes greater intake of FV in youth, its multi-faceted nature creates a challenge for many households to overcome individual, environmental, and economic barriers (Glanz, Sallis, Saelens, & Frank, 2005). Changing the home food environment can be accomplished through community interventions targeting both parents and their children (Cullen et al., 2003; Heim, Bauer, Stang, & Ireland, 2011).

A review of 38 publications by Blanchette and Brug (2005) found interventions targeting availability, accessibility, and preferences of FVs as the leading predictors of FV consumption. A longitudinal study of FV consumption suggests preferences and availability were the most predictive of future consumption (Larson et al., 2012). In fact, homes with FV readily available were more successful in increasing children's FV consumption (Kratt, Reynolds, & Shewchuk, 2000). Interestingly, research examining child and parent perceptions of the home food environment suggests parents perceived a more supportive atmosphere than their children (Robinson-O'Brien, Neumark-Sztainer, Hannan, Burgess-Champoux, & Haines, 2009).

## Parental Modeling

Child and parental food consumption are likely correlated (Elfhag, Tholin, & Rasmussen, 2008) as meal patterns, beliefs and actions of parents are reciprocated in their children (Gross et al., 2010; Patrick & Nicklas, 2005). Parental interventions to increase FV intake may be most beneficial because they can influence the overall home food environment through accessibility and availability of FV in the home (Bere & Klepp, 2004; Blanchette & Brug, 2005; Brug et al., 2008; Cullen et al., 2003; Patrick & Nicklas, 2005). In a cross-sectional study of 1,235 eleven-year-old children, vegetable but not



fruit, consumption was influenced by home availability (Kristjansdottir et al., 2006). Parents make FV available through purchasing FV, but also make FV accessible by washing and preparing it for their children (Brug et al., 2008).

An examination of psychosocial factors influencing FV consumption among 1,739 parents indicated their child's FV consumption was positively correlated with parental intake (Reinaerts, de Nooijer, Candel, & de Vries, 2007), suggesting children follow parental guidance. Therefore, it is recommended parents not only provide FVs but positively role model FV consumption for their children rather than coercing children to consume FVs at meal time (Ball, Cohen, & Meyer, 2012; Fisher, Mitchell, Smiciklas-Wright, & Birch, 2002; Nicklas et al., 2001; Wardle, Herrera, Cooke, & Gibson, 2003).

Family meals have also been linked greater home availability with higher FV intake (Utter, Scragg, Schaaf, & Mhurchu, 2008; Wardle, Carnell, & Cooke, 2005). FV consumption has been shown to increase by adding vegetables to mixed dishes or offering several different selections of vegetables at mealtime (Meengs, Roe, & Rolls, 2012). Collectively, the literature suggests parents act as a role model in their children's lives. A supportive home environment and leading by example appears to increase FV consumption among youth (Di Noia & Byrd-Bredbenner, 2013; Pearson, Biddle, & Gorely, 2009; Wyse, Campbell, Nathan, & Wolfenden, 2011).

#### Household Income

Children at greatest risk for low FV consumption come from a lower socioeconomic background, have limited grocery store accessibility and ample access to fast food restaurants (Svastisalee, Holstein, & Due, 2012). Krebs-Smith and colleagues (1996) have reported a positive correlation between income and FV intake. Although FV consumption was low among all samples, household income was clearly predictive of



whether or not children met FV recommendations (Cutler, Flood, Hannan, & Neumark-Sztainer, 2011; Krebs-Smith et al., 1996). It should be noted, children of lower socioeconomic status can increase their FV consumption by utilizing school nutrition programs (Grutzmacher & Gross, 2011; Svastisalee et al., 2012). A farmer's market intervention was successful at increasing FV consumption among low-income households through education by improving attitudes and supplying FV coupons (Anderson et al., 2001). Children attending less ethnic diverse schools with higher socioeconomic status were more likely to ask parents to provide FV at home (Geller et al., 2009). This finding was likely due to greater financial stability of parents and perceived self-efficacy for asking among children (Geller et al., 2009).

## Parenting Styles

Authoritative parenting has been suggested to promote the healthiest home environment for children (Kremers, Brug, de Vries, & Engels, 2003). An examination of 231 caregivers revealed authoritative parents had more FV within the household and those children were more likely to consume FV (Patrick, Nicklas, Hughes, & Morales, 2005). In contrast, less authoritative parents had homes that fostered less desirable eating habits and less availability of FV. Regardless of parenting styles, parental control over meals within the home has been linked with higher fruit intake in adolescents (Pearson et al., 2012) and greater consumption of FVs among 2-6 year olds (Wardle et al., 2005).

#### Early Exposure and Intervention

Nutrition education is important in early childhood to improve knowledge and preferences (Kemirembe, Radhakrishna, Gurgevich, Yoder, & Ingram, 2011; Kunkel et al., 2013; Morris & Zidenberg-Cherr, 2002). Early interventions may be especially important because intake of sugary beverages increases (French, Lin, & Guthrie, 2003;



Rampersaud, Bailey, & Kauwell, 2003; Wang, Bleich, & Gortmaker, 2008) and FV intake decreases during adolescence (Lien, Lytle, & Klepp, 2001; Rasmussen et al., 2006; te Velde, Twisk, & Brug, 2007). Early introduction to a variety of FV appears to improve future preferences in adulthood (Domel et al., 1993; Kristjansdottir et al., 2006; Patrick & Nicklas, 2005; Wolfe & Campbell, 1993) through food exposure (Domel et al., 1993; Nicklas et al., 2001; Schindler et al., 2013). Kelder, Perry, Klepp and Lytle (1994) tracked longitudinal health behaviors of adolescents and suggested interventions prior to 6<sup>th</sup> grade were most beneficial for developing positive behavioral patterns that carry into adulthood. Training childcare providers on current nutrition and physical activity guidelines may be one way to begin implementing healthier lifestyles at a young age in the future (Van Stan, Lessard, & Dupont-Phillips, 2013).

# Garden

Incorporating garden experiences into nutrition education is a relatively new strategy to develop preferences for FVs while learning in a new exciting atmosphere (Heim, Stang, & Ireland, 2009; Parmer, Salisbury-Glennon, Shannon, & Struempler, 2009). Gardening is a novel way to expose children to different FV, be more physically active, and encourage healthy practical learning in youth (Ahmed, Oshiro, Loharuka, & Novotny, 2011; Ozer, 2007). Gardening experiences may promote increased consumption of FV through hands on involvement and increased familiarity and exposure with fresh FV (Heim et al., 2009; Morris, Neustadter, & Zidenberg-Cherr, 2001).

A pilot study for a garden-based intervention targeting 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> grade Latino students found attitudes and vegetable preferences increased with intervention (Gatto, Ventura, Cook, Gyllenhammer, & Davis, 2012). In contrast, a study by Koch, Waliczek, & Zajicek (2006) examined the impact of a garden intervention on 2<sup>nd</sup>-5<sup>th</sup> grade students



and found significant improvements in FV knowledge but little impact on preferences. Another school-based garden intervention with 6<sup>th</sup> grade students using nutrition education and active learning reported increased FV consumption during the 12 week program (McAleese & Rankin, 2007). Another 111 students participating in the "Nutrition in the Garden" program did not increase their FV intake but did improve their attitude towards FVs (Lineberger & Zajicek, 2000). The varying results suggest more research is necessary, although it is apparent that gardens have the potential to positively impact youth in some form. Varying results are likely due to a variety of variables such as; age, gender, ethnicity, length of program and previous knowledge or exposure.

Community gardens also present an opportunity to increase FV intake among urban residents and those with low access to fresh produce (Alaimo, Packnett, Miles, & Kruger, 2008; McCormack, Laska, Larson, & Story, 2010). Families enrolled in the pilot study "Growing Healthy Kids" had increased availability and consumption of FV in the home (Castro, Samuels, & Harman, 2013). Community gardens not only improve the availability of produce but also create a stronger community bond and increase awareness of important health disparities caused by inadequate FV consumption (Twiss et al., 2003).

#### Peer-Modeling

A study of 2,043 adolescents eating behaviors reported peers' consumption of breakfast, whole grain, and vegetable intake behaviors were correlated but fruit intake was not (Bruening et al., 2012). It has been suggested that peers may exert more influence on each other than their parents (Lowe, Horne, Tapper, Bowdery, & Egerton, 2004). Older schoolchildren are more likely to be pressured into eating unhealthy food choices (Krølner et al., 2011). One way to possibly overcome this is to have peer taught



culinary education lessons to improve basic culinary skills (Nelson, Corbin, & Nickols-Richardson, 2013).

Research demonstrates males are more likely to not meet FV recommendations compared to females (Cartwright et al., 2003; Neumark-Sztainer, Story, Resnick, & Blum, 1996; Reynolds, Baranowski, et al., 1999). Some data suggests gender differences of FV intake exist between males and females because females have greater FV preferences (Brug et al., 2008). However, a review of 31 articles suggests that girls are more likely than boys to consume FV due to peer pressure and self-image (Krølner et al., 2011). Healthy eating is thought to be more prominent among females than males due to body image issues (McKinley et al., 2005; Neumark-Sztainer, Story, Perry, & Casey, 1999). Baseline data from 2,338 ninth grade students suggests that females have greater FV knowledge and more confidence in meeting FV recommendations compared to males (Beech, Rice, Myers, Johnson, & Nicklas, 1999).

#### **Collective Agency**

#### Environment

Collective agency is the ability to achieve outcomes together by joining individual strengths (Bandura, 2001). It has been suggested this collaboration is needed because behavior-based interventions alone are not reliable in sustaining recommended levels of FV intake (Thomson & Ravia, 2011). However, a review of behavioral interventions suggests that individual, population and macro-level interventions all have the ability to improve intake, decreasing the risk of chronic disease development (Ammerman, Lindquist, Lohr, & Hersey, 2002).

The environment we live in today promotes unhealthy lifestyles and must be improved on all levels- individual, population and macro to make a difference (Brownell,



Schwartz, Puhl, Henderson, & Harris, 2009; Pomerleau, Lock, Knai, & McKee, 2005). Solely educating the public will not improve lifestyles (Krebs-Smith, Reedy, & Bosire, 2010), but perhaps creating a healthier eating environment at the community-level may lead to behavior change (Story, Ark-Sztainer, & French, 2002). Although, multifaceted interventions are needed to make healthier foods more accessible (Hood, Martinez-Donate, & Meinen, 2012) and to improve our environment as a whole (Knai, Pomerleau, Lock, & McKee, 2006), public policy may be the most challenging. It should be noted, the current environmental FV supply could not support the demand of all individuals following Dietary Guidelines for Americans FV recommendations (Krebs-Smith et al., 2010; U.S. Department of Agriculture & U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2010).

#### Conclusion

Change must begin with an individual to see a lasting impact. Interventions targeted at improving self-efficacy, preferences, and nutrition knowledge are important for influencing personal agency. Awareness of personal nutrition recommendations and potential health risks associated with low FV consumption is pertinent information for motivation to change. Incorporating skill-building lessons to expand food preparation knowledge and cooking techniques is suggested to help sustain such behaviors.

Yet, younger generations must rely on their parent or guardian to make healthful decisions when it comes to buying and preparing FVs for the home. Interventions are needed to improve proxy agency of both children and parents. Children need to gain confidence in asking for more FV in the home, while the parent must be reliable for not only providing FVs, but making these FVs accessible for snacks and meals. Modeling



healthy behavior, setting family meal times, and providing a variety and repeated FV exposure is important in establishing healthy habits while children are young.

Ultimately, it is apparent that FV consumption relies on a multitude of factors from the individual, parent, and environment. Collective agency will be important for improving and sustaining FV consumption among all age groups, gender, and ethnicities within the US. Perhaps small changes within communities, such as promoting community or school gardens, can begin to make a positive, lasting impact on FV consumption.



www.manaraa.com

# **CHAPTER III: METHODS**

## Introduction

The Immersion in Wellness project was funded by the Wellmark Foundation and was conducted at the Iowa 4-H Center near Madrid, Iowa during the summers of 2012 and 2013. The project goal was to immerse campers in a five day intervention focusing on nutrition, culinary, PA and gardening topics to improve health behaviors and construct a healthy lifestyle. The week-long Immersion in Wellness camp received Institutional Review Board approval from Iowa State University (ISU) for all data collection measures and interventions (Appendix A). Intervention campers received gardening, culinary, and nutrition education over the five-day immersion experience and were provided a takehome kit in an effort to influence the home environment after leaving camp. Control campers received the traditional camp experience; no educational lessons or take home kit was provided.

#### **Data Collection**

Data was collected from enrolled campers through multiple forms and survey tools assessing nutrition, PA, and the home food and PA environment. Surveys were administered prior to the camp experience at check-in and were repeated through mailings at six months following camp for both control and intervention groups. Intervention campers also completed a survey to assess gained knowledge, self and proxy-efficacy, and preferences at the completion of the week-long immersion experience; this survey was not repeated in the control group. Data collection surveys and time table appears in Table 1.



	Baseline	Post Camp	Six-Months Post Camp
Control	-Camper Information -Camper Survey -Home Environment Survey	X	-Camper Survey -Home Environment Survey
Intervention	-Camper Information -Camper Survey -Home Environment Survey	-Camper Survey	-Camper Survey -Home Environment Survey

 Table 1. Data Collection Surveys for Summer 2012 and 2013

#### **Camper Information**

Gender, age, height, weight, known food allergies, and PA restrictions were collected on all campers from the camp registration and medical history forms (Appendix B). Neither the camper's height nor weight was used for analysis because information was dependent upon their most recent physical examination at the doctor's office, which varied widely.

## **Camper Survey**

The camper survey consisted of three sections assessing FV knowledge, preferences, and proxy and self-efficacy (Appendix C). This survey was completed individually by each camper, without the assistance of a parent. The FV knowledge tool was modified from its original version to reflect recently released MyPlate recommendations. The original survey consisted of 16 items (6 MyPyramid food groups, 10 nutrient-food and role associations) and was previously published by Struempler & Raby (2005). FV preferences were collected on 30 fruit juices, fruits, and vegetables, using a survey published by Domel and colleagues (1993). Self and proxy-efficacy for FV intake was the last section of the survey tool and was measured using a survey created by Domel and colleagues (1996). This survey was administered prior to camp for both



intervention and control groups, on the last day of camp for the intervention group, and again at six months following for both intervention and control groups.

# **Home Environment Survey**

The home environment survey consisted of three sections which assessed the home environment relative to availability of PA, participation in various PAs, availability of food, and consumption via a food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) (Appendix D). Parents were allowed to help campers fill out this survey since they were more familiar with the home food environment and frequencies of their children's intake. The home food and PA environment was measured using the "America on the Move" survey developed by Catenacci and Wyatt (2007). The frequency of PA was measured by specific activity (basketball, soccer, walking, etc.) using the Youth/Adolescent Activity Questionnaire developed by Harvard School of Public Health (2005). The last section of this survey assessed actual consumption of foods using the Youth/Adolescent Food Frequency Questionnaire developed by Rockett and colleagues (1997). This survey was administered prior to camp and again at six months following camp for both intervention and control campers.

#### Subjects

Two specific week-long camps at the Iowa 4-H State Center were targeted for the study, Everything Camp and Counselors in Training Camp (CIT). Everything Camp allowed recruitment of 9-14 year olds and CIT Camp recruited 16-18 year olds. Control and intervention weeks were assigned among six weeks of Everything Camp and CIT Camp during summer of 2012. Two weeks of contained 4-H group camps were assigned control and intervention weeks during summer of 2013. Depending on the campers' chosen week of enrollment, they had the opportunity to enroll in the project, but were not



aware of whether they were in the control or intervention group. One hundred and forty eight total campers were recruited for Immersion in Wellness during the summers of 2012 and 2013. Table 2 indicates designated treatment (control or intervention) weeks throughout the Immersion in Wellness project.

Summer 2012						
Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4	Week 5	Week 6	
Control	Intervention	Control	Intervention	Control	Intervention	
June 10-16	June 17-23	June 24-30	July 8 -14	July 22 -28	July 29 – Aug 4	
6 Campers	18 Campers	5 Campers	16 Campers	27 Campers	2 Campers	
Summer 2013						
Week 1				Week 2		
Control			Intervention			
June 16 -22			July 28 – Aug 3			
56 Campers			18 Campers			

 Table 2. Treatment Weeks During Summer 2012 and 2013

## **Procedures**

The research group consisted of ISU faculty and graduate students in Food Science and Human Nutrition; all members were trained on proper data collection procedures and passed a criminal background check as they would be working with youth. Surveys were mailed to all registered campers prior to the arrival at camp. In addition during check-in, campers and their parents were recruited through simple oneon-one discussion with the researchers or a cover letter drafted to explain the intent of the study and enrollment procedures (Appendix E). The research group assisted campers and parents with the survey materials and forms.

Eligible campers (Everything Campers or CIT) and their parents were asked to read and sign the informed consent documents (Appendix F). Campers were asked to individually complete the camper survey while the parent completed the home environment survey for their child. Important health form information was collected from



the camp registration and health form to monitor food allergies and PA restrictions throughout the week as necessary.

Two research participant receipt forms were completed to allow compensation for participation in the study (Appendix G). Both control and intervention campers were given a \$40 cash incentive at the end of the week for participating in the initial experience and another \$25 check incentive was mailed to their home address after completing and returning six-month post surveys. Intervention campers also received a "take-home kit" valued at approximately \$25. The take-home kit included: color-coded cutting boards, paring knife, vegetable scrub brush, Healthy and Homemade cookbook (ISU Extension publication), refrigerator and meat thermometers, pedometer, garden journal and various nutrition and PA publications related to the experiential learning topics (Appendix H).

#### Intervention

Summer 2012 consisted of three intervention weeks and summer 2013 consisted of one intervention week. The same schedule of intervention was implemented both years (Table 3). Gardening lessons were developed and taught by Boone County Master Gardeners. The composting lesson was taught by employees from the National Laboratory for Agriculture and the Environment. Culinary lessons were developed and taught by an undergraduate culinary intern both summer 2012 and 2013, while the Culinary Science program director and a faculty member in nutrition helped modify camp lessons. Nutrition lessons were developed by an undergraduate nutrition student in a class, modified by a graduate student in nutrition, and taught by graduate level nutrition students each summer.



During intervention weeks, campers were divided into three groups and rotated between the garden, culinary and nutrition lessons. Each instructor had 30-40 minutes to teach their lesson before rotating campers to the next lesson. Control week campers had no interaction with the immersion staff after completing survey tools prior to the start of camp.



www.manaraa.com

Ň	s lls orms	the state of the s	llain Fats ealthy fats out
Frid	Composting Benefiti Materia Care Bugs/w	Yeast Doug - Leaveni - Gluten - Kneadii Food Safet	Hero vs. Vi Heart h Dining
ner 2012 and 2013 Thursday	Water Conservation <ul> <li>Wasteful</li> <li>Wastering</li> <li>Conservation</li> <li>practices</li> </ul> Garden Pest <ul> <li>Pest identification</li> </ul>	Seasonal/Recipe Modifications <ul> <li>Ingredient</li> <li>substitutions</li> <li>Economical</li> </ul> Food Safety <ul> <li>Hand Washing</li> </ul>	Portion Distortion <ul> <li>Portion control</li> <li>Reading a label</li> </ul>
ung Activities for Sumn Wednesday	<ul> <li>Seeds</li> <li>Growing</li> <li>Equirements</li> <li>Zone maps</li> <li>Zone maps</li> <li>Tone maps</li> <li>Thinning</li> <li>Transplanting</li> <li>Harvesting</li> </ul>	Handling Raw Meat <ul> <li>Storage</li> <li>Food Safety</li> <li>Separate Safely</li> <li>Temperatures</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Get Up &amp; Go</li> <li>PA</li> <li>Recommendations</li> <li>Pedometer</li> <li>Heart Rate</li> </ul>
eek Experiential Learn Tuesday	Soil Texture Improve quality PH Fertilizer nutrients	Mise en Place <ul> <li>Organize</li> <li>workspace</li> </ul> Food Safety <ul> <li>Danger Zone</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Fruits and Vegetables</li> <li>Vitamins and minerals</li> <li>FV</li> <li>Recommendations</li> </ul>
ole 3: Intervention We Monday	<ul> <li>Garden Planning</li> <li>Measurements</li> <li>Location</li> </ul>	Utensil Identification <ul> <li>Basic utensils</li> <li>Knife skills</li> </ul> <li>Food Safety <ul> <li>Food borne</li> <li>illness</li> </ul> </li>	MyPlate <ul> <li>Food groups</li> <li>Meals and snacks</li> </ul>
Tab	արութ	Сийпэгу	notitituN
الق للاستشارات	الحن		www.mana

#### **Data Analysis**

Data was analyzed using the IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences for Windows (SPSS for Windows, Version 19.0, 2010). The level of significance p<0.05 was set for all results, while a trend was p<0.10. Data was analyzed using frequencies, independent samples t-test, paired samples t-test, Chi-Square analysis, One-way ANOVA, and multivariate likelihood analysis modeling (Akaike, 1974). Likelihood analysis was programmed and assessed using MATLAB R2007A (MathWorks, Natick, MA).

#### Statistical Analysis for Manuscript One (Chapter IV)

Manuscript one consists of baseline and six-month post data from summer one, approximately 53 camper's surveys. The sample size varies as not all campers completed entire survey sections. Higher FV self-efficacy, nutrition knowledge, and FV preferences are considered positive. A higher most preferred home food environment is considered desirable whereas a higher least preferred home food environment is negative.

Age was examined at baseline by intervention using independent samples t-test and chi-square analysis. FV self-efficacy, nutrition knowledge, FV preferences, and home food environment scores were compared at baseline and at six-months post by intervention using independent and paired samples t-test.

FV preferences were examined using four classifications: FV overall, fruit, vegetable, and vegetables grown in the garden (garden vegetables). The home food environment survey captured the availability of pantry and refrigerator food in the home in three categories: most preferred, neutral, and least preferred. For statistical analyses, most preferred and least preferred were used to characterize the home food environment and any subsequent change.



Change in survey responses from baseline to six-month post-camp data on FV self-efficacy, nutrition knowledge, preferences (overall, fruit, vegetable, garden vegetable), home food environment (most desired pantry, most desired refrigerator, least desired pantry, least desired refrigerator) and FV consumption (fruit, fruit juice, vegetable, starchy vegetable, garden vegetables and individual garden vegetables) were examined by Chi Square. Change scores were calculated as post minus pre score and categorized as negative or no change and positive change.

Further exploratory data analysis excluded campers who did not respond to the follow-up questionnaire (n=18) and CIT campers (n=3). Data from 49 subjects were tested for correlation factorially using Pearson's correlation coefficient. Any significant collinearity was noted for multivariate analysis. Potential multivariate models were identified through factorial testing of several independent variables to one dependent variable. Maximum likelihood estimates were examined using a small-sample-size corrected Akaike Information Criteria (AICc) (Akaike, 1974), and models with strong likelihood estimates were compared using a bootstrap method (Burnham & Anderson, 2002; Konishi & Kitagawa, 2008). This method consisted of randomly resampling the subject pool with replacement to generate 10,000 virtual subject samples. AICc values were computed for each tested model and the best performing model was identified with each resampling. Models were judged to be equivalent if they were equally represented in the resampling. Bootstrap protocol was programmed in MATLAB R2007a (Mathworks, Natick, MA). Random numbers were generated using the Mersenne Twister algorithm (Matsumoto & Nishimura, 1998).



Statistical Analysis for Manuscript Two (Chapter V)

Baseline data for all campers (n=148) participating in the study from summer 2012 and 2013 were included in analyses. An independent sample t-test was used to examine differences in FV self-efficacy, nutrition knowledge, FV preferences, and FV intakes by gender. One-way ANOVA was used to examine differences in FV self-efficacy, nutrition knowledge, FV preferences, and FV intakes by three age groups (9-11, 12-15, and 16-18 years old). These age groups were broken into groups based on camp enrollment as an "Everything Camper" (9-11 and 12-15 years old) and "CITs" (16-18 years old).

Self-reported FV intake frequencies were converted into daily frequency equivalents (Helaine Rockett, 2013). Daily equivalents were converted to cups where one-half cup was used as the serving size for one daily equivalent per MyPyramid recommendations that were in place when the FFQ was created. The daily equivalents for all individual FV intakes were examined individually but also grouped accordingly as fruit, fruit juice, vegetable, starchy vegetable as well as Dietary Guideline (USDA & HHS, 2010) vegetable sub-groups including starchy, dark green/leafy, red/orange, legumes, and other. Percent meeting FV recommendations by age and gender was classified by using MyPlate specific age standards of 9-13 and 14-18 years.

Nutrient intake from FV consumption for three of the four nutrients of concern identified by the Dietary Guidelines for Americans (USDA & HHS, 2010) was estimated from the FFQ responses using the USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference values (USDA, 2013a). The Economic Research Service Food Availability Data System (USDA, 2013b) was used to calculate nutrient composition for some FVs (ex: corn) where consumption form was not denoted. For these FVs, nutrient intake was



estimated using the proportional availability in the food supply (i.e. fresh, canned, frozen). When more than one food item was within a category of FFQ (ex: apple/applesauce), individual potassium, dietary fiber, and calcium contents were averaged. Estimated nutrient intakes were compared to the Dietary Reference Intakes Recommended Dietary Allowances (Institute of Medicine, 2006) for potassium, dietary fiber and calcium.

Data from 148 subjects were tested for correlation factorially using Pearson's correlation coefficient. Any significant correlations were noted both as end results as well as to protect against using collinear elements in multivariate analysis. Potential models were identified by building multivariate linear models factorially. Statistically significant models were identified and tested against each other using maximum likelihood estimates. These estimates were examined using the Akaike Information Criteria corrected for small sample size (AICc) (Akaike, 1974). Since the analysis yielded robust and nearly identical models according to the AICc, bootstrapping was not performed (Burnham & Anderson, 2002).



# CHAPTER IV: CAN AN IMMERSION IN WELLNESS CAMP INFLUENCE YOUTH HEALTH BEHAVIORS?

Elizabeth A. Mabary, Ruth E. Litchfield, PhD, RD, LD, Randal C. Foster and Lorraine M. Lanningham-Foster, PhD Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, Iowa State University, Iowa, USA

A paper to be submitted to the Journal of Extension

#### Abstract

*Background:* The Immersion in Wellness project was conducted at a Midwest 4-H Center during the summer of 2012 and 2013. The project goal was to immerse campers in a five day intervention focusing on nutrition, culinary and gardening topics to improve health behaviors and construct a healthy lifestyle.

*Methods:* Two specific week-long camps were targeted for the study, recruiting 9-18 year olds. Control and intervention weeks were assigned during both summers. Data was collected from enrolled campers through multiple forms and survey tools assessing nutrition, physical activity, and the home environment.

*Results:* A total of 74 campers completed baseline surveys during summer 2012; six month follow up rate was 72% (n=53). Camp increased the intervention groups nutrition knowledge (p<0.10) and home food environment (p<0.05). Likelihood analysis modeling suggests that preferences influence change in self-efficacy and consumption of produce relies on gender and age.

*Conclusions:* Results of this study suggest that the home food environment may influence FV self-efficacy, nutrition knowledge, FV preferences as well as FV intakes. Nutrition education programs targeting the home food environment can be an effective way to encourage healthier behaviors from a young age.


Keywords: nutrition education, adolescents, community nutrition educator

30

## Introduction

Progressively, more youth are overweight or obese, mounting concern for the future health of Americans (Daniels et al., 2005; Ogden, Carroll, Kit, & Flegal, 2012; Schwimmer, Burwinkle, & Varni, 2003; Whitlock, Williams, Gold, Smith, & Shipman, 2005). Overweight adolescents are more likely to be overweight or obese in adulthood and are subsequently at greater risk for chronic diseases (Dietz, 1998a, 1998b; Magarey, Daniels, Boulton, & Cockington, 2003). Inadequate fruit and vegetable (FV) consumption may contribute to the development and complications of overweight and obesity, as well as some chronic diseases including heart disease, cancer, stroke, hypertension, and diabetes (Bazzano, 2006; Daniels et al., 2005; Hung et al., 2004; Kimmons, Gillespie, Seymour, Serdula, & Blanck, 2009; Magarey et al., 2003; Ness & Powles, 1997; Steinmetz & Potter, 1996; Van Duyn & Pivonka, 2000).

Inadequate FV consumption among all age groups, ethnicities, and gender is of great concern throughout the United States (US) (Kimmons et al., 2009; Yeh et al., 2008). Less than 10% of the US population meets daily FV recommendations, with the lowest levels of consumption among adolescents (Kimmons et al., 2009; Lorson, Melgar-Quinonez, & Taylor, 2009). Failing to meet nutrient needs provided by FV during growth is especially of concern among youth (Koletzko, de la Guéronnière, Toschke, & von Kries, 2004). Further, as FV consumption decreases, a notable rise in the consumption of solid fats and added sugars, as well as portion size has been observed (Koletzko et al., 2004; Munoz, Krebs-Smith, Ballard-Barbash, & Cleveland, 1997; Nielsen, 2003).



Exposing youth to a variety of FV early in life increases preferences for FV that may carry into adulthood (Birch, 1999; Domel, Baranowski, Hunter, Leonard, & Riley, 1993; Kristjansdottir et al., 2006; Larson, Laska, Story, & Neumark-Sztainer, 2012; Nicklas et al., 2001; Patrick & Nicklas, 2005; Wardle, 1995; Wolfe & Campbell, 1993). In fact, FV preferences have been identified as the strongest predictor of consumption (Brug, Tak, te Velde, Bere, & de Bourdeaudhuij, 2008) and may be more influential than nutrition education or increased knowledge (Harvey-Berino et al., 1997). Yet, there is also evidence in the literature that children with greater knowledge (Reynolds, Hinton, Shewchuk, & Hickey, 1999; Wardle, 1995) and awareness of FV recommendations (Bere & Klepp, 2005; Sandeno, Wolf, Drake, & Reicks, 2000) have greater FV consumption. Finally, a home food environment that supports FV consumption is also necessary to promote FV intake (Ball, Cohen, & Meyer, 2012; Neumark-Sztainer, Wall, Perry, & Story, 2003; Rabe, Ohri-Vachaspati, & Scheer, 2006). As parents provide access to more FV in the home, adolescents report eating more (Hanson, Neumark-Sztainer, Eisenberg, Story, & Wall, 2005).

This study examines whether a week-long camp experience with educational programming on gardening, culinary, and nutrition can improve youth's FV intake, selfefficacy, knowledge, or preferences. Further, the home food environment was explored relative to youth's self-efficacy, knowledge and preferences.

### Methods

The Immersion in Wellness camp experience was conducted at a Midwest State 4-H Center during the summers of 2012 and 2013. The goal was to immerse campers in a five-day intervention with experiential learning on nutrition, culinary and gardening to



foster health behaviors associated with a healthy lifestyle. Institutional Review Board approval was received for all data collection measures and interventions.

# Data Collection

Two specific week-long camps at the 4-H Center were targeted for the study, "Everything Camp" and "Counselors in Training Camp" (CIT). Everything Camp facilitated recruitment of 9-14 year olds while CIT Camp targeted16-18 year olds. Three control and three intervention weeks were assigned among six weeks of Everything and CIT Camp during summer of 2012. Two weeks of 4-H group camps were assigned as control and intervention weeks during summer of 2013. Depending on the campers' chosen week of enrollment, there was the opportunity to enroll in the project, but campers were not aware of whether they were in the control or intervention group.

Campers and their parents were recruited through a pre-camp mailing, which explained the intent of the study and enrollment procedures and/or simple one-on-one discussion with the researchers at camp registration. Eligible campers and their parents were asked to read and sign the informed consent documents. Two research participant receipt forms were completed to receive compensation for participation in the study.

Data was collected from enrolled campers using previously established survey tools (Catenacci & Wyatt, 2007; Domel et al., 1993; Domel, Thompson, Hunter, Baranowski, & Leonard, 1996; Harvard School of Public Health, 2005; Rockett et al., 1997; Struempler & Raby, 2005) assessing nutrition, PA, and the home environment. Surveys were administered prior to the camp experience, collected at check-in and repeated at six months following the camp experience for both control and intervention groups. Intervention campers also completed surveys at the completion of the week-long immersion experience.



Intervention

Intervention campers received experiential learning in gardening, culinary, and nutrition over the five-day immersion camp experience and a take-home kit intended to influence the home environment after leaving camp. The take-home kit included: colorcoded cutting boards, paring knife, vegetable scrub brush, Healthy and Homemade cookbook (ISU Extension publication), refrigerator and meat thermometers, pedometer, garden journal and various nutrition and PA publications related to the experiential learning topics. Control campers received the traditional camp experience; no experiential learning or take-home kit was provided.

Table 1 provides an overview of all experiential learning activities throughout the week-long camp experience. Gardening lessons were developed and taught by the local County Master Gardeners. The composting lesson was taught by staff from the National Laboratory for Agriculture and the Environment. Culinary lessons were developed and taught by an undergraduate culinary intern both summer 2012 and 2013, while the Culinary Science program director and a faculty member in nutrition helped modify camp lessons. Nutrition lessons were developed by an undergraduate nutrition student class, modified by a graduate student in nutrition, and taught by graduate level nutrition students.

During intervention weeks, campers were divided into three groups, which rotated between the garden, culinary and nutrition lessons; each lesson was 30-40 minutes. Intervention weeks also had lunch menus tailored to incorporate vegetables harvested from the garden and prepared during the culinary lessons.



Data Analysis

Data was analyzed using the IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences for Windows ("IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences for Windows," 2010). Likelihood analysis and bootstrap modeling were programmed and analyzed using MATLAB R2007A (MathWorks, Natick, MA.). The level of significance used for all statistical analysis was p<0.05, while a trend was p<0.10. Data were compared to the normal distribution to test for normality. Data was analyzed using frequencies, chisquare, independent and paired-samples t-test (for normally distributed data) Mann-Whitney U test (for non-normally distributed data), and likelihood analysis estimates (Akaike, 1974). Higher FV self-efficacy, nutrition knowledge, and FV preferences were considered positive outcomes. A higher most preferred home food environment was considered desirable whereas least preferred home food environment was negative.

Population demographics (age and gender) by intervention were examined at baseline using independent samples t-test and chi-square analysis. FV self-efficacy, knowledge, preferences, and home food environment scores were compared at baseline and at six months post by intervention using independent samples and paired samples ttests.

FV preferences were examined using four classifications: FV overall, fruit, vegetable, and vegetables grown in the camp garden (garden vegetables). The home food environment survey captured the availability of food in the pantry and refrigerator in three categorizes – most preferred, neutral, and least preferred. For statistical analyses, most preferred and least preferred were used to characterize the home food environment and examine any subsequent change.



Change scores for survey responses from baseline to six months post-camp were calculated for FV self-efficacy, knowledge, preferences (overall, fruit, vegetable, garden vegetable), home food environment (most desired pantry, most desired refrigerator, least desired pantry, least desired refrigerator) and FV consumption (fruit, fruit juice, vegetable, starchy vegetable, garden vegetables and individual garden vegetables). These scores were categorized as negative, no change or positive change for Chi Square analyses.

Further exploratory data analysis excluded campers who did not respond to the follow-up questionnaire (n=18) and CIT campers (n=3). Data from 49 subjects were tested for correlation factorially using Pearson's correlation coefficient and significant collinearities were noted for multivariate analysis. Potential multivariate models were identified through factorial testing of several independent variables to one dependent variable. Models with independent variables showing significant colinearity were excluded unless collinear variables were clearly unrelated. Maximum likelihood estimates were compared using a small-sample-size corrected Akaike Information Criteria (AICc) (Akaike, 1974), and models with strong likelihood estimates were tested using a bootstrap method (Burnham & Anderson, 2002; Konishi & Kitagawa, 2008). This method consisted of randomly resampling the subject pool with replacement to generate 10,000 virtual subject samples. AICc values were computed for each tested model and the best performing model was identified with each resampling. Models were judged to be equivalent if they were equally represented in the resampling. Random numbers were generated using the Mersenne Twister algorithm (Matsumoto & Nishimura, 1998).



### **Results**

A total of 74 campers (32 male, 42 female; 38 control, 36 intervention) completed baseline surveys during summer 2012 (Table 2). Due to the bimodal distribution of ages, a non-parametric test, Mann-Whitney, was used to examine the difference in age between the two groups and suggests a significant difference in age between intervention and control(p<0.05) at baseline. Gender was not significantly different between intervention and control campers at baseline. Six-month follow up response rate was 72% (n=53); however, sample size on specific survey results varies among analyses due to incomplete surveys.

Baseline and six-month post-camp mean survey scores for FV self-efficacy, nutrition knowledge, FV preferences and home food environment appear in Table 3. The control group had significantly higher nutrition knowledge at baseline, which persisted through six months post-camp (p < 0.05). However, the intervention group tended to improve nutrition knowledge from baseline to six months post (p<0.10), which was not observed in the control group and the variance decreased markedly at six-months postcamp. At six-months post-camp, both control and intervention groups significantly improved their most preferred home environment (p < 0.05; Table 3); however, a trend was noted between the control and intervention at six-months post-camp (p<0.10; Table 3) suggesting the intervention group may have improved a bit more. A significant difference from baseline to six-months post-camp was noted among control campers' self-efficacy, overall FV preferences, as well as most and least preferred home food environment (p < 0.05, Table 3). These results indicate improved self-efficacy, FV preferences, and preferred home food environment; however, the least preferred home food environment also increased. In contrast, a significant difference from baseline to six-



months post-camp was noted among the intervention group's most and least preferred home food environment (p<0.05; Table 3) and a trend for overall FV preferences and fruit preferences was observed (p<0.10; Table 3). These results suggest greater availability of least and most preferred food in the home as well as a tendency towards greater fruit and FV preferences.

Chi square analysis of change score categories (negative or no change and positive change) revealed no significant difference between the control and intervention groups' change in self-efficacy, knowledge and preferences (data not shown). However, positive change in the home food environment, specifically the most preferred food pantry, was significantly greater in the intervention group (p<0.05; Table 4). Further examination of the preferred food pantry choices revealed the intervention group increased preferred food options for grains, FV, dairy, snacks and condiments (data not shown).

Chi-square results suggest no significant difference between control and intervention groups' change in fruit, fruit juice, vegetable, or starchy vegetable intakes (data not shown). An examination of change scores for individual garden vegetable intakes suggests intervention campers tended to have greater positive change for consumption of spinach and bell peppers (p<0.10) and significantly greater change in consumption of zucchini (p<0.05; Table 4).

Multivariate likelihood analysis modeling results with bootstrapping can be viewed in Table 5. A detailed supplemental table including all modeling results is available. Results of likelihood analysis modeling suggest FV preferences and home food environment influence change in self-efficacy; treatment (control/intervention), gender,



age, self-efficacy and least preferred home environment influence change in preferences; and treatment, age, and least preferred home environment influence change in knowledge (Table 5). Conversely, intakes of the various groups of food appear to be influenced by gender (with the exception of starchy vegetables and fruit juice), age, change in preferred home environment and change in intakes of other groups of food (Table 5).

### Discussion

Baseline scores revealed a large distribution of self-efficacy, knowledge, preferences and the home food environment scores among campers, likely related to the age range of the campers. Nutrition knowledge, FV self-efficacy, FV preferences, and the home food environment improved in both control and intervention campers at six-month post-camp. Improvements in the intervention group may be the result of the camp experience with gardening, culinary and nutrition education lessons as well as the take home kit. Yet, control campers also improved at six-month post-camp, which suggests other influential factors such as age/maturation, home, school and/or community factors. The significant difference in age by treatment (control campers being older) likely influenced some of these findings. For example, self-efficacy and knowledge increased significantly in both groups (control and intervention) from baseline to six-month postcamp. The significant change in the control group may be related to the age/maturation of these campers.

Data collected six-months post-camp suggest the intervention had marginal impact on camper self-efficacy, knowledge, or preference scores. However, the intervention group did appear to improve their home food environment at six months by increasing the amount of "preferred" pantry options (grains, FV, dairy, snacks and condiments). The intervention group also reported increased intakes of zucchini, spinach



and bell peppers, which had been included in the camp garden, culinary, and nutrition education lessons as well as camp meals. An association between dietary intakes and repeated exposure such as those incorporated into the camp experience has been previously documented (Heim, Stang, & Ireland, 2009; Morris, Neustadter, & Zidenberg-Cherr, 2001).

Exploratory data analysis conducted on the data provides insights for future research. Self-efficacy, which has been shown to impact dietary intakes (Geller & Dzewaltowski, 2010), appeared to be influenced by food preferences and the home food environment. Preference for a food item as well as a home food environment, where the food item available and accessible, support an individual's self-efficacy to consume that food item. Interestingly, nutrition knowledge and FV preferences appeared to be influenced by age, treatment (control/intervention) and least preferred home environment. It was anticipated that change in knowledge would be impacted by age and intervention; however, least preferred home environment also appeared to influence change in knowledge. Some research suggests that knowledge is the first step to improving FV intake (Reynolds, Hinton, et al., 1999; Wardle, 1995). In contrast, gender appeared to influence the intake of each food group with the exception of starchy vegetables and fruit juice. This was not unexpected as gender differences relative to FV intake have been documented in the literature (Cartwright et al., 2003; Neumark-Sztainer, Story, Resnick, & Blum, 1996; Rasmussen et al., 2006; Reynolds, Baranowski, et al., 1999). Intake of food groups was also impacted by preferred home environment and change in intake of other food groups. Availability and accessibility of food as well as change in other food groups would likely alter the intake of another food group.



Limitations of this study include the small sample size for six-month post-camp data analysis. In addition, a significant difference was noted between control and intervention campers' age, which influenced data collected and interpretation. The researchers did examine the data omitting the CIT campers (n=5); however, a significant difference among the remaining camper' age persisted using Mann-Whitney test. Further, data represent a sample of Midwest youth with very limited demographic or socioeconomic information and may not be representative of other geographic regions. All data collected were based on self-report responses to surveys with inherent limitations. Finally, the home food environment survey tool has not been validated for research purposes and has been used previously as a personal assessment tool. It should also be noted that a seasonal difference (summer vs. winter) could have influenced the home food environment responses.

Carefully designed nutrition intervention programs for youth and their parents are needed to foster healthier behaviors among children, but more importantly influence their environment. Results of this study suggest that the home food environment may influence FV self-efficacy, nutrition knowledge, FV preferences as well as FV intakes. The socioecological model can be implemented on community-based interventions focusing on prevention and management of disease. This model considers the complex interaction youth are exposed to on multiple levels of the environment, including individual, social, community and policy. Many of these environments do not promote healthy lifestyles (Brownell, Schwartz, Puhl, Henderson, & Harris, 2009; Pomerleau, Lock, Knai, & McKee, 2005). Education alone will not improve lifestyles (Krebs-Smith, Reedy, & Bosire, 2010), but creating healthier nutrition and PA environments, particularly at the



community and policy level may lead to behavior change (Story, Ark-Sztainer, & French, 2002). This project modified the individual, community and policy levels of the camp environment, suggests potential influence on FV self-efficacy, knowledge, preferences and intakes but public policy change would have the most far-reaching effect.



www.manaraa.com

# Table 1: Intervention Week Experiential Learning Activities

المنسلي للاستشارات

WednesdayThursdayFridaysWater ConservationCompostingrowing• Wasteful watering• Benefitsequirements• Conservation• Materials	Cone mapspractices• CaresGarden Pest• Bugs/wormsTinning• Pest identificationfarvesting	lling Raw MeatSeasonal/RecipeYeast DoughstorageModifications• Leaveningstorageingredient• ClutenSafety• Ingredient• Glutensubstitutions• Economical• Kneadingteparate Safely• EconomicalFood SafetyemperaturesFood Safety• Review	Jp & Go     Portion Distortion     Hero vs. Villain I       A     • Portion control     • Heart healthy       A     • Portion control     • Heart healthy       Pedometer     • Reading a label     • Dining out
Tuesday     Soil       Soil     See       • Texture     •       • Improve quality	<ul> <li>pH</li> <li>Fertilizer nutrients</li> </ul>	Mise en Place     Han       • Organize     •       workspace     F000       F00d Safety     •       • Danger Zone     •	Fruits and VegetablesGetVitamins andmineralsFV
Monday Garden Planning • Measurements • Location		Utensil Identification Basic utensils Knife skills Food Safety Food borne illness	MyPlate <ul> <li>Food groups</li> <li>Meals and snacks</li> </ul>

Table 2: Baseline Demographics	by
Control and Intervention	

Gender	Control	Intervention	Total
Male	17	15	32
Female	21	21	42
Total	38	36	74
Age a	Control	Intervention	Total
9	1	10	11
10	5	11	16
11	3	9	12
12	10	3	13
13	12	0	12
14	2	0	2
15	0	0	0
16	0	2	2
17	2	1	3
a. Statistica	al difference (	p<0.05) between co	ontrol and
intervent	tion by Mann-	Whitney Test	



Table 3. Daselille allo JA	150 I IIIII0	ta salong tating		Infinite	
		Base	eline	Six-Month ]	Post-Camp
	Possible	Control	Intervention	Control	Intervention
	Score	$\mu \pm (SEM)$	$\mu \pm (SEM)$	$\mu \pm (SEM)$	$\mu \pm (SEM)$
		(n=30)	(n=23)	(n=30)	(n=23)
FV Self-Efficacy	0-12	$8.27 \pm (0.59)$	$7.96 \pm (0.68)$	$9.53 \pm (0.46)$ $^{\circ}$	$8.91 \pm (0.47)$
Nutrition Knowledge	0-16	$13.20 \pm (0.48)^{b}$	$11.57 \pm (0.64)$	$13.97 \pm (0.32)$ c	$12.91 \pm (0.37)$ f
FV Preferences (Overall)	0-108	$86.07 \pm (2.93)$	$87.35 \pm (3.38)$	89.63 ± (2.35) e	89.83 ± (3.07) f
Fruit	0-66	$48.77 \pm (1.82)$	$50.00 \pm (1.87)$	$50.07 \pm (1.49)$	$51.70 \pm (1.78)$ f
Vegetable	0-42	$28.23 \pm (1.06)$	$27.91 \pm (1.51)$	$29.57 \pm (1.11)$	$28.22 \pm (1.38)$
Garden Vegetables	0-18	$12.00 \pm (0.62)$	$11.65 \pm (0.74)$	$12.53 \pm (0.66)$	$12.04 \pm (0.72)$
Home Food Environment					
Most Preferred	0-44	$15.00 \pm (0.88)$ a	$16.04 \pm (0.90)$	23.76 ± (1.17) a, e	$27.30 \pm (1.32)$ d, e
Least Preferred	0-44	$12.27 \pm (1.14)$	$12.09 \pm (0.94)$	$17.73 \pm (1.30) e$	$20.43 \pm (1.80)^{e}$
<sup>a</sup> Sample Size = $29$					
<sup>b.</sup> Significant difference bet	ween control	Vintervention at baselir	ie (p<0.05)		
c. Significant difference bet	ween control	l/intervention at six-mo	nth post (p<0.05)		
d. Trend between control/in	itervention at	t six-month post (p<0.1	(0)		
e. Significant difference from	m baseline to	six-month post (p<0.	05)		
f. Trend from baseline to six	x-month post	t (p<0.10)			

E	
•	
. 3	
- 2	
- 60	
- 5	
- 5	
- 5	
Ē	
_	
2	
- 1	
- 63	
•	
1	
- =	
- 1	
•	
()	
$\overline{}$	
5	1
_	
్ల	
- 2	
_ 2	
್ತು	
5	
5	
5	•
×	
-	
Ē	
Sul	
tSui	
stSui	
ostSui	
Post Sui	
Post Sui	
th Post Sui	
ith Post Sui	
nth Post Sui	
onth Post Sui	
<b>Jonth Post Su</b>	
Month Post Sui	
(Month Post Su	
ix Month Post Sui	
Six Month Post Sui	
Six Month Post Sui	
d Six Month Post Sui	
nd Six Month Post Sui	
and Six Month Post Sui	
and Six Month Post Su	
ie and Six Month Post Sui	
ne and Six Month Post Sui	
line and Six Month Post Sui	
eline and Six Month Post Sui	
seline and Six Month Post Sui	
aseline and Six Month Post Sui	
3aseline and Six Month Post Sui	
Baseline and Six Month Post Sui	
: Baseline and Six Month Post Sui	
3: Baseline and Six Month Post Su	
e 3: Baseline and Six Month Post Sui	
le 3: Baseline and Six Month Post Sui	
ble 3: Baseline and Six Month Post Sur	
able 3: Baseline and Six Month Post Sur	
Table 3: Baseline and Six Month Post Su	

المتسارات

Г

	Control	(n=30)	Intervent	ion (n=23)	$x^2$ (n value)
	$\% \downarrow \mathbf{or} \ \mathbf{no} \ \Delta$	% ↑	$\% \downarrow \mathbf{or}  \mathbf{no}  \Delta$	% ↑	χ (p-value)
Most Preferred Pantry	62.1ª	37.9ª	30.4	69.6	5.14 ( <b>p=0.02</b> )*
Most Preferred Refrigerator	62.1ª	37.9ª	54.5 <sup>b</sup>	45.5 <sup>b</sup>	0.29 (p=0.59)
Least Preferred Pantry	50.0	50.0	47.8	52.2	0.03 (p=0.88)
Least Preferred Refrigerator	65.5ª	34.5ª	56.5	43.5	0.44(p=0.51)
Garden Vegetable Intake	56.7	43.3	52.4°	47.6°	0.09 (p=0.76)
Tomato	73.3	26.7	68.2 <sup>b</sup>	31.8 <sup>b</sup>	0.16 (p=0.69)
Soybeans	83.3	16.7	86.4 <sup>b</sup>	13.6 <sup>b</sup>	0.09 (p=0.77)
Broccoli	83.3	16.7	81.8 <sup>b</sup>	18.2 <sup>b</sup>	0.02 (p=0.89)
Peas	80.0	20.0	77.3 <sup>b</sup>	22.7 <sup>b</sup>	0.06 (p=0.81)
Spinach	86.7	13.3	63.6 <sup>b</sup>	36.4 <sup>b</sup>	3.79 (p=0.05) +
Greens	83.3	16.7	63.6 <sup>b</sup>	36.4 <sup>b</sup>	2.63 (p=0.11)
Bell Peppers	86.7	13.3	63.6 <sup>b</sup>	36.4 <sup>b</sup>	3.79 (p=0.05) *
Sweet Potato	83.3	16.7	81.8 <sup>b</sup>	18.2 <sup>b</sup>	0.02 (p=0.89)
Zucchini	96.7	3.3	76.2°	23.8°	4.99 ( <b>p=0.03</b> )*
Lettuce	80.0	20.0	59.1 <sup>b</sup>	40.9 <sup>b</sup>	2.70 (p=0.10)
<ul> <li>Control based on 29 resp</li> </ul>	ponses				
b. Intervention based on 22	2 responses				
c. Intervention based on 21	responses				
* Significant (p<0.05)					
<sup>+</sup> Trend (p<0.10)					
% $\downarrow$ or no $\Delta$ = percent negation	ve or no change	escore			
$\% \uparrow =$ percent positive change	ge score				

 Table 4: Change in Home Food Environment and Garden Vegetable Intakes by

 Control and Intervention



Dependent Variable	Model	AICc	$\Delta$ AICc	Bootstrap Likelihood Percentage	Model
A EV	1	106.1	0.0	32.63	FV Preferences, ΔPreferred Home Refrigerator, ΔPreferred Home, ΔStarchy Vegetable Intake
Self-Efficacy	2	106.2	0.1	33.27	FV Preferences, $\Delta$ Preferred Home Refrigerator, $\Delta$ Preferred Home
	3	107.5	1.4	34.11	$\Delta$ Preferred Home Refrigerator, $\Delta$ Preferred Home
A Nutvition	1	125.3	0.0	50.14	Treatment, Age, ∆Least Preferred Home
Knowledge	2	126.5	1.2	49.86	Treatment, Age, Preferred Home, $\Delta$ Preferred Home, $\Delta$ Least Preferred Home
	1	209.7	0.0	33.22	Treatment, Gender, Age, FV Self-Efficacy, Least Preferred home, ∆Garden Vegetable Intake
Δ FV Proferences	2	211.0	1.3	33.58	Treatment, Gender, Age, FV Self-Efficacy, Least Preferred Home
rielerences	3	210.7	1.0	33.19	Treatment, Gender, Age, FV Self-Efficacy, Least Preferred Home, ΔVegetable Intake, ΔGarden Vegetable Intake
	1	151.3	0.0	32.50	Gender, ∆Fruit Juice Intake
∆Fruit Intake	2	151.9	0.6	32.50	Gender, ∆Fruit Juice Intake
	3	154.5	3.2	34.95	Gender
∆ Fruit Juice	1	73.7	0.0	47.02	$\Delta$ Preferred Home, $\Delta$ Fruit Intake, $\Delta$ Starchy Vegetable Intake
ппаке	2	75.4	1.7	52.98	$\Delta$ Preferred Home, $\Delta$ Starchy Vegetable Intake
	1	172.0	0.0	33.61	Gender, Age, ∆Fruit Juice Intake
∆ Vegetable	2	172.5	0.5	33.29	Gender, Age, $\Delta$ Nutrition Knowledge, $\Delta$ Fruit Juice Intake
пптаке	3	172.9	0.9	33.10	Gender, Age, ∆Nutrition Knowledge, ∆Preferred Home, ∆Fruit Juice Intake
	1	79.6	0.0	25.08	∆Fruit Juice Intake, ∆Garden Vegetable Intake
∆ Starchy	2	80.1	0.5	24.74	Treatment, ΔFruit Juice Intake, ΔGarden Vegetable Intake
Intake	3	81.1	1.5	24.51	Treatment, $\Delta$ Preferred Home, $\Delta$ Fruit Juice Intake, $\Delta$ Garden Vegetable Intake
	4	80.6	1.0	25.66	∆Garden Vegetable Intake
1 Candan	1	139.7	0.0	33.11	Gender, ΔFV Preferences, ΔPreferred Home, ΔStarchy Vegetable Intake
A Garden	2	140.2	0.5	34.01	Gender, ∆Starchy Vegetable Intake
Intake	3	141.2	1.5	32.88	Treatment, Gender, $\Delta$ FV Preferences, $\Delta$ Preferred Home, $\Delta$ Least Preferred Home, $\Delta$ Starchy Vegetable Intake
$\Lambda = change in$					

Table 5: Multivariate likelihood analysis modeling predicting change in fruit and vegetable intake

All results are significant at p<0.05

Lowest AICc is the best predicting model, other AICc values within two units are considered closely related/nearly equivalent

 $\Delta$  AICc = AICc of the model – best model



:	•	-			•	)	:		
Dependent	Model	AICc	$\Delta \mathbf{AICc}$	Bootstrap Likelihood Percentage	Intercept	Treatment	Gender	Age	FV Self-Efficacy
Δ	1	151.3	0.0	32.50	-1.72 (-3.20,-0.255)		3.09 (0.990,5.24)		
Fruit	2	151.9	0.1	32.50	0.251 (-3.00, 3.05)		3.27 (1.14,5.46)		
Intake	3	154.5	1.4	34.95	-1.81 (-3.63,-0.0952)		3.56 (1.01,6.10)		
\ \ \ \ \	1	73.7	0.0	47.02	-0.275 (-0.824,0.273)				
Fruit Juice Intake	2	75.4	1.2	52.98	-0.303 (-0.946,0.290)				
Δ	1	172.0	0.0	33.61	18.2 (4.87,32.26)		3.22 (-0.14,6.39)	-1.69 (-2.93,-0.50)	
Vegetable	2	172.5	13	33.29	18.4 (4.69,32.85)		3.16 (-0.12,6.11)	-1.74 (-3.00,-0.52)	
Intake	3	172.9	1.0	33.10	18.6 (5.61, 33.59)		3.51 (0.32,6.98)	-1.80 (-3.13,-0.65)	
√ ~ ~	1	139.7	0.0	33.11	-1.64 (-2.99,-0.460)		2.12 (0.09,4.11)		
Garden Vegetahle	2	140.2	0.6	34.01	-1.07 (-2.46, 0.0930)		2.21 (0.23,4.41)		
Intake	3	141.2	3.2	32.88	-2.31 (-4.05,-1.00)	1.67 (-0.40,3.89)	1.65 (-0.36,3.80)		
۷	1	79.6	0.0	25.08	0.422 (-0.144,0.963)				
Starchy	2	80.1	1.7	24.74	0.782 (-0.0241,1.59)	-0.826 (-2.12,0.31)			
Vegetables	3	81.1	0.0	24.51	0.854 (0.0763,1.68)	-0.733 (-1.99,0.37)			
Intake	4	80.6	0.5	25.66	0.441 (-0.143,1.02)				
A	1	125.3	6.0	50.14	-6.2247 (-15.2,4.82)	2.106 (-0.208,4.444)		0.566 (-0.328,1.314)	
Knowledge	2	126.5	0.0	49.86	-4.65 (-14.4,6.56)	2.836 (0.376,5.279)		0.729 (-0.150,1.529)	
	1	209.7	0.5	33.22	38.93 (17.2,68.2)	-6.21 (-11.61,-1.62)	4.941 (-0.066,11.050)	-2.129 (-4.222,-0.498)	-0.820 (-1.682,-0.132)
Dreference	2	211.0	1.5	33.58	42.9 (19.2,70.8)	-6.44 (-11.99, -1.37)	6.268 (1.387,12.136)	-2.502 (-4.453,-0.832)	-0.782 (-1.648,-0.034)
	3	210.7	1.0	33.19	40.7 (18.2,71.1)	-5.43 (-10.63,-0.51)	5.295 (0.356,11.185)	-2.316 (-4.469,-0.707)	-0.823 (-1.676,-0.138)
Δ	1	106.1	0.0	32.63	5.92 (1.96,10.4)				
Self-	2	106.2	0.5	33.27	5.55 (1.46,9.96)				
Efficacy	3	107.5	1.5	34.11	1.51 (0.647,2.34)				

Supplementary Table: Complete Multivariate Likelihood Analysis Modeling Results with Bootstrapping

 Supplementary Table Continued

Dependent	Model	FV Preference	Most Preferred Home Environment	Least Preferred Home Environment	∆ FV Preferences	∆ Nutrition Knowledge	∆ Most Preferred Refrigerator	∆ Most Preferred Home Environment
Δ	1							
Fruit	2							
Intake	3							
Δ	1							0.172 (0.0320,0.301)
Fruit Juice Intake	2							0.187 (0.0540,0.312)
	1							
∆ Vegetahle Intake	2					0.313 (-0.217,0.814)		
	3					0.360 (-0.157,0.831)		0.251 (-0.068,0.722)
Δ	1				0.107 (0.025,0.269)			0.217 (-0.11,1.26)
Garden Vegetable	2							
Intake	3				0.130 (0.036,0.303)			0.307 (-0.003,0.565)
	1							
4	2							
Starchy Vegetables Intake	3							-0.083 (-0.237,0.057)
	4							
V	1							
Knowledge	2		-0.227 (-0.150,1.529)					-0.135 (-0.422,0.0788)
	1			-0.467 (-0.965,-0.075)				
∆ Preference	2			-0.494 (-1.018,-0.078)				
	3			-0.469 (-0.974,-0.058)				
	1	-0.050 (-0.100,-0.002)					0.588 (0.125,1.117)	-0.276 (-0.488,-0.087)
∆ Self-Efficacy	2	-0.047 (-0.095, 0)					0.612 (0.139,1.158)	-0.287 (-0.498,-0.097)
	3						0.602 (0.134,1.118)	-0.307 (-0.514,-0.110)

ţį,
C.
Table
atry
leme
Supp

led

Dependent	Model	∆ Least Preferred Home Environment	∆Fruit Intake	∆Vegetable Intake	∆ Fruit Juice Intake	∆ Starchy Vegetable Intake	∆ Garden Vegetable Intake
	1				0.63 (-0.230,1.94)		
∆ Fruit Intake	2				0.64 (-0.190,1.97)		
	3						
\ 	1		0.119 (-0.0270,0.344)			0.359 (-0.133,0.679)	
rrun Junce Intake	2					0.403 (0.0480,0.688)	
<	1				0.912 (0.16-1.33)		
Vegetable	2				0.913 (0.20,1.36)		
Intake	3				0.72 (-0.13,1.25)		
Δ	1					0.942 (0.449,1.260)	
Garden Vegetable	2					1.033 (0.455,1.344)	
Intake	3	-0.715 (-0.385,0.007)				0.902 (0.412,1.238)	
<	1				0.257 (-0.116,0.520)		0.249 (0.073,0.467)
Starchy	2				0.254 (-0.180,0.540)		0.262 (0.077,0.486)
v egetables Intake	3				0.315 (-0.100,0.590)		0.261 (0.080,0.509)
	4						0.306 (0.106,0.493)
Δ	1	-0.192 (-0.384,-0.020)					
Knowledge	2	-0.201 (-0.397,-0.019)					
	1						0.454 (0.039,0.806)
∆ Proforanca	2						
	3			-0.502 (-1.434,0.090)			0.983 (0.228,2.098)
Δ	1					-0.239 (-0.542,0.199)	
Self-	2						
Luicacy	3						

# References

- Akaike, H. (1974). A new look at the statistical model indentification. *IEEE Transactions* on Automatic Control, 19(6), 716–723. doi:10.1109/TAC.1974.1100705
- Ball, S., Cohen, A., & Meyer, M. (2012). Jump Into Action. Journal of Extension [Online], 50(3), Article 3FEA4. Retrieved from http://www.joe.org/joe/2012june/a4.php
- Bazzano, L. (2006). The high cost of not consuming fruits and vegetables. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, *106*(9), 1364–1368. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2006.06.021
- Bere, E., & Klepp, K. (2005). Changes in accessibility and preferences predict children's future fruit and vegetable intake. *The International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 2, 15. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-2-15
- Birch, L. (1999). Development of food preferences. *Annual Review of Nutrition*, *19*, 41–62. doi:10.1146/annurev.nutr.19.1.41
- Brownell, K., Schwartz, M., Puhl, R., Henderson, K., & Harris, J. (2009). The need for bold action to prevent adolescent obesity. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 45(3 Suppl), S8–17. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2009.03.004
- Brug, J., Tak, N., te Velde, S., Bere, E., & de Bourdeaudhuij, I. (2008). Taste preferences, liking and other factors related to fruit and vegetable intakes among schoolchildren: results from observational studies. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 99 Suppl 1, S7–S14. doi:10.1017/S0007114508892458
- Burnham, K., & Anderson, D. (2002). Bootstrap. Information and likelihood theory: a basis for model selection and inference. In *Model Selection and Multimodal Inference: A Practical Information-Theoretic Approach* (2nd ed., pp. 90–105). New York: Springer.
- Cartwright, M., Wardle, J., Steggles, N., Simon, A., Croker, H., & Jarvis, M. (2003). Stress and dietary practices in adolescents. *Health Psychology*, 22(4), 362–369. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12940392
- Catenacci, V., & Wyatt, H. (2007). America on the move. *Medical Cinics of North America*, 91(6), 1079–89, viii. doi:10.1016/j.mcna.2007.06.011
- Daniels, S., Arnett, D., Eckel, R., Gidding, S., Hayman, L., Kumanyika, S., ... Williams, C. (2005). Overweight in children and adolescents: pathophysiology, consequences, prevention, and treatment. *Circulation*, 111(15), 1999–2012. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.0000161369.71722.10
- Dietz, W. (1998a). Childhood weight affects adult morbidity and mortality. *Journal of Nutrition*, *128*(2 Suppl), 411S–414S.



- Dietz, W. (1998b). Health consequences of obesity in youth: childhood predictors of adult disease. *Pediatrics*, *101*(3 Pt 2), 518–525. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12224658
- Domel, S., Baranowski, T., Hunter, D., Leonard, S., & Riley, P. (1993). Measuring fruit and vegetable preferences among 4th- and 5th-grade students. *Preventive Medicine*, 22(6), 866–879. doi:10.1006/pmed.1993.1078
- Domel, S., Thompson, W., Hunter, D., Baranowski, T., & Leonard, S. (1996). Psychosocial predictors of fruit and vegetable consumption among elementary school children. *Health Education Research*, 11(3), 299–308. doi:10.1093/her/11.3.299
- Geller, K., & Dzewaltowski, D. (2010). Examining elementary school--aged children's self-efficacy and proxy efficacy for fruit and vegetable consumption. *Health Education and Behavior*, *37*(4), 465–478. doi:10.1177/1090198109347067
- Hanson, N., Neumark-Sztainer, D., Eisenberg, M., Story, M., & Wall, M. (2005). Associations between parental report of the home food environment and adolescent intakes of fruits, vegetables and dairy foods. *Public Health Nutrition*, 8(1), 77–85.
- Harvard School of Public Health. (2005). HSPH nutrition department's file download site. Retrieved May 28, 2013, from https://regepi.bwh.harvard.edu/health/KIDS/files/3. Youth.Adolescent Activity Questionnaire
- Harvey-Berino, J., Hood, V., Rourke, J., Terrance, T., Dorwaldt, A., & Secker-Walker, R. (1997). Food preferences predict eating behavior of very young Mohawk children. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 97(7), 750–753. doi:10.1016/S0002-8223(97)00186-7
- Heim, S., Stang, J., & Ireland, M. (2009). A garden pilot project enhances fruit and vegetable consumption among children. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 109(7), 1220–1226. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2009.04.009
- Hung, H., Joshipura, K., Jiang, R., Hu, F., Hunter, D., Smith-Warner, S., ... Willett, W. (2004). Fruit and vegetable intake and risk of major chronic disease. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 96(21), 1577–1584. doi:10.1093/jnci/djh296

IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences for Windows. (2010).

Kimmons, J., Gillespie, C., Seymour, J., Serdula, M., & Blanck, H. (2009). Fruit and vegetable intake among adolescents and adults in the United States: percentage meeting individualized recommendations. *Medscape Journal of Medicine*, 11(1), 26. Retrieved from



http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2654704&tool=pmcentr ez&rendertype=abstract

- Koletzko, B., de la Guéronnière, V., Toschke, A., & von Kries, R. (2004). Nutrition in children and adolescents in Europe: what is the scientific basis? Introduction. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 92 Suppl 2, S67–73. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15522162
- Konishi, S., & Kitagawa, G. (2008). Bootstrap information criterion. In *Information Criteria and Statistical Modeling* (1st ed., pp. 187–208). New York: Springer.
- Krebs-Smith, S., Reedy, J., & Bosire, C. (2010). Healthfulness of the U.S. food supply: little improvement despite decades of dietary guidance. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 38(5), 472–477. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2010.01.016
- Kristjansdottir, A., Thorsdottir, I., De Bourdeaudhuij, I., Due, P., Wind, M., & Klepp, K. (2006). Determinants of fruit and vegetable intake among 11-year-old schoolchildren in a country of traditionally low fruit and vegetable consumption. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, *3*, 41. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-3-41
- Larson, N., Laska, M., Story, M., & Neumark-Sztainer, D. (2012). Predictors of fruit and vegetable intake in young adulthood. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 112(8), 1216–1222. doi:10.1016/j.jand.2012.03.035
- Lorson, B., Melgar-Quinonez, H., & Taylor, C. (2009). Correlates of fruit and vegetable intakes in US children. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, *109*(3), 474–478. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2008.11.022
- Magarey, A., Daniels, L., Boulton, T., & Cockington, R. (2003). Predicting obesity in early adulthood from childhood and parental obesity. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders*, 27(4), 505–513. doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0802251

MathWorks. (n.d.). MATLAB R2007A. Natick, MA.

- Matsumoto, M., & Nishimura, T. (1998). Mersenne Twister: A 623-dimensionally equidistributed uniform pseudorandom number generator. *ACM Transactions on Modeling and Computer Simulation*, 8(1), 3–30. doi:10.1145/272991.272995
- Morris, J., Neustadter, A., & Zidenberg-Cherr, S. (2001). First-grade gardeners more likely to taste vegetables. *California Agriculture*, 43–46. Retrieved from http://californiaagriculture.ucanr.org/fileaccess.cfm?article=68883&p=XGKXRG&f iletip=pdf



- Munoz, K., Krebs-Smith, S., Ballard-Barbash, R., & Cleveland, L. (1997). Food intakes of US children and adolescents compared with recommendations. *Pediatrics*, *100*(3), 323–329. doi:10.1542/peds.100.3.323
- Ness, A., & Powles, J. (1997). Fruit and vegetables, and cardiovascular disease: a review. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 26(1), 1–13. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9126498
- Neumark-Sztainer, D., Wall, M., Perry, C., & Story, M. (2003). Correlates of fruit and vegetable intake among adolescents. *Preventive Medicine*, 37(3), 198–208. doi:10.1016/S0091-7435(03)00114-2
- Neumark-Sztainer, Story, Resnick, & Blum. (1996). Correlates of inadequate fruit and vegetable consumption among adolescents. *Preventive Medicine*, 25(5), 497–505. doi:10.1006/pmed.1996.0082
- Nicklas, T., Baranowski, T., Baranowski, J., Cullen, K., Rittenberry, L., & Olvera, N. (2001). Family and child-care provider influences on preschool children's fruit, juice, and vegetable consumption. *Nutrition Reviews*, 59(7), 224–235. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11475448
- Nielsen, S. (2003). Patterns and trends in food portion sizes, 1977-1998. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 289(4), 450–453. doi:10.1001/jama.289.4.450
- Ogden, C., Carroll, M., Kit, B., & Flegal, K. (2012). Prevalence of obesity and trends in body mass index among US children and adolescents, 1999-2010. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 307(5), 483–90. doi:10.1001/jama.2012.40
- Patrick, H., & Nicklas, T. (2005). A review of family and social determinants of children's eating patterns and diet quality. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, 24(2), 83–92.
- Pomerleau, J., Lock, K., Knai, C., & McKee, M. (2005). Interventions designed to increase adult fruit and vegetable intake can be effective: a systematic review of the literature. *Journal of Nutrition*, 135(10), 2486–2495. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16177217
- Rabe, M., Ohri-Vachaspati, P., & Scheer, S. (2006). The influence of the youth expanded food and nutrition education program on nutrition knowledge and self-reported behaviors of elementary school children. *Journal of Extension [On-line]*, 44(3), Article 3RIB6. Retrieved from http://www.joe.org/joe/2006june/rb6.php
- Rasmussen, M., Krølner, R., Klepp, K., Lytle, L., Brug, J., Bere, E., & Due, P. (2006).
  Determinants of fruit and vegetable consumption among children and adolescents: a review of the literature. Part I: Quantitative studies. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, *3*, 22. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-3-22



- Reynolds, K., Baranowski, T., Bishop, D., Farris, R., Binkley, D., Nicklas, T., & Elmer, P. (1999). Patterns in child and adolescent consumption of fruit and vegetables: effects of gender and ethnicity across four sites. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, 18(3), 248–254. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10376781
- Reynolds, K., Hinton, A., Shewchuk, R., & Hickey, C. (1999). Social cognitive model of fruit and vegetable consumption in elementary school children. *Journal of Nutrition Education*, *31*(1), 23–30. doi:10.1016/S0022-3182(99)70381-X
- Rockett, H., Breitenbach, M., Frazier, A., Witschi, J., Wolf, A., Field, A., & Colditz, G. (1997). Validation of a youth/adolescent food frequency questionnaire. *Preventive medicine*, 26(6), 808–816. doi:10.1006/pmed.1997.0200
- Sandeno, C., Wolf, G., Drake, T., & Reicks, M. (2000). Behavioral strategies to increase fruit and vegetable intake by fourth- through sixth-grade students. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 100(7), 828–830. doi:10.1016/S0002-8223(00)00239-X
- Schwimmer, J., Burwinkle, T., & Varni, J. (2003). Health-related quality of life of severely obese children and adolescents. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 289(14), 1813–1819. doi:10.1001/jama.289.14.1813
- Steinmetz, K., & Potter, J. (1996). Vegetables, fruit, and cancer prevention: a review. Journal of the American Dietetic Association, 96(10), 1027–1039. doi:10.1016/S0002-8223(96)00273-8
- Story, M., Ark-Sztainer, D., & French, S. (2002). Individual and environmental influences on adolescent eating behaviors. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 102(3), S40–S51. doi:10.1016/S0002-8223(02)90421-9
- Struempler, B., & Raby, A. (2005). Pizza please: An interactive nutrition evaluation for second and third grade students. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*. Retrieved February 28, 2013, from http://www2.furman.edu/academics/HSC/Documents/JNEB 2005a.pdf
- Van Duyn, M., & Pivonka, E. (2000). Overview of the health benefits of fruit and vegetable consumption for the dietetics professional: selected literature. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 100(12), 1511–1521. doi:10.1016/S0002-8223(00)00420-X
- Wardle, J. (1995). Parental influences on children's diets. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society, 54(03), 747–758. Retrieved from http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract\_S0029665195000772



- Whitlock, E., Williams, S., Gold, R., Smith, P., & Shipman, S. (2005). Screening and interventions for childhood overweight: a summary of evidence for the US Preventive Services Task Force. *Pediatrics*, 116(1), e125–44. doi:10.1542/peds.2005-0242
- Wolfe, W., & Campbell, C. (1993). Food pattern, diet quality, and related characteristics of schoolchildren in New York State. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 93(11), 1280–1284. doi:10.1016/0002-8223(93)91955-P
- Yeh, M., Ickes, S., Lowenstein, L., Shuval, K., Ammerman, A., Farris, R., & Katz, D. (2008). Understanding barriers and facilitators of fruit and vegetable consumption among a diverse multi-ethnic population in the USA. *Health Promotion International*, 23(1), 42–51. doi:10.1093/heapro/dam044



# CHAPTER V: ARE YOUTH DIETARY PATTERNS MEETING CURRENT MYPLATE AND DIETARY GUIDELINES FOR AMERICANS?

Elizabeth A. Mabary, Ruth E. Litchfield, PhD, RD, LD,

Randal C. Foster and Lorraine M. Lanningham-Foster, PhD Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, Iowa State University, Iowa, USA

A paper to be submitted to Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics

### Abstract

*Background:* The Immersion in Wellness project was conducted at a Midwest 4-H Center during the summer of 2012 and 2013. The project goal was to immerse campers in a five day intervention focusing on nutrition, culinary and gardening topics to improve health behaviors and construct a healthy lifestyle.

*Methods:* Two specific week-long camps were targeted for the study, recruiting 9-18 year olds. Control and intervention weeks were assigned during both summers. Data was collected from enrolled campers through multiple forms and survey tools assessing nutrition, physical activity, and the home environment.

*Results:* No significant difference by gender for FV self-efficacy, nutrition knowledge, FV preferences or FV intakes was found. The oldest campers had greater knowledge and vegetable intake compared to younger campers.

*Conclusions:* Intake of FV among youth continues to be minimal and their intakes of potassium, dietary fiber and calcium are likely not meeting the RDAs. Awareness of personal nutrition recommendations and potential health risks associated with low FV consumption is pertinent information for motivation to change. Interventions should target improving FV preferences and FV self-efficacy to positively influence intake.

Keywords: adolescents, nutrition education, fruits and vegetables



# Introduction

Americans consume too many calories from solid fats, added sugars, and large portion sizes (Koletzko, de la Guéronnière, Toschke, & von Kries, 2004; Munoz, Krebs-Smith, Ballard-Barbash, & Cleveland, 1997; Nielsen, 2003). In contrast, daily fruit and vegetable (FV) consumption is below current recommendations regardless of gender, ethnicity, or age (Kimmons, Gillespie, Seymour, Serdula, & Blanck, 2009; USDA & HHS, 2010; Yeh et al., 2008). Current Dietary Guidelines for Americans (USDA & HHS, 2010) recommend increasing intake of FVs, which also increases intake for three of the four nutrients of concern: potassium, dietary fiber, and calcium. Further, consuming a variety of FVs not only provides nutrients to support normal growth and development, but significantly reduces the risk of chronic disease (USDA & HHS, 2010).

In addition to increasing total FVs in our diet, vegetable subgroup (dark green, red/orange, legumes, starchy and other) recommendations are included in the Dietary Guidelines for Americans (USDA & HHS, 2010). Consuming nutrient dense vegetables such as legumes, dark green and orange colors is also encouraged amongst youth (Guenther, Dodd, Reedy, & Krebs-Smith, 2006; Krebs-Smith et al., 1996). School and childcare facilities have new school nutrition standards that increase the amount of FVs served, weekly requirements of vegetable subgroups, as well as limiting fruit juice and added sugar in fruits (Hartline-Grafton, Henchy, & Levin, 2012). Recent research suggests the new standards may in fact be improving FV intake (Taber, Chriqui, & Chaloupka, 2013).

The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) data on 12-18 year olds indicate just 0.9% of males and females meet calorie specific FV



recommendations based on United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) MyPyramid servings (Kimmons et al., 2009). As children become older FV consumption decreases (Rasmussen et al., 2006), with the lowest levels of FV consumption among adolescents (Kimmons et al., 2009; Lorson, Melgar-Quinonez, & Taylor, 2009). In fact, less than 33% of adolescents aged 11 to 16 years old report eating any FV daily (National Institutes of Health, 2013). More alarming, starchy vegetables such as fried potatoes and processed tomato products including pizza sauce, contribute over half of all intake among adolescents (Kimmons et al., 2009).

Males are less likely to consume FVs than females (Cartwright et al., 2003; Neumark-Sztainer, Story, Resnick, & Blum, 1996; Rasmussen et al., 2006; Reynolds, Baranowski, et al., 1999). Gender differences of FV intake may exist due to females having greater FV preferences (Brug, Tak, te Velde, Bere, & de Bourdeaudhuij, 2008), knowledge and self-efficacy (Beech, Rice, Myers, Johnson, & Nicklas, 1999), peer pressure and concerns relative to body image (Krølner et al., 2011; McKinley et al., 2005; Neumark-Sztainer, Story, Perry, & Casey, 1999). This research describes the FV intake of a sample of Midwest youth relative to current MyPlate recommendations and nutrients of concern identified by the Dietary Guidelines for Americans (USDA & HHS, 2010).

### Methods

The Immersion in Wellness camp experience was conducted at a Midwest State 4-H Center during the summer of 2012 and 2013. The goal was to immerse campers in a five-day intervention with experiential learning on nutrition, physical activity (PA), culinary and gardening to foster health behaviors associated with a healthy lifestyle.



University Institutional Review Board approval was received for all data collection measures and interventions.

### Data Collection

Two specific week-long camps at the 4-H Center were targeted for the study, "Everything Camp" and "Counselors in Training Camp" (CIT). Everything Camp facilitated recruitment of 9-14 year olds while CIT Camp targeted16-18 year olds. Six weeks of Everything and CIT Camp during summer of 2012 were used to recruit study participants. Two weeks of 4-H group camps during the summer of 2013 were also eligible to participate in the study.

Campers and their parents were recruited through a pre-camp mailing, which explained the intent of the study and enrollment procedures and/or simple one-on-one discussion with the researchers at camp registration. Eligible campers and their parents were asked to read and sign the informed consent documents. Two research participant receipt forms were completed to receive compensation for participation in the study.

Data was collected from enrolled campers using previously established survey tools (Domel, Baranowski, Hunter, Leonard, & Riley, 1993; Domel, Thompson, Hunter, Baranowski, & Leonard, 1996; Harvard School of Public Health, 2005; Rockett et al., 1997; Struempler & Raby, 2005) assessing nutrition knowledge, FV preferences, FV selfefficacy, and food intake via food frequency questionnaire (FFQ). Surveys were administered prior to the camp experience and collected at check-in.

### Data Analysis

Data was analyzed using the IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences for Windows (SPSS for windows, version 19.0, 2010). Likelihood analysis was programmed and assessed using MATLAB R2007A (MathWorks, Matworks, Natick, MA). The level



of significance p<0.05 was set for all results, while a trend was p<0.10. Data was analyzed using frequencies, independent samples t-test, One-way ANOVA, and multivariate likelihood analysis modeling (Akaike, 1974).

Baseline data for all campers (n=148) participating in the study from summer 2012 and 2013 were included in analyses. An independent sample t-test was used to examine differences in FV self-efficacy, nutrition knowledge, FV preferences, and FV intakes by gender. One-way ANOVA was used to examine differences in FV self-efficacy, nutrition knowledge, FV preferences, and FV intakes by three age groups (9-11, 12-15, and 16-18 years old). Age groups corresponded to camp enrollment as an "Everything Camper" (9-11 and 12-15 years old) and "CITs" (16-18 years old).

Self-reported FV intake frequencies were converted into daily frequency equivalents (Helaine Rockett, 2013). Daily equivalents were converted to cups where one-half cup corresponded to one daily equivalent per MyPyramid recommendations when the FFQ was created. Daily equivalents for all individual FV intakes were examined individually, but also grouped as fruit, fruit juice, vegetable, starchy vegetable as well as vegetable sub-groups including starchy, dark green/leafy, red/orange, legumes, and other. It should be noted that current Dietary Guidelines for Americans classifies sweet potatoes in the red/orange vegetable sub-group; however it was categorized as a starchy vegetable in other intake analyses. Those meeting FV recommendations by age and gender were determined using MyPlate recommendations for 9-13 and 14-18 year old age groups.

Nutrient intake from FV consumption for three of the four nutrients of concern identified by the Dietary Guidelines for Americans(USDA & HHS, 2010) was estimated



from the FFQ responses using USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference values (USDA, 2013a). The Economic Research Service Food Availability Data System (USDA, 2013b) was used to calculate nutrient composition for some FVs (ex: corn) where consumption form was not denoted. For these FVs, nutrient intake was estimated using the proportional availability in the food supply (i.e. fresh, canned, frozen). When more than one food item was within a category of FFQ (ex: apple/applesauce), individual potassium, dietary fiber, and calcium contents were averaged. Estimated nutrient intakes were compared to the Dietary Reference Intakes Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDA) (Institute of Medicine, 2006) for potassium, dietary fiber and calcium by age and gender.

Data from 148 subjects were tested for correlation factorially using Pearson's correlation coefficient. Significant correlations were noted to protect against using collinear and related elements in multivariate likelihood analysis modeling. Potential models were identified by building multivariate linear models factorially. Statistically significant models were identified and tested against each other using maximum likelihood estimates. These estimates were examined using the Akaike Information Criteria corrected for small sample size (AICc) (Akaike, 1974). Since the analysis yielded robust and nearly identical models according to the AICc, bootstrapping was not performed (Burnham & Anderson, 2002).

# Results

A total of 148 campers (55 male, 93 female) completed the surveys during summer 2012 and 2013. There was no statistical difference in age by gender (Table 1). No significant difference in FV self-efficacy, nutrition knowledge, FV preferences, or FV intake by gender was noted; however, females tended to have greater vegetable



preferences (p<0.10; Table 2). Chi-Square analysis indicates 14-18 year olds meeting age specific fruit recommendations was statistically significant (p=0.02), while meeting age specific vegetable recommendations was a trend compared to 9-13 year olds (p=0.07; Figure 1). No statistical significance was found between gender and meeting age specific FV recommendations (Figure 1).

The oldest campers (16-18 years) had significantly greater knowledge than younger campers (9-11 and 12-15 years). They also had significantly greater consumption of vegetables, starchy vegetables, potassium, and specific vegetable subgroups (starchy, red/orange and other) than 12-15 year old campers (Table 2). Older campers (16-18 years) intake of fruit juice and calcium also tended to be greater compared to 12-15 year old campers (p<0.10; Table 2). The youngest campers (9-11 years), had significantly greater fruit intake, as well as a tendency to have greater fruit juice intake, starchy vegetable sub-group, and potassium intake compared to the 12-15 year old campers (p<0.10; Table 2).

Campers met 14% and 19% the daily recommendation for potassium, 22% and 25% for dietary fiber, and 4% and 6% calcium for 9-13 and 14-18 year age groups, respectively (data not shown) through FV intake. Potassium intake was contributed primarily from bananas, spaghetti sauce, apples, apple juice and lentils (Figure 2). Apples, lentils, bananas, pears and lima beans were the primary contributors of dietary fiber (Figure 2). Finally, calcium came from consumption of lentils, greens, oranges, broccoli and apple juice (Figure 2).

Further exploratory data analysis was conducted using multivariate likelihood analysis modeling (Table 3). Results suggest the most influential variables influencing



fruit, vegetable and starchy vegetable intake are FV preferences and FV self-efficacy. Knowledge was another predictor variable of fruit intake; however, the increase in likelihood was slight with the addition of knowledge to the model and may not be justified (Table 3).

# Discussion

Results of the current study suggest no significant difference by gender for FV self-efficacy, nutrition knowledge, FV preferences or FV intakes. This is in contrast to previously reported research suggesting females have greater self-efficacy, nutrition knowledge and FV preferences compared to males (Beech et al., 1999; Brug et al., 2008). The females in this study did have a slightly higher preference for vegetables than males.

The oldest campers (16-18 years) had greater knowledge compared to all younger campers. They also had greater consumption of vegetables, fruit juice, potassium, and calcium compared to 12-15 year old campers, which supports research suggesting knowledge leads to greater FV consumption (Reynolds, Hinton, Shewchuk, & Hickey, 1999). The youngest campers, 9-11 years, consumed more fruit, fruit juice, starchy vegetable and potassium compared to 12-15 year campers. While greater fruit intake is positive, fruit juice and starchy vegetable intake should be limited per the Dietary Guidelines for Americans (USDA & HHS, 2010).

Fruits and vegetables are an important source of potassium and dietary fiber. As such, they should be contributing a significant portion of the RDA for these nutrients. Results of this research suggest 14% and 19% of potassium and 22% and 25% of dietary fiber recommendations are being met with current FV intake for 9-13 and 14-18 year olds, respectively. Assuming youth consume the current recommendation of three cups of milk daily, an additional 1,146 mg of potassium would be consumed and total daily



potassium intake would equal 1,826 mg, 40% of the RDA. NHANES "What We Eat in America" data suggests males and females age 6-19 are consuming on average 2,499mg and 2,050mg of potassium respectively each day (USDA & ARS, 2012). A report on "Potassium Intake of the U.S. Population" indicates that FVs are the largest reported contribution to potassium intake (Hoy & Goldman, 2012). Presuming youth are also meeting current recommendations for daily grain intake, with half of grains consumed being whole, the current data suggests total daily dietary fiber recommendations would be met by 61% (17.4g) of 9-13 year olds and 67% (21.4g) of 14-18 year olds. NHANES "What We Eat in America" data suggests males and females age 6-19 are consuming on average 15.0g and 13.6g of dietary fiber respectively each day (USDA & ARS, 2012).

While FV intake does not contribute significantly to overall calcium intake, it is noteworthy as one of the four nutrients of concern identified by the Dietary Guidelines for Americans. Assuming youth consume the current recommendation of three cups of milk daily, an additional 918 mg of calcium would be consumed and total daily intake would equal 974 mg, 75% of the RDA. NHANES "What We Eat in America" data suggests males and females age 6-19 are consuming on average 1,194mg and 956mg of calcium respectively each day (USDA & ARS, 2012). Ultimately, campers are not meeting potassium, dietary fiber and calcium recommendations.

Further examination of the data on an individual basis suggests none of the campers met the three RDA nutrient recommendations for age and gender that were analyzed. However, a limitation to this study is the FFQ tool utilized included a limited number of FVs. A newly developed FFQ (Harvard School of Public Health, 2013) has



added watermelon, blueberries, pineapple, tomato juice, V8 Fusion, cauliflower, cabbage and okra, which would have added to the estimates of potassium and fiber intake.

Current MyPlate FV recommendations for males are: 9-13 years- 1 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> cups fruit and 2 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> cups vegetables; and 14-18 years- 2 cups fruit and 3 cups vegetables. Current FV recommendations for females are: 9-13 years- 1 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> cups fruit and 2 cups vegetable; and 14-18 years- 1 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> cups fruit and 2 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> cups vegetables. Of males age 9-13 years, 15% met fruit and 4% met vegetable recommendations, while among females age 9-13 years, 16% met fruit and 8% met vegetable recommendations. Of males age 14-18 years, 38% met fruit and 13% met vegetable recommendations, while among females age 14-18 years, 39% met fruit and 23% met vegetable recommendations. A previous study analyzing NHANES 2-day, 24 hour recall data of adolescents age 12-18 years old indicates that only 6.2% of fruit and 5.8% of vegetable recommendations were met in the population sample (Kimmons et al., 2009). Although the youth involved in this study are well below recommendations, they appear to be above national samples. Overall, there was no statistical difference between genders in the likelihood of meeting age specific FV recommendations, however age did influence whether specific FV recommendations were met. This finding conflicts with other research suggesting females are more likely to meet FV recommendations compared to males (Cartwright et al., 2003; Neumark-Sztainer et al., 1996; Rasmussen et al., 2006; Reynolds, Baranowski, et al., 1999).

Multivariate likelihood analysis modeling suggests that age and gender are not predictive of FV consumption. Fruit, vegetable and starchy vegetable intake was influenced more by FV preferences and self-efficacy for consuming FVs. Previous research suggests that youth with greater FV preferences (Brug et al., 2008), nutrition


knowledge (Reynolds, Hinton, et al., 1999), and self-efficacy for consuming FVs (Geller & Dzewaltowski, 2010) are more likely to consume FVs. The exploratory analysis conducted with data in this study is consistent with the previous research.

Limitations of this study include a limited sample of Midwest youth with minimal demographic or socioeconomic information, which may not be representative of other geographic regions. All data collected was based on self-report responses to surveys and parent perceptions with its inherent limitations. An uneven distribution of ages may skew some analyses and interpretation. Further, the FVs included in the original FFQ (Rockett et al., 1997) tool have since been updated with a new FFQ (Harvard School of Public Health, 2013) which survey a greater number of FVs. Finally, the FFQ was developed during previous version of the Dietary Guidelines for Americans recommendations (MyPyramid) and may not translate accurately to current recommendations (MyPlate) primarily, which are based on cup rather than serving recommendations.

In conclusion, intake of FV among youth continues to be marginal. Intakes of potassium, dietary fiber and calcium are likely not meeting the RDAs. The biggest concern lies within 12-15 year olds, as they are consuming the least amount of nutrients from FVs. Adequate consumption of FV is necessary to prevent development of overweight and obesity as well as chronic disease (Bazzano, 2006; Daniels et al., 2005; Hung et al., 2004; Kimmons et al., 2009; Magarey, Daniels, Boulton, & Cockington, 2003; Ness & Powles, 1997; Steinmetz & Potter, 1996; Van Duyn & Pivonka, 2000).Further, the lack of optimal nutrition in our youth during a critical time of growth and maturation is concerning (Koletzko et al., 2004). Awareness of personal nutrition recommendations and potential health risks associated with low FV consumption is



pertinent information for motivation to change. In this study, gender and age did not appear to influence FV consumption. Conversely, it appears, interventions should target improving FV preferences and FV self-efficacy to positively influence FV intake.

	Male	Female	Total
Number of Campers	55	93	148
Age			Total
μ ± (SEM)	$11.60 \pm (0.28)$	$11.80 \pm (0.24)$	$11.72 \pm (0.18)$
9	6	5	11
10	11	27	38
11	15	18	33
12	12	18	30
13	3	9	12
14	2	0	2
15	1	2	3
16	2	5	7
17	3	2	5
18	0	4	4
Missing Data	0	3	3

Table 1: Baseline Demographics by Male and Female



Table 2: Independent Samples T-Test and Oneway ANOVA Results for Baseline Self-Efficacy, Knowledge, Preferences, and Intake by Gender and Age Groups

		Gender	(n=148)		Age Groups (n=14	ł5)
	Possible Score	Male $(n=55)$ $\mu \pm (SEM)$	$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Female (n=93)} \\ \mu \pm (SEM) \end{array}$	9-11 years (n=82) $\mu \pm (SEM)$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{12-15 years} \\ \textbf{(n=47)} \\ \mu \pm (\text{SEM}) \end{array}$	16-18 years $(n=16)$ $\mu \pm (SEM)$
FV Self-Efficacy	0-12	$7.98 \pm (0.43)$	$8.43 \pm (0.33)$	$8.33 \pm (0.34)$	$7.64 \pm (0.50)$	$9.56 \pm (0.63)$
Nutrition Knowledge	0-16	$12.64 \pm (0.36)$	$12.68 \pm (0.23)$	$12.39 \pm (0.27)^{a}$	$12.49 \pm (0.34)^3$	$14.75 \pm (0.17)^{b}$
FV Preferences	0-108	$85.89 \pm (1.99)$	$89.76 \pm (1.52)$	$89.98 \pm (1.59)$	$86.32 \pm (2.25)$	$88.75 \pm (3.37)$
Fruit Preferences	0-66	$46.40 \pm (1.24)$	$48.80 \pm (0.84)$	$48.35 \pm (0.95)$	$47.11 \pm (1.29)$	$47.00 \pm (1.81)$
Vegetable Preferences	0-42	$27.27 \pm (0.76)$	$29.05 \pm (0.63)$	$28.34 \pm (0.64)$	$27.74 \pm (0.89)$	$29.75 \pm (1.49)$
Intakes †						
Fruit		$1.41 \pm (0.17)$	$1.45 \pm (0.10)$	$1.59 \pm (0.12)^{a}$	$1.09 \pm (0.11)^{b}$	$1.66 \pm (0.32)$
Fruit Juice		$0.37 \pm (0.08)$	$0.41 \pm (0.06)$	$0.45 \pm (0.07)$	$0.23 \pm (0.04)$	$0.58\pm(0.19)$
Vegetable		$1.49 \pm (0.15)$	$1.74 \pm (0.14)$	$1.69 \pm (0.13)$	$1.34 \pm (0.16)^3$	$2.38 \pm (0.48)^{b}$
Starchy Vegetable		$0.45 \pm (0.05)$	$0.46 \pm (0.03)$	$0.48 \pm (0.04)$	$0.36 \pm (0.04)^{a}$	$0.64\pm(0.11)^{\mathrm{b}}$
Vegetable Sub-Groups						
Starchy		$0.42 \pm (0.41)$	$0.44 \pm (0.03)$	$0.46\pm(0.03)$	$0.33 \pm (0.04)^3$	$0.61\pm(0.11)^{ m b}$
Dark Green/Leafy		$0.17 \pm (0.03)$	$0.25 \pm (0.04)$	$0.23 \pm (0.03)$	$0.19 \pm (0.04)$	$0.29 \pm (0.09)$
Red/Orange		$0.49 \pm (0.05)$	$0.54 \pm (0.05)$	$0.55 \pm (0.05)$	$0.40 \pm (0.05)^3$	$0.71 \pm (0.16)^{b}$
Legumes		$0.16 \pm (0.02)$	$0.20 \pm (0.03)$	$0.19 \pm (0.02)$	$0.19 \pm (0.03)$	$0.20 \pm (0.08)$
Other		$0.26\pm(0.04)$	$0.30 \pm (0.04)$	$0.27 \pm (0.03)^{a}$	$0.23 \pm (0.04)^3$	$0.58\pm(0.15)^{\rm b}$
Potassium (mg)		$662.17 \pm (57.70)$	$(699.96 \pm (44.89))$	$722.80 \pm (46.39)$	552.57 ± (55.52) <sup>a</sup>	$883.80 \pm (137.21)^{b}$
Fiber (g)		$6.15 \pm (0.58)$	$6.56 \pm (0.45)$	$6.77 \pm (0.45)$	$5.32 \pm (0.61)$	$7.84 \pm (1.38)$
Calcium (mg)		$51.30 \pm (4.85)$	$59.74 \pm (4.62)$	$58.78 \pm (4.31)$	$47.34 \pm (5.84)$	$74.59 \pm (14.13)$
† Intakes reported in daily equ	uivalents. 1.	$00 \text{ serving} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ cup i}$	n MyPlate			
<sup>ab</sup> Means in the same row with	different su	tperscript letters are	significantly differen	tt (p<0.05)		
Data by gender analyzed usi	ing Indepen	dent Samples T-Tes				
Data by age groups analyzed	d using One	way ANOVA. Post	Hoc Test: Bonferron			

 Table 3: Multi-factorial Regression Using Likelihood Analysis and Information

 Criterion at Baseline

Dependent Variables	Model (n=148)	p-value	AICc	∆ AICc
	Preferences, Self-Efficacy, Knowledge	0.0003	473.82	0.00
Fruit	Preferences, Self-Efficacy	0.0002	473.98	0.16
Intake	Preferences	0.0002	474.59	0.77
	Preferences, Knowledge	0.0004	475.22	1.40
Vegetable	Preferences, Self-Efficacy	<0.0001	547.93	0.00
Intake	Preferences	< 0.0001	549.68	1.75
Starchy	Preferences, Self-Efficacy	0.0004	282.20	0.00
Vegetable	Self-Efficacy	0.0004	282.89	0.69
Intake	Preferences	0.0008	284.42	2.22
- All result	ts are significant at p<0.05			
- Lowest A considered	AICc is the best predicting model, other AIC ed closely related/nearly equivalent	c values with	hin two units	are







70

#### Figure 2. Top Sources of Nutrient Intakes





#### References

- Akaike, H. (1974). A new look at the statistical model indentification. *IEEE Transactions* on Automatic Control, 19(6), 716–723. doi:10.1109/TAC.1974.1100705
- Bazzano, L. (2006). The high cost of not consuming fruits and vegetables. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, *106*(9), 1364–1368. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2006.06.021
- Beech, B., Rice, R., Myers, L., Johnson, C., & Nicklas, T. (1999). Knowledge, attitudes, and practices related to fruit and vegetable consumption of high school students. *The Journal of Adolescent Health*, 24(4), 244–250. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10227343
- Brug, J., Tak, N., te Velde, S., Bere, E., & de Bourdeaudhuij, I. (2008). Taste preferences, liking and other factors related to fruit and vegetable intakes among schoolchildren: results from observational studies. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 99 Suppl 1, S7–S14. doi:10.1017/S0007114508892458
- Burnham, K., & Anderson, D. (2002). Bootstrap. Information and likelihood theory: a basis for model selection and inference. In *Model Selection and Multimodal Inference: A Practical Information-Theoretic Approach* (2nd ed., pp. 90–105). New York: Springer.
- Cartwright, M., Wardle, J., Steggles, N., Simon, A., Croker, H., & Jarvis, M. (2003). Stress and dietary practices in adolescents. *Health Psychology*, 22(4), 362–369. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12940392
- Daniels, S., Arnett, D., Eckel, R., Gidding, S., Hayman, L., Kumanyika, S., ... Williams, C. (2005). Overweight in children and adolescents: pathophysiology, consequences, prevention, and treatment. *Circulation*, 111(15), 1999–2012. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.0000161369.71722.10
- Domel, S., Baranowski, T., Hunter, D., Leonard, S., & Riley, P. (1993). Measuring fruit and vegetable preferences among 4th- and 5th-grade students. *Preventive Medicine*, 22(6), 866–879. doi:10.1006/pmed.1993.1078
- Domel, S., Thompson, W., Hunter, D., Baranowski, T., & Leonard, S. (1996). Psychosocial predictors of fruit and vegetable consumption among elementary school children. *Health Education Research*, 11(3), 299–308. doi:10.1093/her/11.3.299
- Geller, K., & Dzewaltowski, D. (2010). Examining elementary school--aged children's self-efficacy and proxy efficacy for fruit and vegetable consumption. *Health Education and Behavior*, *37*(4), 465–478. doi:10.1177/1090198109347067



- Guenther, P., Dodd, K., Reedy, J., & Krebs-Smith, S. (2006). Most Americans eat much less than recommended amounts of fruits and vegetables. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, *106*(9), 1371–1379. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2006.06.002
- Hartline-Grafton, H., Henchy, G., & Levin, M. (2012). Healthier school meals: A summary of the new USDA standards for school breakfast and lunch. Retrieved October 07, 2013, from http://frac.org/federal-foodnutrition-programs/national-school-lunch-program/eligibility/
- Harvard School of Public Health. (2005). HSPH nutrition department's file download site. Retrieved May 28, 2013, from https://regepi.bwh.harvard.edu/health/KIDS/files/3. Youth.Adolescent Activity Questionnaire
- Harvard School of Public Health. (2013). HSPH nutrition department's file download site. Retrieved from https://regepi.bwh.harvard.edu/health/KIDS/files
- Hoy, M., & Goldman, J. (2012). Potassium intake of the U.S. population: What We Eat In America, NHANES 2009- 2010. *Food Surveys Research Group Dietary Data Brief*, 10. Retrieved from http://ars.usda.gov/Services/docs.htm?docid=19476
- Hung, H., Joshipura, K., Jiang, R., Hu, F., Hunter, D., Smith-Warner, S., ... Willett, W. (2004). Fruit and vegetable intake and risk of major chronic disease. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 96(21), 1577–1584. doi:10.1093/jnci/djh296
- Institute of Medicine. (2006). Dietary Reference Intakes. Retrieved from http://www.iom.edu/reports/2006/dietary-reference-intakes-essential-guide-nutrientrequirements.aspx
- Kimmons, J., Gillespie, C., Seymour, J., Serdula, M., & Blanck, H. (2009). Fruit and vegetable intake among adolescents and adults in the United States: percentage meeting individualized recommendations. *Medscape Journal of Medicine*, 11(1), 26. Retrieved from http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2654704&tool=pmcentr ez&rendertype=abstract
- Koletzko, B., de la Guéronnière, V., Toschke, A., & von Kries, R. (2004). Nutrition in children and adolescents in Europe: what is the scientific basis? Introduction. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 92 Suppl 2, S67–73. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15522162
- Krebs-Smith, S., Cook, A., Subar, A., Cleveland, L., Friday, J., & Kahle, L. (1996). Fruit and vegetable intakes of children and adolescents in the United States. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine*, 150(1), 81. doi:10.1001/archpedi.1996.02170260085014



- Krølner, R., Rasmussen, M., Brug, J., Klepp, K., Wind, M., & Due, P. (2011). Determinants of fruit and vegetable consumption among children and adolescents: a review of the literature. Part II: qualitative studies. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 8, 112. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-8-112
- Lorson, B., Melgar-Quinonez, H., & Taylor, C. (2009). Correlates of fruit and vegetable intakes in US children. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, *109*(3), 474–478. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2008.11.022
- Magarey, A., Daniels, L., Boulton, T., & Cockington, R. (2003). Predicting obesity in early adulthood from childhood and parental obesity. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders*, 27(4), 505–513. doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0802251
- MathWorks. (n.d.). MATLAB R2007A. Natick, MA.
- McKinley, M., Lowis, C., Robson, P., Wallace, J., Morrissey, M., Moran, A., & Livingstone, M. (2005). It's good to talk: children's views on food and nutrition. *European journal of clinical nutrition*, 59(4), 542–551. doi:10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602113
- Munoz, K., Krebs-Smith, S., Ballard-Barbash, R., & Cleveland, L. (1997). Food intakes of US children and adolescents compared with recommendations. *Pediatrics*, 100(3), 323–329. doi:10.1542/peds.100.3.323
- National Institutes of Health. (2013). Only half of U.S. youth meet physical activity standards, NIH study shows. *National Institutes of Health*. Retrieved July 11, 2013, from http://www.nih.gov/news/health/jun2013/nichd-25.htm
- Ness, A., & Powles, J. (1997). Fruit and vegetables, and cardiovascular disease: a review. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 26(1), 1–13. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9126498
- Neumark-Sztainer, D., Story, M., Perry, C., & Casey, M. (1999). Factors influencing food choices of adolescents: findings from focus-group discussions with adolescents. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 99(8), 929–937. doi:10.1016/S0002-8223(99)00222-9
- Neumark-Sztainer, Story, Resnick, & Blum. (1996). Correlates of inadequate fruit and vegetable consumption among adolescents. *Preventive Medicine*, 25(5), 497–505. doi:10.1006/pmed.1996.0082
- Nielsen, S. (2003). Patterns and trends in food portion sizes, 1977-1998. Journal of the American Medical Association, 289(4), 450–453. doi:10.1001/jama.289.4.450
- Rasmussen, M., Krølner, R., Klepp, K., Lytle, L., Brug, J., Bere, E., & Due, P. (2006). Determinants of fruit and vegetable consumption among children and adolescents: a



review of the literature. Part I: Quantitative studies. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, *3*, 22. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-3-22

- Reynolds, K., Baranowski, T., Bishop, D., Farris, R., Binkley, D., Nicklas, T., & Elmer, P. (1999). Patterns in child and adolescent consumption of fruit and vegetables: effects of gender and ethnicity across four sites. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, 18(3), 248–254. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10376781
- Reynolds, K., Hinton, A., Shewchuk, R., & Hickey, C. (1999). Social cognitive model of fruit and vegetable consumption in elementary school children. *Journal of Nutrition Education*, 31(1), 23–30. doi:10.1016/S0022-3182(99)70381-X
- Rockett, H, Breitenbach, M., Frazier, A., Witschi, J., Wolf, A., Field, A., & Colditz, G. (1997). Validation of a youth/adolescent food frequency questionnaire. *Preventive medicine*, 26(6), 808–816. doi:10.1006/pmed.1997.0200
- Rockett, Helaine. (2013, May 24). Youth Adolescent Questionnaire Daily Equivalents. *Personal Communication*.
- Steinmetz, K., & Potter, J. (1996). Vegetables, fruit, and cancer prevention: a review. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 96(10), 1027–1039. doi:10.1016/S0002-8223(96)00273-8
- Struempler, B., & Raby, A. (2005). Pizza please: An interactive nutrition evaluation for second and third grade students. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*. Retrieved February 28, 2013, from http://www2.furman.edu/academics/HSC/Documents/JNEB 2005a.pdf
- Taber, D., Chriqui, J., & Chaloupka, F. (2013). State laws governing school meals and disparities in fruit/vegetable intake. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 44(4), 365–372. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2012.11.038
- U.S. Department of Agriculture. (2013a). National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference. Retrieved from http://ndb.nal.usda.gov/ndb/foods/show/3048
- U.S. Department of Agriculture. (2013b). Economic Research Service Food Availability Data System. Retrieved from http://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/food-availability-(per-capita)-data-system/.aspx#.UjnqG3\_O\_Tp
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, & Agricultural Research Service. (2012). Nutrient Intakes from Food: Mean Amounts Consumed per Individual, by Gender and Age, What We Eat in America, NHANES 2009-2010. Retrieved from www.ars.usda.gov/ba/bhnrc/fsrg



- U.S. Department of Agriculture, & U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2010). Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2010. 7th Edition, Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office. Retrieved July 10, 2013, from http://www.health.gov/dietaryguidelines/2010.asp
- Van Duyn, M., & Pivonka, E. (2000). Overview of the health benefits of fruit and vegetable consumption for the dietetics professional: selected literature. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 100(12), 1511–1521. doi:10.1016/S0002-8223(00)00420-X
- Yeh, M., Ickes, S., Lowenstein, L., Shuval, K., Ammerman, A., Farris, R., & Katz, D. (2008). Understanding barriers and facilitators of fruit and vegetable consumption among a diverse multi-ethnic population in the USA. *Health Promotion International*, 23(1), 42–51. doi:10.1093/heapro/dam044



### CONCLUSIONS

This Immersion in Wellness research project was implemented in order to immerse youth in a five day intervention to improve health behaviors and construct a healthier lifestyle. The immersion focused on nutrition, physical activity, culinary and gardening topics throughout the week at camp. Intervention campers received the immersion camp experience along with a take-home kit to influence their home food environment, while the control group attended traditional camp.

The intervention campers at six-month post-camp did not score significantly higher in fruit and vegetable (FV) self-efficacy, nutrition knowledge, or FV preferences compared to the control group. However, the intervention group did see a greater increase in their most preferred home food environment and garden vegetable intakes. This suggests that camp may have positively influenced healthier pantry and refrigerator offerings as well as increased their exposure and familiarity with vegetables, therefore positively influencing garden vegetable intake.

There was no statistical difference between genders in the likelihood of meeting age specific FV recommendations, however age did influence whether specific FV recommendations were met. Overall, FV consumption was low and Iowa youth are likely not meeting daily potassium, dietary fiber, and calcium needs.

As for future research, results from exploratory data analysis suggest that the home food environment may influence FV self-efficacy, nutrition knowledge, FV preferences as well as FV intakes. In this study, gender and age did not appear to influence FV consumption. Therefore, interventions should target improving FV preferences and FV self-efficacy to positively influence FV intake.



### **APPENDIX A: INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD APPROVAL**

# IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Institutional Review Board Office for Responsible Resear Vice President for Research 1138 Pearson Hall Ames, Iowa 50011-2207 515 294-4566 FAX 515 294-4267

Date:	3/16/2012			
То:	Dr. Ruth Lite 1104 HNSB	chfield		
From:	Office for Re	esponsible Research		
Title:	Immersion i	n Wellness at Iowa 4-H Center		
IRB ID:	11-548			
Approval Date:		3/15/2012	Date for Continuing Review:	12/8/2012
Submission Ty	pe:	Modification	Review Type:	Expedited

The project referenced above has received approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at Iowa State University according to the dates shown above. Please refer to the IRB ID number shown above in all correspondence regarding this study.

To ensure compliance with federal regulations (45 CFR 46 & 21 CFR 56), please be sure to:

- Use only the approved study materials in your research, including the recruitment materials and informed consent documents that have the IRB approval stamp.
- Retain signed informed consent documents for 3 years after the close of the study, when documented consent is required.
- Obtain IRB approval prior to implementing <u>any</u> changes to the study by submitting a Modification Form for Non-Exempt Research or Amendment for Personnel Changes form, as necessary.
- Immediately inform the IRB of (1) all serious and/or unexpected adverse experiences involving risks to subjects or others; and (2) any other unanticipated problems involving risks to subjects or others.
- Stop all research activity if IRB approval lapses, unless continuation is necessary to prevent harm to
  research participants. Research activity can resume once IRB approval is reestablished.
- Complete a new continuing review form at least three to four weeks prior to the date for continuing review as noted above to provide sufficient time for the IRB to review and approve continuation of the study. We will send a courtesy reminder as this date approaches.
- Upon completion of the project, please submit a Project Closure Form to the Office for Responsible Research, 1138 Pearson Hall, to officially close the project.

Please don't hesitate to contact us if you have questions or concerns at 515-294-4566 or IRB@iastate.edu.



## **APPENDIX B: CAMPER INFORMATION**

## **Camper Information**

Name (First, Last):			
Gender: Male Female			
Age at camp:	Weight:	Height:	
Known food allergy:			
Doctor recommendations or :	restrictions at camp reg	garding light physical activ	vity?



## **APPENDIX C: CAMPER SURVEY**

ID Nur	mber			ISU IRB # 1 11-548 Approved Date: 7 December 2012 Expiration Date: 8 December 2014
I	Food can be put i	nto 6 food groups.	. Think about wha	at foods go into each group.
or qu	estions 1-6, circle the	food that does not be	elong in the food grou	p listed.
1.	Grain group			
	Waffle	Noodles	Apples	Oatmeal
2.	Vegetable group			
	Broccoli	Carrot	Cabbage	Pear
3.	Fruit group			
	Strawberries	Pineapple	Watermelon	Corn
4.	Meat group			
	Turkey	Chicken	Potato	Ham
5.	Milk group			
	Cheese	Cracker	Pudding	Yogurt
6.	Fat, oil, and sweets	group		
	Hamburger	Butter	Cupcake	Candy
		-1.1.1		
		Think abou	it nutrients in foo	ds.
For q	uestions 7-11, put the	e letter next to each nu	utrient in the blank be	iside the correct food.
7.	Milk		a. Vitamin C	
8.	Bread		b. Calcium	
9.	Fruits and V	egetables	c. Protein	
10	Meat		d. Sugar	
10.				







	\$	<u>📀</u>	<u>()</u>
Foods	I do not like this	I like this a little	I like this a lot
15. Blueberries			
16. Pineapple			
15. Grapefruit			
16. Fruit cocktail			
17. Canned mandarin oranges			
18. Canned peaches			
19. Canned pears			
20. Applesauce			
21. Watermelon			
22. Raisins			
23. Other dried fruit			
24. Peaches			
25. Carrots			
26. Celery			
27. Spinach			
28. French fried potatoes			
29. Potato salad			
30. Other white potatoes			
31. Corn			
32. Green peas			
33. Tomatoes			
34. Broccoli			
35. Lettuce			
36. Green beans			
37. Cole slaw			
38. Beans (pinto, black eye peas,			
pork'n beans)			
39. Sweet potatoes			
40. Cabbage			
41. Okra			



#### Think about your physical activity.

**INSTRUCTIONS:** Read this information on physical activity, then answer questions 1-5 by filling in the circle that goes with your answer.

Physical Activity is any activity that increases your heart rate and makes you get out of breath some of the time.

Physical Activity can be done in sports, playing with friends, or walking to school. Some examples of physical activity are running, brisk walking, rollerblading, biking, skateboarding, dancing, swimming, soccer, basketball, football, and volleyball.

	Not at all Sure	Somewhat Sure	Very Sure
<ol> <li>How sure are you that you can do physical activity <u>60</u> minutes each day?</li> </ol>	0	0	0
<ol> <li>How sure are you that you can be physically active <u>no</u> <u>matter how busy your day is</u>?</li> </ol>	0	0	0
<ol> <li>How sure are you that you can be physically active <u>no</u> <u>matter how tired you may feel?</u></li> </ol>	0	0	0
<ol> <li>How sure are you that you can be physically active even if it is hot or cold outside?</li> </ol>	0	0	0
5. How sure are you that you can be physically active <u>even if</u> you have a lot of homework?	0	0	0
6. How sure are you that you can get your parents to			
help you plan to do your favorite physical activities?	0	0	0
<ul> <li>give you a ride to participate in a physical activity?</li> </ul>	0	0	0
<ul> <li>find a place where you can be physically active?</li> </ul>	0	0	0
<ul> <li>help you find different types of physical activities you can do?</li> </ul>	0	0	0
<ul> <li>play outside with you, or do physical activity/sports with you?</li> </ul>	0	0	0



#### Think about eating fruits and vegetables.

**INSTRUCTIONS:** Read this information on servings, then answer questions by filling in the circle that goes with your answer.

A serving of fruit is equal to:

- 1 medium-size piece of fresh fruit
- ½ cup of fruit salad
- ¼ cup of raisins, apricots or other dried fruit
- 6 oz. of 100% orange, apple, or grape juice (<u>Do not count</u> fruit punch, lemonade, Gatorade, Sunny Delight or fruit drink)

A serving of vegetables is equal to:

- 1 medium carrot or other fresh vegetable
- 1 small bowl of green salad
- ½ cup of fresh or cooked vegetables
- ¾ cup of vegetable soup (<u>Do not count</u> French fries, onion rings, potato chips, or fried okra)

	Not at all Sure	Somewhat Sure	Very Sure
How sure are you that you can eat			
1. <u>One</u> serving (½ cup) of fruit each day?	0	0	0
2. <u>Two</u> servings (1 cup) of fruit each day?	0	0	0
3. <u>Three</u> servings (1 ½ cups) of fruit each day?	0	0	0
4. <u>One</u> serving (½ cup) of vegetables each day?	0	0	0
5. <u>Two</u> servings (1 cup) of vegetables each day?	0	0	0
6. <u>Three</u> servings (1 ½ cups) of vegetables each day?	0	0	0
7. How sure are you that you can get your parents to			
• buy fruit for snacks?	0	0	0
• fix your favorite vegetable dishes for dinner?	0	0	0
<ul> <li>keep 100% juice in the refrigerator?</li> </ul>	0	0	0
<ul><li>fix a fruit and vegetable snack?</li></ul>	0	0	0





### **APPENDIX D: HOME ENVIRONMENT SURVEY**

#### Please check the column that best describes you, your home, your choices.

Question	Yes	Sometimes	No
<ol> <li>Can you take advantage of the exercise benefits of staircases in or close to your home? For example, can you walk upstairs to get a drink of water even if there is a refrigerator in the basement where you are working or watching television?</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>Do you have audio/video equipment available to help you exercise? For example, do you watch exercise videos or listen to a stereo while being active?</li> </ol>			
3. Do you minimize using energy saving devices so that you use more energy doing the job by hand? For example, do you get off the couch to change the channel on your television or turn off the self-propel option on your lawn mower?			



Question	Yes	Sometimes	No
4. Do you have yard space available for exercise? For example, do you garden, chop wood, or use the space for other forms of exercise?			
<ol> <li>Do you have farm 'chores' you perform on a regular basis? For example, feeding livestock, grinding feed, picking up rock.</li> </ol>			
6. Are there sidewalks around your home you can use to be active?			
7. Are the sidewalks around your home in good condition? For example, is it easy to skate, bike, or walk on the sidewalks?			
8. Is there adequate street lighting in your neighborhood?			
9. Are there sufficient pedestrian crossings for busy streets in your neighborhood?			
10. Do you feel safe walking in your neighborhood?			
<ol> <li>Are there adequate signs showing bicycle lanes and bicycle routes in your neighborhood?</li> </ol>			
12. Do you use nature trails close to your home for walking or bicycling?			
13. Do you use a local recreation center and/or swimming pool?			
14. Do you use a local park, playground, and/or sports field for physical activity?			
15. Do you use a creek, river, and/or lake close to your home for physical activity?			
16. Do you travel to destinations in your neighborhood or in nearby neighborhoods by walking or bicycling?			
17. Are you aware of the transit stops (e.g. bus) locations around your home?			
18. Do you minimize using drive through services so that you use more energy getting out of your car? For example, do you wash your car by hand, walk inside to do banking and dry cleaning, and enter food restaurants rather than ordering from the car?			
19. Do you have a friend, sibling, parent or pet that participates in physical activity with you?			



MARKING INSTRUCTIONS	The RIGHT way to	
	mark your	A 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
• Use a NO. 2 PENCIL only.	answerl	8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
<ul> <li>Do not use ink or ballpoint pen.</li> <li>Darken in the circle completely.</li> </ul>	The WPONG way OCO	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
<ul> <li>Erase cleanly any marks you wish to change.</li> </ul>	to mark your	E 4 4 4 4 4 4
<ul> <li>Do not make any stray marks on this form.</li> </ul>	answers!	
		7 7 7 7 7 7 7
USE NO. 2 PENCIL ONLY		5 5 5 5 5 5 5 A
Do you have any health condition that limits y	stty. your physical activity?	
<ul> <li>Do you have any health condition that limits y</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes If yes, please write the health condition here:</li> <li>How many months of the year did you particip swimming, gympastics, field backey, basketba</li> </ul>	stty. your physical activity? pate in team sports that prac	ticed regularly (like
<ul> <li>Do you have any health condition that limits y</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes If yes, please write the health condition here:</li> <li>How many months of the year did you particip swimming, gymnastics, field hockey, basketba</li> <li>During the 4th to 6th grade?</li> </ul>	our physical activity? pate in team sports that prac ll)? b. During the 7th to 12th	ticed regularly (like h arade?
<ul> <li>Do you have any health condition that limits y <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>If yes, please write the health condition here:</li></ul></li></ul>	stiy. your physical activity? pate in team sports that prac II)? b. During the 7th to 12th O Not in the 7th arade	ticed regularly (like h grade? yet
<ul> <li>Do you have any health condition that limits y <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>If yes, please write the health condition here:</li> </ul> </li> <li>How many months of the year did you particip swimming, gymnastics, field hockey, basketba</li> <li>During the 4th to 6th grade? <ul> <li>Never</li> <li>1-4 months of the year</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	our physical activity? pate in team sports that prace II)? b. During the 7th to 12th O Not in the 7th grade O Not in the 7th grade	ticed regularly (like h grade? yet
<ul> <li>Do you have any health condition that limits y <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>If yes, please write the health condition here:</li> </ul> </li> <li>How many months of the year did you particip swimming, gymnastics, field hockey, basketba</li> <li>During the 4th to 6th grade?</li> <li>Never</li> <li>1-4 months of the year</li> <li>5-8 months of the year</li> <li>9-12 months of the year</li> </ul>	stiy. your physical activity? pate in team sports that prace [1]? b. During the 7th to 12th O Not in the 7th grade O Never O 1-4 months of the ye O 5-8 months of the ye O 9-12 months of the ye	ticed regularly (like h grade? yet var vear
<ul> <li>Do you have any health condition that limits : <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>If yes, please write the health condition here:</li> </ul> </li> <li>How many months of the year did you particip swimming, gymnastics, field hockey, basketba</li> <li>During the 4th to 6th grade? <ul> <li>Never</li> <li>1-4 months of the year</li> <li>5-8 months of the year</li> <li>9-12 months of the year</li> </ul> </li> <li>In school, how many times per week do you have a school of the year week do you have a school of the year</li> </ul>	stiy. your physical activity? pate in team sports that prace [])? b. During the 7th to 12th O Not in the 7th grade O Never O 1-4 months of the ye O 5-8 months of the ye O 9-12 months of the ye	ticed regularly (like h grade? yet var var
<ul> <li>Do you have any health condition that limits y <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>If yes, please write the health condition here:</li> </ul> </li> <li>How many months of the year did you particip swimming, gymnastics, field hockey, basketba</li> <li>During the 4th to 6th grade? <ul> <li>Never</li> <li>1-4 months of the year</li> <li>5-8 months of the year</li> <li>9-12 months of the year</li> </ul> </li> <li>In school, how many times per week do you have a set of the year a set o</li></ul>	stiy. your physical activity? pate in team sports that prace III)? b. During the 7th to 12th O Not in the 7th grade O Not in the 7th grade	ticed regularly (like h grade? yet par par
<ul> <li>Do you have any health condition that limits y <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>If yes, please write the health condition here:</li> </ul> </li> <li>How many months of the year did you particit swimming, gymnastics, field hockey, basketba</li> <li>During the 4th to 6th grade? <ul> <li>Never</li> <li>1-4 months of the year</li> <li>5-8 months of the year</li> <li>9-12 months of the year</li> </ul> </li> <li>In school, how many times per week do you have a school of the year a school of the ye</li></ul>	stiy. your physical activity? pate in team sports that prace III? b. During the 7th to 12th O Not in the 7th grade O Not in the 7th grade O Not ver O 1-4 months of the ye O 5-8 months of the ye O 9-12 months of the y O 9-12 months of the ye O 9-12 months of the ye	ticed regularly (like h grade? yet par par year



Please read the following example before answering the activity questions. EXAMPLE: If you were on a swim team during the winter that practiced 4 hours a week and had one meet each week and during the summer you swam with friends once a week, then your answer would look like this . Swimming 1/2-3 he./week Less than 1/2 hr./wk. hr./week Zero hr./week hr./weei Did you do this activity over the past 6 months? 00 Fall 0 0 00 õ Winter 0 0 . O NO 
YES 
How much did you do 00 õ õ O 0 Spring it EACH season? Summer 4. Not including phys ed (gym), what have you done in the past 6 MONTHS? Mark "None/Zero" for any season you did not do that activity. **Baseball or Softball** 1/1-3 4-6 7-9 hr./week Zero 1/2 hr./wk hr./week hr./week hr /wook Did you do this activity over the past 6 months? 000 000 Fall 0 00 ○ NO ○ YES → How much did you do Winter ..... Spring it EACH season? A Summer Basketball Less than 1/2 hr./wk 1/2 -3 hr./wee 4-6 7-0 10-Zero hr./wool hr./wo Did you do this activity over the past 6 months? Fall 000 0 0 00 00 0 00 0000 00 O NO O YES -> How much did you do Winter it EACH season? Spring 0 Summer Ó 0 Biking 1/2-3 7-9 less that 4-6 10hr./week Zero 1/2 hr./wk hr./week hr./week he/wook 00 Did you do this activity over the past 6 months? 0000 0 0 0000 Fall Ô O NO O YES -> How much did you do 0 Ó > Winter õõ õ õ õ it EACH season? Spring 4 Summer Dancing or Aerobics Less than 1/2 hr./wk. 1/2-3 hr./week 4-6 7-9 10-Zero. hr./wool hr./week hr./wook Did you do this activity over the past 6 months? Foll 8 0 0 0 8 0 ○ NO ○ YES -> How much did you do 0 õ 0 - Winter Ô 00 00 õ 00 00 it EACH season? Spring 0 4 Õ Summer Football 1/2-3 4-6 7-9 10less th Zero 1/2 ht./wk hr./w Did you do this activity over the past 6 months? 000 000 Fall 000 0 0 0 ○ NO ○ YES → How much did you do 000 õ 00 Winter õ it EACH season? Spring A Summer 0 0 Ó Hard Work Outdoors (like mowing the lown, raking, gardening) 4-6 Zaro 1/2 hr./wk hr./week hr./week hr./week hr./week 00 000 Did you do this activity over the past 6 months? Fall 000 0000 000 0 ○ NO ○ YES → How much did you do Winter 0 00 00 it EACH season? Spring A Summer õ 0 page two



www.manaraa.com

Less than 1/2 hr./wk. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<sup>1/2</sup> −3 hz/week 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	44 hr./week hr./week hr./week	7-9 hc./week	10+ hr./wasi
Less than 1/2 hr./wk.	1/2-3 hr./week	44 hr/week hr/week	7-9 hr./week 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 hr./week 0 0 0	10+ hr./weel 0 0 0
Less than 1/2 hr./wk	<sup>1</sup> /2-3 hr./week 0 0 0	4-6 hr/week	7-9 hr./week	10+ hr./week
		10	0	00
less than 1/2 hr./wk	1/2-3 br/meek	4-6 hc/week	7-9 br./week 0 0 0	10+ bc/0000
less than 1/2 kc/wk	1/2-3 hr/vesk	44 hr/week	7-9 hr./week 0 0 0	10+ hc/week
Less than 1/2 hr./wk.	1/2-7 hc/week	4-6 hr./week	7-9 hr./week	10+ hr./week
Less than 1/2 hr./wk.	1/2-3 br./week	4-6 hr./week	7-9 hc/week	10+ hr./week
	Less than 1/2 hr./wk 0 0 1/2 hr./wk 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Less than         ½ -3           ½ br./wk.         hr./week           0         0	C         C         C           C         C         C	0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0           1/2 lbs./wk.         hr./week         hr./week         hr./week         hr./week           0         0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           0



Y	Playing Outdoors (jump rope, kickboll, dodgeball)	None/ Zero	Less than 1/2 hr./wk.	1/2-3 hr./week	4-6 hr./week	7-9 hr./week	10+ hr/week
Tour	Did you do this activity over the past 6 months? NO O YES → How much did you do it EACH season? Spring Summer	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000
Y	Gymnastics or Cheerleading Did you do this activity over the 6 months? ○ NO ○ YES → How much did you do it EACH season? Spring Summer	Nose/ Zero	Less than 1/2 hr./wk. 0 0	1/3-3 hr./week	4-6 hr./week	7-9 hc/week	10+ hr:/week
H	Strength Training Exercises (push-ups, lifting weights) Did you do this activity over the past 6 months? O NO O YES → How much did you do it EACH season? Spring Summer	None/ Zaro	Less than 1/2 hr./wk.	1/2 -3 br./week 0 0 0	440 hr./week	7-9 hr./week	10+ hr./week
0	Volleyball Did you do this activity over the 6 months? O NO O YES -> How much did you do it EACH season? Summer	None/ Zero	Less then 1/2 hr./wk.	1/2-3 hr./week	4-6 hr./week	7-9 hr./week	10+ hr./week
	Martial Arts, Karate, or Wrestling Did you do this activity over the past 6 months? O NO O YES → How much did you do it EACH season? Spring Summer	None/ Zero	Less than 1/2 hr./wk.	<sup>3/2</sup> -7 he/week	4-6 hr/week	7-9 br/week	10+ hr./week
	Did you do any other sports or activities that we haven't listed? (Please specify) Fall  Winter  Spring  Summer	None/ Zaro	Less then 1/2 hr./wk.	1/2-3 hr./week	4-6 hr./week	7-9 hr,/week	10+ hr./week
5.	How many hours, Monday thru Friday, do you spend doing the following? (a TOTAL for the week) Watching T.V. Watching DVDs or videos Reading/Homework Nintendo/PlayStation/computer games	1-5 ltr. ▶000000	6-10 hr. ♥ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11-15 hr. ♥ 0 0 0 0 0	y (Total Hi 16-20 kr. ○ ○ ○ ○	21-30 hr.	31+ hr.
6.	How many hours, Saturday and Sunday, do you spend         doing the following? (a TOTAL for the weekend)         Watching T.V.         Watching DVDs or videos         Reading/Homework         Nintendo/PlayStation/computer games	50 1-5h. ► 00000	6-10 hr. ♥ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Sund     11-15 hr.     0	(Total ) 16-20 № 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	21-30 hr.	31+ hr. ● 0 0 0 0



	What Foods a	are in Your House?	and the same to				
<ol> <li>Please check the items in the table below that you typically have in your pantry or cupboard. Ask an adult in your home to help you if you are not familiar with a food.</li> </ol>							
Grains	Unsweetened whole grain cereal (i.e. Shredded Wheat, Cheerios) Whole wheat bread Whole wheat noodles/ pasta Brown rice Corn tortillas/Whole wheat tortillas	Sweetened whole grain cereal (Raisin Bran, Frosted Shredded Wheat) White bread White noodles/pasta White rice Flour tortillas	Sweetened cereals (Fruit Loops, Cocoa Puffs) Breakfast pastries Large muffins/bagels Prepackaged rice dishes Prepackaged pasta dishes Doughnuts				
Fruits & Vegetables	Unsweetened dried fruit     Canned fruit in juice     Low sodium vegetable     juice     Low sodium canned     vegetables	Vegetable juice Sweetened dried fruit Canned vegetables	Canned fruit in syrup Vegetable snack chips				
Meat & Protein	Canned tuna/salmon packed in water Canned/dried beans	Canned tuna/salmon packed in oil Low fat bean dip Low fat refried beans	Beef jerky Pork rinds Refried beans Spam				
Contraction of the second seco	Nonfat powdered milk Skim evaporated milk Soy milk Rice milk	Powdered low fat/whole milk	Condensed/evaporated milk				
Fats	Olive oil Canola oil Peanut oil Cooking spray	Soybean oil Corn oil Safflower oil	Lard Shortening Coconut oil (sometimes found in popcorn popping oil)				
Beverages	Water 100% fruit juice	Diet soda Sports drinks Unsweetened tea Coffee	Regular Soda Kool Aid Fruit punch Sweetened tea				
Snacks	Whole grain crackers (i.e. Triscuits) Dried fruits Unsalted nuts/seeds Plain rice cakes Low fat microwave popcorn	Salted nuts/seeds Crackers Low fat granola bars Pretzels Flavored rice cakes Trail mix	Potato chips, Candy bars Granola bars Fruit snacks Buttered/kettle popcorn Cookies				
Indiments &	Spices/pepper Lime/lemon juice Fish sauce Mustard Salsa	Ketchup Nut butters (e.g. peanut butter) Sugar free jam/jelly	Chocolate syrup Pancake syrup Regular jam/jelly				



Food Group			
Fruits & Vegetables	Unsweetened frozen fruit Frozen vegetables Fresh fruits/vegetables	Vegetables with seasoning Fruit cups with juice	Fruit in heavy syrup Vegetables in high fat sauces
Meat & Protein	Lean meat (poultry w/o skin, fish, canned fish tenderloin, round, roast, sirloin) Eggs	Medium fat meat (poultry w/skin, ground beef, pork, fried fish)	High fat meat (sausage, bacon, ribs) Hot dogs
Dairy	Non/low fat milk Low fat plain or Greek yogurt Non/low fat sour cream Cottage cheese	Whole milk Low fat, sweetened yogurt 2% milk Full fat sour cream Mozzarella cheese	Coffee creamers Half & half/heavy cream Full fat, flavored yogur Cheese (American, cheddar, Monterey jack, Swiss)
Fats	Avocado	Light/trans fat free margarines Low fat salad dressing Vinaigrette salad dressings Low fat sauces	Butter/Margarine Mayonnaise Creamy salad dressings
Shacks & Meals	Fruit ice (100% fruit or fruit juice) Fresh salsa Sugar free jello	Sorbet/fat reduced ice cream Yogurt based ice creams Popsicles Low fat portion- controlled frozen meals	High fat, high calorie frozen meals lce cream Whipping cream/Cool Whip Full fat & sweetened frozen yogurt



3. Check the	statement that best describes the packaging and portion sizes of the foods you
have in y	our home? Most snacks and higher calorie foods are in:
	Individual or single serving/small packages (i.e. single serving packages or bulk item
	repackaged into smaller portions)
	Regular or standard sized packages

Please check the column that best describes your family choices.

Question	Always	Sometimes	Never
<ol> <li>Are your nutritious foods, such as dried fruits and nuts, easier to access than less nutritious foods such as cookies, crackers, and cakes? For example, do you keep healthier foods stored in front of unhealthier foods in the refrigerator or pantry?</li> </ol>			
2. Do you keep fruits and vegetables prepared (washed and cut) so they are ready to eat? For example, are fruits and vegetables washed and on the countertop (if appropriate) rather than unwashed and in a refrigerator drawer?			
3. Do you and your family have mealtimes away from a television where it is easier to pay attention to what and how much you are eating?			
<ol> <li>Do you control the portion size of your meals by serving individual plates from the kitchen rather than having large quantities of food readily accessible on the table?</li> </ol>			
5. Do you control your portion size of food when snacking? For example, do you put a small amount of potato chips in a bowl rather than eat straight from the package?			
6. What size plates does your family typically use for meals?	Salad Plate 7-9 in.	Average Plate 10-12 in.	Large Plate 13+ in.
<ol> <li>What size glassware does your family use to drink sweetened beverages or juice?</li> </ol>	4-6 oz.	7-8 oz.	9+ oz.
8. Do you use nonstick pans and cooking sprays rather than oils and other fats to cook your meals?			
9. Do you use a kitchen scale, measuring cups or spoons so that you are better aware of portion sizes?			



Question	Always	Sometimes	Never
10. Do you use your garden or a shared/community garden to grow fruits and vegetables during the growing season?			
11. Do you shop at a supermarket or farmers market, where plenty of fresh fruit and vegetables are available rather than a convenience store where these items may be limited?			
12. Do you make a list prior to going to the grocery store so that you are less likely to purchase unnecessary items?			
13. Do you utilize free membership benefits at your local supermarket so you can purchase healthier food at more affordable prices?			
14. Do you prepare more meals than you purchase? For example, do you cook at home more times a week than you buy prepackaged, takeout or restaurant meals?			



MARKING INSTRUCTION • Use a NO. 2 PENCIL only. • Do not use ink or ballpoint pen. • Darken in the circle completely. • Erase cleanly any marks you wis • Do not make any stray marks on USE NO. 2 PE	NS The hto change. this form.	The RIGHT way to mark your answer! to mark your answers!	0 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
1. What is your AGE? QLess than 9 0 13 0 9 0 14 0 10 0 15 0 11 0 16 0 12 0 17 0 18 or older	2. Are you: O Male O Fernale	3. You Heis TEST 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ur ght mores 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4. Your Weight (lbs) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
5. Do you now take vitamins (like Flints ÔNo OYes - If yes) a) Ho vit yo	stones, One-A-Day ow many tamin pills do su take a week?	, etc.]? O2 or less O3 - 5 O6 - 9 O 10 or more	b) For how many yea have you been takin them?	00-1 years 02-4 05-9 010+ years
<ul> <li>6. How many teaspoons of sugar do you ADD to your beverages or food each day?</li> <li>ONone/less than 1 teaspoon per day</li> <li>O 1 - 2 teaspoons per day</li> <li>O 3 - 4 teaspoons per day</li> <li>O 5 or more teaspoons per day</li> </ul>	7.	Which cold brea usually eat?	skfast cereal do	you 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
8. Where do you usually eat breakfast? O At home O At school O Don't eat breakfast	9.	How many time weekdays and v breakfast prep O Never or almo O 1 - 2 times pe O 3 - 4 times pe	es each week (in weekends) do ya ared away from ost never r week r week	cluding ou usually eat <u>home</u> ?



PAGE TWO HARVARD MEDICAL SCHOOL Questionnaire refers to what you ate over the past 6 months. 10. How many times each week (including 11. How many times each week do you usually -10 weekdays and weekends) do you usually eat eat after-school snacks or foods prepared lunch prepared away from home? away from home? O Never or almost never O Never or almost never 11 O1 - 2 times per week O1 - 2 times per week 03 - 4 times per week 05 or more times per week Q3 - 4 times per week O 5 or more times per week 13. How many times per week do you prepare dinner for yourself (and/or others in your How many times each week (weekdays and weekends) do you usually eat dinner prepared away from home? 12 house)? O Never or almost never O Never or almost never 13 O Less than once per week O1 - 2 times per week O1 - 2 times per week O3 - 4 times per week O 5 or more times per week O3 - 4 times per week O 5 or more times per week 14. How often do you have dinner that is ready 15. How many times each week (including 14 made, like frozen dinners, Spaghetti-O's, weekdays and weekends) do you eat late microwave meals, etc. night snacks prepared away from home? O Never/less than once per month O Never/less than once per month 15 O1 - 2 times per week O3 - 4 times per week O1 - 2 times per week O3 - 4 times per week O 5 or more times per week O 5 or more times per week 16. How often do you eat food that is fried at home, like fried chicken? 17. How often do you eat fried food away from 16 home (like french fries, chicken nuggets)? ONever/less than once per week O Never/less than once per week O1 - 3 times per week O1 - 3 times per week Q4 - 6 times per week Q4 - 6 times per week ODaily ODaily **DIETARY INTAKE** = How often do you eat the following foods: -E1. Diet soda = (1 can or glass) Example If you drink one can of diet soda 2 - 3 times per week, then your answer should look Never = like this: 1 - 3 cans per month 1 can per week 2 - 6 cans per week -1 can per day -2 or more cans per day --100



AME HIREE	Anonymous course of which you also over the past 6 o	BIRDE BARYARD MEDICAL SCHO
BEVERAGES	FILL OUT ONE BUBBLE	FOR EACH FOOD ITEM
18. Diet soda (1 can or glass) ONever/less than 1 per month O1 - 3 cans per month O1 can per week O2 - 6 cans per week O1 can per day O2 or more cans per day	19. Soda - not diet (1 can or glass) O Never/less than 1 per month 0 1 - 3 cans per month 0 1 can per week 0 2 - 6 cans per week 0 1 can per day 0 2 or more cans per day	20. Hawaiian Punch, lemonade, Koolaid or other non-carbonated fruit drink (1 glass) Never/less than 1 per month 0 1 - 3 glasses per month 0 1 glass per week 0 2 - 4 glasses per week 0 5 - 6 glasses per week 0 1 glass per day 0 2 or more glasses per day
1 land Tes . supertrand	22 Top (1 aug)	22 College ant deard (1 aug)
(1 glass, can or bottle) O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 glasses per month O 1 - 4 glasses per week O 5 - 6 glasses per week O 1 or more glasses per day	O Never/less than 1 per month 0 1 - 3 cups per month 0 1 - 2 cups per week 0 3 - 6 cups per week 0 1 or more cups per day	Never/less than 1 per month 01 - 3 cups per month 01 - 2 cups per week 03 - 6 cups per week 01 or more cups per day
24. Beer (1 glass, bottle or can)	25. Wine or wine coolers (1 glass)	26. Liquor, like vodka or rum (1 drink or shot)
O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 cans per month O 1 can per week O 2 or more cans per week	O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 glasses per month O 1 glass per week O 2 or more glasses per week	O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 drinks per month O 1 drink per week O 2 or more drinks per week
Example If you est:	E2. Margarin butter	ne (1 pat) - not
1 - 2 pats of margarine on toas 1 pat of margarine on yeast	wich O Never	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5 - 6 pats total all day	— Q1-3p O1patr	per week
then answer this way->	02-6p 01 patj 02-4p ●5 or m	ats per week per day bats per day nore pats per day
DAIRY PRODUCTS		
27. What TYPE of milk do	28. Milk (glass or with cereal)	29. Chocolate milk (glass)
O Whole milk	O Never/less than 1 per month O1 glass per week or less	O Never/less than 1 per month
Q 2% milk	O2 - 6 glasses per week	O 1 glass per week
O 1% milk	O1 glass per day	O2 - 6 glasses per week
O Skinvhomat milk O Don't know O Don't drink milk	O 2 - 3 grasses per day O 4+ glasses per day	O 3 or more glasses per day
0000000	000000000000	SERIAL #



30.	Instant Breakfast Drink	31.	Whipped cream	32.	Yogurt (1 cup) - Not frozen	
	(1 packet)		O Neverless than 1 per month		O Neverless than 1 per month	
	Alexanders than 1 per month		O1 2 fimes nor month		O1 - 3 curs par month	
	Oneveniess than 1 per month		O noo per work		Ot an per week	
	Onco per week		O2 - 4 times per week		O2 - 6 cups per week	
	02 - 4 times per week		0.5 or more times per week		O1 cup per day	
	O 5 or more times per week		O 5 of more unles per week		O2 or more cups per day	
33.	Cottage or ricotta cheese	34.	Cheese (1 slice)	35.	Cream cheese	
	Q Never/iess than 1 per month		O Never/less than 1 per month		O Never/less than 1 per month	
	O1 - 3 times per month		O1 - 3 slices per month		O1 - 3 times per month	
	O Once per week		O1 slice per week		Q Once per week	
	2 or more times per week		Q2 - 6 slices per week		O 2 or more times per week	
			O1 slice per day			
			2 or more slices per day			
36.	What TYPE of yogurt,	37.	Butter (1 pat) -	38.	Margarine (1 pat) - NOT butter	
	cottage cheese & dairy		NOT margarine			
	you use mostly?		O Never/less than 1 per month		O Never/less than 1 per month	
			O 1 - 3 pats per month		O1 - 3 pats per month	
	O Nonfat		O 1 pat per week		Q 1 pat per week	
	OLowfat		O2 - 6 pats per week		O 2 - 6 pats per week	
	O Regular		O 1 pat per day		O 1 pat per day	
	O Don't know		O2 - 4 pats per day		O2 - 4 pats per day	
			O 5 or more pats per day		O 5 or more pats per day	
39.	What FORM and BRAND of			4	0. What TYPE of oil does	
	margarine does your family				your family use at home?	1
	usually use?				O Canola oil	1
	ONone			1	O Com oil	
	OStick		WHAT SPECIFIC BRAND AND TYPE (LIKE "PARKAY CORN OIL SPREAD")?		O Safflower oil	6
	OTub		**************************************		O Olive oil	ē
	O Squeeze (liquid)				O Vegetable oil	6
					O Don't know 7 7 0	ē
			Leave blank if you don't know.			
M	AIN DISHES				2000	
11.	Cheeseburger (1)	42.	Hamburger (1)	4	3. Pizza (2 slices)	
	O Never/less than 1 per month		O Never/less than 1 per month		O Never/less than 1 per month	
	O1-3 per month		O1 - 3 per month		O1 - 3 times per month	
	O One per week		O One per week		O Once per week	
	O2 - 4 per week		Q2 - 4 per week		Q2 - 4 times per week	
	O 5 or more per week		Q 5 or more per week		O 5 or more times per week	
14.	Tacos/burritos (1)	45.	Which taco filling do you	4	6. Chicken nuggets (6)	
	O Never/less than 1 per month		usually have:		ONever/less than 1 per month	
	O1-3 per month		O Beef & beans		O1 - 3 times per month	
	O One per week		OBeef		OOnce per week	
			Ochieles		00 d times new week	
	O2 - 4 per week		Chicken		C/2 * 4 BITIES DET WEEK	
	O 2 - 4 per week O 5 or more per week		OBeans		O 5 or more times per week	



47	Hot dogs (1)	gs (1) 48. Peanut butte (plain or with		49.	Chicken or turkey sandwich (1)
	01 - 3 per month 0 Cone per week 02 - 4 per week 0 5 or more per week		O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 per month O One per week O 2 - 4 per week		O 1 - 3 per month O One per week O 2 or more per week
50	Roast beef or ham	51.	Salami, bologna, or other	52.	Tuna sandwich (1)
	ONever/less than 1 per month O1 - 3 per month One per week O2 or more per week		O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 per month O One per week O 2 or more per week		O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 per month O One per week O 2 or more per week
53	Chicken or turkey as main dish (1 serving)	54.	Fish sticks, fish cakes or fish sandwich (1 serving)	55.	Fresh fish as main dish (1 serving)
	O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month O Once per week O 2 - 4 times per week O 5 or more times per week		O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month O Once per week O 2 or more times per week		O1 - 3 times per month O Once per week O2 - 4 times per week O5 or more times per week
56	Beef (steak, roast) or lamb as main dish (1 serving)	57.	Pork or ham as main dish (1 serving)	58.	Meatballs or meations (1 serving)
	O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month O Once per week O 2 - 4 times per week O 5 or more times per week		O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month O Once per week O 2 - 4 times per week O 5 or more times per week		On-3 times per month Once per week O2 - 4 times per week O5 or more times per week
59	Lasagna/baked ziti (1 serving)	60.	Macaroni and cheese (1 serving)	61.	Spaghetti with tomato sauce (1 serving)
	O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month O Once per week O 2 or more times per week		O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month O Once per week O 2 or more times per week		O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month O Once per week O 2 - 4 times per week O 5 or more times per week
62	Eggs (1)	63.	Liver: beef, calf, chicken or pork {1 serving)	64.	Shrimp, lobster, scallops (1 serving)
	O1 - 3 eggs per month O One egg per week O2 - 4 eggs per week O 5 or more eggs per week		O Never/less than 1 per month O Less than once per month O Once per month O 2 - 3 times per month O Once per week or more		O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month O Once per week O 2 or more times per week







### **BREADS & CEREALS**

78	Cold breakfast cereal (1 bowl)	79.	Hot breakfast cereal, like oatmeal, grits (1 bowl)	80.	White bread, pita bread, or toast (1 slice)
	O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 bowls per month O 1 bowl per week O 2 - 4 bowls per week O 5 - 7 bowls per week O 2 or more bowls per day		O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 bowls per month O 1 bowl per week O 2 - 4 bowls per week O 5 - 7 bowls per week O 2 or more bowls per day		O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 slice per week or less O 2 - 4 slices per week O 5 - 7 slices per week O 2 - 3 slices per day O 4+ slices per day
81.	Dark bread (1 slice) Never/less than 1 per month 1 slice per week or less 2 - 4 slices per week 5 - 7 slices per week 2 - 3 slices per day 4+ slices per day	82.	English muffins or bagels (1) O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 per month O 1 per week O 2 - 4 per week O 5 or more per week	83.	Muffin (1) O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 muffins per month O 1 muffin per week O 2 - 4 muffins per week O 5 or more muffins per week
84.	Cornbread (1 square)	85.	Biscuit/roll (1)	86.	Rice
	O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month O Once per week O 2 - 4 times per week O 5 or more per week		O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 per month O 1 per week O 2 - 4 per week O 5 or more per week		O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month O Once per week O 2 - 4 times per week O 5 or more times per week
87.	Noodles, pasta Never/less than 1 per month 1 - 3 times per month Once per week 2 - 4 times per week 5 or more times per week	88.	Tortilla - no filling (1) O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 per month O 1 per week O 2 - 4 per week O 5 or more per week	89.	Other grains, like kasha, couscous, bulgur O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month O Once per week O 2 or more times per week
90.	Pancakes (2) or walfies (1)	91.	French fries (large order) O Never/less than 1 per month	92.	Potatoes - baked, boiled, mashed O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month
	O1 - 3 times per month		O1 order per week O2 - 4 orders per week		O Once per week O 2 - 4 times per week



93. Raisins (small peck) O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month O 1 per week O 2 - 4 times per week O 5 or more times per week	94. Grapes (bunch) O Never/less that O 1 - 3 times pe O Once per weet O 2 - 4 times pe 5 or more time	95. an 1 per month r month k r week as per week	Bananas (1) O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 per month O 1 per week O 2 - 4 per week O 5 or more per week
96. Cantaloupe, melons (1/4 melon) O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month O 1 per week O 2 or more times per week	97. Apples (1) or ap O Never/less the O 1 - 3 per mont O 1 per week O 2 - 6 per weel O 1 or more per	plesauce 98. an 1 per month h t day	Pears (1) O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 per month O 1 per week O 2 - 6 per week O 1 or more per day
99. Oranges (1), grapefruit (1/2) Never/less than 1 per month 1 - 3 per month 1 per week 2 - 6 per week 1 or more per day	100. Strawberries Never/less the 0 1 - 3 times per 0 Once per wee 0 2 or more time	101. In 1 per month r month k ss per week	Peaches, plums, apricots (1) O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 per month O 1 per week O 2 or more per week
02. Orange juice (1 glass) O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 glasses per month O 1 glass per week O 2 - 6 glasses per week O 1 glass per day O 2 or more glasses per day	103. Apple juice and juices (1 glass) O Never/less tha O 1 - 3 glasses ( O 1 glass per we O 2 - 6 glasses ( O 1 glass per da O 2 or more glass	other fruit 104. In 1 per month ber month bek ber week y ises per day	Tomatoes (1) O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 per month O 1 per week O 2 - 6 per week O 1 or more per day
05. Tomato/spaghetti sauce O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month O Once per week O 2 - 4 times per week O 5 or more times per week	106. Tofu Never/less that 1 - 3 times per Once per were 2 - 4 times per 5 or more time	107. in 1 per month month k r week is per week	String beans O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month O Once per week O 2 - 4 times per week O 5 or more times per week


108.	Beans/lentils/soybeans	109.	Broccoli	110.	Beets (not greens)	
	O Never/less than 1 per month O Once per week or less O 2 - 6 times per week O Once per day		O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month O Once per week O 2 - 4 times per week O 5 or more times per week		O Never/less than 1 per month O Once per week or less O 2 or more times per week	
111.	Corn	112.	Peas or lime beans	113.	Mixed vegetables	
	O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month		O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month		O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month	
	02 - 4 times per week 05 or more times per week		O 2 - 4 times per week O 5 or more times per week		Q 2 - 4 times per week O 5 or more times per week	
114.	Spinach	115.	Greens/kale	116.	Green/red peppers	
	O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month		O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month O Once per week		O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month	
	02 - 4 times per week 05 or more times per week		O 2 - 4 times per week O 5 or more times per week		0 2 - 4 times per week 05 or more times per week	
17.	Yams/sweet potatoes (1)	118.	Zucchini, summer squash, eggplant	119.	Carrots, cooked	
	O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month O Once a week		O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month		O Neveriless than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month O Once per week	
	O 2 - 4 times per week O 5 or more times per week		O Once per week O 2 - 4 times per week		Q 2 - 4 times per week Q 5 or more times per week	
			O 5 or more times per week			
20.	Carrots, raw	121.	Celery	122.	Lettuce/tossed salad	
	O Neveriless than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month		O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month		O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month	
	02 - 4 times per week 05 or more times per week		O 2 - 4 times per week O 5 or more times per week		O 2 - 6 times per week O One or more per day	
123.	Coleslaw	124.	Potato salad			
	O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 times per month O Once per week		Never/less than 1 per month     O 1 - 3 times per month     Once per week     O 2 or more times per week			







137. Snack cakes, Twinkies (1 package) 135. Poptarts (1) 136. Cake (1 slice) O Never/less than 1 per month O Never/less than 1 per month O Never/less than 1 per month 01-3 poptarts per month 01-6 poptarts per week O1 - 3 slices per month O1 - 3 per month O 1 slice per week O Once per week O2 - 6 per week O 1 or more poptarts per day O 2 or more slices per week O 1 or more per day 138. Danish, sweetrolls, 139. Donuts (1) 140. Cookies (1) pastry (1) O Never/less than 1 per month O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 - 3 donuts per month O 1 donut per week O Never/less than 1 per month O1 - 3 cookies per month O1-3 per month O 1 cookie per week 01 per week 02 - 4 per week Q 2 - 6 donuts per week O 2 - 6 cookies per week O 1 or more donuts per day O1 - 3 cookies per day O 5 or more per week O 4 or more cookies per day 143. Chocolate (1 bar or packet) like Hershey's or M & M's 142. Pie (1 slice) 141. Brownies (1) O Never/less than 1 per month O Never/less than 1 per month Q1 - 3 per month O1 - 3 slices per month O Never/less than 1 per month O 1 per week O 1 slice per week O1-3 per month O2-4 per week O2 or more slices per week O1 per week O5 or more per week O2 - 6 per week O1 or more per day 146. Jello 144. Other candy bars (Milky 145. Other candy without chocolate (Skittles) Way, Snickers) O Never/less than 1 per month (1 pack) O 1 - 3 times per month ONever/less than 1 per month Q1-3 candy bars per month O Never/less than 1 per month O Once per week O1 candy bar per week O1 - 3 times per month O 2 - 4 times per week

O2 - 4 candy bars per week

O 5 or more candy bars per week O 2 - 4 times per week O 5 or more times per week 147. Pudding 148. Frozen yogurt 149. Ice cream O Never/less than 1 per month O Never/less than 1 per month O Never/less than 1 per month O1 - 3 times per month O1 - 3 times per month O1 - 3 times per month O Once per week O Once per week O Once per week O2 - 4 times per week O2 - 4 times per week O2 - 4 times per week O 5 or more times per week O 5 or more times per week O 5 or more times per week 150. Milkshake or frappe (1) 151. Popsicles O Never/less than 1 per month O Never/less than 1 per month Q1-3 per month O1 - 3 popsicles per month O1 per week O 1 popsicie per week O 2 or more per week O 2 - 4 popsicles per week O 5 or more popsicles per week

O Once per week



(ii)

44

14

in)

O 5 or more times per week

roope	HOW OFTEN
a)	a)
b)	b)
c)	c)
d)	d)
THAN	NK YOU
F	OR
COMF	PLETING
Т	HIS
SUL	<b>VEY</b> !



### **APPENDIX E: RECRUITMENT AND COVER LETTERS**





Dear Camper and Parents:

The Iowa 4-H Center is excited to have you joining us this summer for a number of opportunities for 'learning by doing'. One of these opportunities is the 'Immersion in Wellness' opportunity available during your week of camp. This opportunity provides campers to engage in the 4-H Center garden (plant, care and harvest garden produce), culinary experiences (food preparation and safety skills), and interactive nutrition education.

This opportunity is a research project conducted by faculty from the Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition at Iowa State University with funding from the Wellmark Foundation. As a research project, one week of camp has been designated to receive the 'immersion' experience and one week has been designated to receive the 'traditional camp' experience.

If you elect to participate in this research project, you will be required to complete an informed consent(s), surveys pre- and post-camp experience, basic information pertaining to the camper, and a Research Participant Receipt Form. For your participation **you will receive up to \$65** (\$40 when checking out of camp with all completed documents; \$25 after completing survey 6-months after the camp experience).

Prior to arriving at camp, you <u>will not</u> be informed if you are receiving the 'immersion' or 'traditional' camp experience; this has been pre-determined by the researchers according to the week for which you have enrolled.

To enroll in the research project, please complete the following:

- 1. Informed consent. Two consent forms are enclosed.
  - a. Campers age 16 and older and their parents will sign the 'Older youth assent and parental consent form'.
  - b. Campers under age 16 will sign the 'Assent form for younger youth' and their parents will sign the 'Older youth assent and parental consent form'.
- 2. Surveys. Two surveys are enclosed.
  - a. CAMPER: The survey with the <u>GREEN</u> dot must be completed individually by the camper. A parent may assist with reading questions but may not suggest an answer.
  - b. TOGETHER: Parents can assist younger campers to complete the home environment survey with the <u>BLUE</u> dot. Please remember these answers are based on the child's food intake and physical activity, not the parent. If multiple siblings are enrolling in the study, we ask that you fill out separate surveys to ensure accuracy pertaining to <u>each</u> individual camper.



- 3. Research Participant Receipt Form (RPRF). Two RPRF forms are enclosed
  - a. Both forms must be signed by a parent in order to receive \$40 compensation after camp and \$25 compensation for completing six month post-surveys.
  - b. Please include your current mailing address on both forms.
- 4. Camper Information
  - a. Campers must list any food allergies or physical activity restrictions prior to the start of camp.

If you should have any questions regarding the 'Immersion in Wellness' camp experience please contact:

Ruth Litchfield – principal investigator (515) 294-9484 litch@iastate.edu

Beth Mabary – graduate student eamabary@iastate.edu

We look forward to seeing you at the 4-H Center this summer! If you elect to enroll in the research project, please thoroughly complete all forms and surveys listed above and bring them to camp this summer to ensure inclusion and compensation for the study.



Dear Camper and Parents:

ISU IRB # 1	11-548
Approved Date:	7 December 2012
Expiration Date:	8 December 2014

Thank you for your participation in the "Immersion in Wellness" study this summer at the Iowa 4-H Center! Can you believe it has been six months since camp took place? It is now time to complete the final surveys to receive an additional \$25.

To receive final compensation (\$25) for the study, all enclosed documents must be thoroughly completed.

- 1.) CAMPER: The survey with the GREEN dot must be completed individually by the camper. A parent may assist with reading questions but may not suggest an answer.
- 2.) TOGETHER: Parents can assist younger campers to complete the home environment survey with the BLUE dot. Please remember these answers are based on the child's food intake and physical activity, not the parent. If multiple siblings were enrolled in the study, we ask that you fill out separate surveys to ensure accuracy pertaining to <u>each</u> individual camper.

Please return <u>all completed</u> documents using the enclosed pre-paid envelope. If multiple siblings were enrolled, use a separate pre-paid envelope to return each campers surveys. All campers were assigned a three-digit subject number specific to each camper. Please make sure each of your campers completes the survey mailed to them by matching the survey number appearing on the surveys to the number located near the return address on the envelope.

If you should have any questions regarding the "Immersion in Wellness" camp experience or completing final surveys please contact:

Ruth Litchfield – principal investigator	litch@iastate.edu (515)-294-9484		
Beth Mabary – graduate student	eamabary@iastate.edu		

We would like to thank you again for your participation in the "Immersion in Wellness" project this summer. Best wishes to you and your family in the New Year!



### **APPENDIX F: INFORMED CONSENT DOCUMENTS**

### 1. General Information About This Research Study

ISU IRB # 1 11-548 Approved Date: 7 December 2012 Expiration Date: 8 December 2014

Study Title: "Immersion in Wellness at Iowa 4-H Center"

(Older youth assent and parental consent form)

Name of Principal Investigator on This Study: Dr. Ruth Litchfield

### Note to Parents:

The information in this form describes a research project that we are asking your child to take part in during his or her time at camp. The things your child will be asked to do are explained below, along with other important information about being a research participant. Please review this form carefully, and sign it if you agree that your child can take part in the study.

Your child also has an opportunity to choose whether or not to participate in the research. If your child is 16 or over, and able to read and understand the information in this form, please also ask that he or she read the form and sign it if they agree to take part in the study. A simplified version of this form is also included, which should be reviewed and signed by children who are under age 16.

A copy of the signed forms should be returned with the packet of camp materials. Please keep the second copy for your records.

### A. Study Eligibility and Purpose

You are being asked to take part in this research study because we want to understand how immersion in a wellness program addressing diet and physical activity at camp helps children learn good nutrition and health practices and use these practices once they leave camp.

As you read this form describing the study, ask any questions you have. Take your time to decide. Feel free to discuss the study with your family, friends, and healthcare provider before you decide. You may stop participating at any time during the study, either at your request or your parent's. If so, none of your current benefits, normal health care, or camp experience will be affected in any way. When you feel comfortable that all your questions have been answered, and you wish to take part in this study, sign this form in order to begin your participation. Your signature means you have been told about the study and what the risks to you are. Your signature on this form also means that you want to take part in this study.



If you are unclear about anything along the way, please ask until you feel you understand.

### **B.** Number of Participants

The plan is to have 150 people take part in this study at the Iowa 4-H Center Camp.

### C. Additional Information You Should Know

Wellmark Blue Cross and Blue Shield and Iowa State University are funding this study. Any costs of the study will be paid for by Wellmark Blue Cross and Blue Shield and Iowa State University. Individual subject data will not provide to Wellmark Blue Cross and Blue Shield, and all data collected for this study will be coded such that Wellmark Blue Cross and Blue Shield will not be able to associate any data to any subject.

### 2. What Will Happen to You While You Are in This Research Study?

If you agree for you to be in the study, you will be asked to participate in the following:

### Screening (before camp)

You will complete the enrollment process at least two weeks before the study starts. Surveys for you to fill out and consent forms for you and your parents to sign will be included in the package of materials that must be returned at the beginning of camp. Demographic data will be collected from the health history and medical information forms (age, height, weight, physical restrictions, diet restrictions/allergies), which are required by the Iowa 4-H Center to attend camp. Before the beginning of camp you will be assigned to one of two groups. The first, or control group will be assigned to the standard camp experience. This camp will be conducted in the same manner as camps from the previous year. The second, or experimental group will attend camp that has been formatted to include a "Wellness Immersion" experience, where your will focus on concepts related to health and wellness through diet and physical activity.

### Study protocol

Prior to the camp, at the end of the camp week, and six months after the camp you will be asked to complete surveys that will assess the home environment, your activity, and your knowledge of nutrition. If you are assigned to the control group, your camp experience will be similar to the experience of previous camps. If you are assigned to the experimental group, you will attend an immersion experience focusing on health and wellness. These will include modules on Nutrition Education, Gardening, Culinary Skills, Health Promoting Environments, and Physical Activity. During the camp, you will wear a small removable device on your ankle that will allow the investigators to determine the number of steps you have taken per minute throughout the week of camp.

3. How Long Will You Be in This Research Study?



You will be in this study for six months, including completing surveys before camp, the 1 week of camp and the follow-up surveys.

### 4. Why You Might Want To Take Part in This Research Study

This study will educate you about healthy eating habits and the knowledge necessary to have those habits in your life. You will also learn about foods and physical activity. You will participate in activities geared towards reinforcing the knowledge and healthy habits you may develop.

### 5. What Are the Risks of This Research Study?

You will not incur any risks greater than the minimal risks associated with attending summer camp. You will wear a small activity monitor on your ankle that may cause some minor discomfort.

### 6. What Other Choices Do You Have If You Don't Take Part in This Research Study?

You will gain a camp experience whether or not you are enrolled in this study. You do not have to enroll in this study to attend this summer camp.

### 7. Are there Reasons You Might Leave This Research Study Early?

Taking part in this research study is your decision. You may decide to stop at any time. You should tell the researcher if you or your parents decide to stop your participation.

In addition, the researchers or Iowa State University may stop you from taking part in this study at any time:

- if it is in your best interest,
- if you do not follow the study rules,
- if the study is stopped.

### 8. Will You Need to Pay for Any of the Tests and Procedures?

You will not need to pay for any procedures which are done just for this research study. These procedures are:

- Completion of surveys
- Physical activity monitoring

However, your parents and/or your health plan will need to pay for all other tests and procedures that you would normally have as part of his/her regular clinical care.

### 9. Will You Be Paid for Participating in this Research Study?



If you complete this study, you will earn a monetary reward.

- \$40 for returning preliminary surveys/forms and for completing surveys at the end of the camp week
- \$25 for completing six-month follow-up surveys

### 10. What Are Your Rights if You Are in This Research Study?

Taking part in this research study will not change your rights and benefits. Taking part in this research study does not give you any special privileges. If you decide to not participate in this study, or stop in the middle of the study, no benefits are taken away from you. Specifically, you do not have to be in this research study to receive or continue to receive medical care or to participate in the 4-H camp.

You and your parents will be told of important new findings or any changes in the study or procedures that may affect you or your willingness to continue in the study.

### 11. What About Your Privacy?

Your privacy is important to us, and we want to protect it as much as possible. This information might be in different places but we will only disclose information that is related to this research protocol for the purposes listed below.

This information will be given out for the proper monitoring of the study, checking the accuracy of study data, analyzing the study data, and other purposes necessary for the proper conduct and reporting of this study. If some of the information is reported in published medical journals or scientific discussions, it will be done in a way that does not directly identify you.

If this information is given out to anyone outside of Iowa State University, the information may no longer be protected by federal privacy regulations and may be given out by the person or entity that receives the information. However, Iowa State University will take steps to help other parties understand the need to keep this information confidential.

Records identifying participants will be kept confidential to the extent permitted by applicable laws and regulations and will not be made publicly available. However, federal government regulatory agencies, auditing departments of Iowa State University and the Institutional Review Board (a committee that reviews and approves human subject research studies) may inspect and/or copy your child's records for quality assurance and data analysis. These records may contain private information.

Confidentiality of all records is strictly maintained by established procedures. The original study data are kept in the study facility and are entered into a computer by the primary investigator. Physical records are stored under lock and key and electronic records through security pass words. The primary investigator will review all data. Study records will not identify you by name, but using a number.



### 12. What Will Happen to Your Samples?

No samples or physical specimens will be taken during this study

### 13. What is the Institutional Review Board (IRB) and How Does it Protect You?

The IRB reviews human research studies. It protects the rights and welfare of the people taking part in those studies. You may contact the IRB if you have questions about your rights as a participant or if you think you have been treated unfairly.

• If you have any questions about the rights of research subjects or research-related injury, please contact the IRB Administrator, (515) 294-4566, <u>IRB@iastate.edu</u>, or Director, Office of Responsible Research, (515) 294-3115, 1138 Pearson Hall, Ames, Iowa 50011.

### 14. Who Can Answer Your Questions?

Principal Investigator: Dr. Ruth Litchfield Phone: 515-294-9484

- Questions about the study tests and procedures
- Research-related injuries or emergencies
- Any research-related concerns or complaints

**IRB** Administrator

- Phone:515-294-4566
- Rights of a research subject
- Use of protected health information
- Any research-related concerns or complaints



### 15. Summary and Enrollment Signatures

You have been asked to take part in a research study, at Iowa State University. The information about this study has been provided to you and your parents to inform you about the nature of this IRB approved study. Please sign and date below to show that you agree to take part in the research. Please do not sign unless you have read the entire packet of information. If you do not want to sign, you don't have to, but you will not be able to participate in this study.

Remember:

- Joining the study is voluntary and you can still attend camp, even if you do not join the study.
- Nobody will be mad if you choose not to join the study.
- You can call the investigator and research staff at any time with any new questions or to tell them about side effects.
- You may stop being in the study at any time. Your parents may withdraw you from this study at any time.

(Date / Time)	(Printed Name of Participant)
	(Signature of Participant)
(Date / Time)	(Printed Name of Parent/Guardian)

(Signature of Parent/Guardian)



ISU IRB # 1	11-548
Approved Date:	7 December 2012
Expiration Date:	8 December 2014

### (Assent form for younger youth)

Assent Form to Take Part in a Research Study

TITLE: "Immersion in Wellness at State 4-H Center"

### **ASSENT FORM**

You are being asked to be in a research study. This research study lasts shortly before you start camp, while you are in camp, and then continues until 6 months after camp. You are being asked to take part in this research study because we want to understand how 4-H camp will teach you about healthy eating, food, and exercise.

Before you start the study, we will have you with help from your parents fill out paperwork that will tell us about you, where you live, how you eat, and how much you exercise.

While you are at camp, we will measure how much you move by putting a small step counter on your ankle. You can remove this monitor when you take a shower or go swimming. We will assign you to one of two groups. One of the groups will attend the regular 4-H camp. The other group will attend the same camp but will teach you a lot of information on growing food, how to eat healthy, how to cook, and will also give you lots of time to be physically active.

At the end of camp and six months after you went to camp we will ask you with help from your parents to fill out the same paperwork you filled out at the beginning of the study.

We will keep the information private that you give to us as part of being in the study. The study information is kept in the study office and is entered into a computer by the researcher. The electronic records on the computer are locked under security pass words. Any written records are stored under lock and key. Study records will not identify you by name, but using a number.

If you have any questions about this project you may call the researcher, Dr. Ruth Litchfield, at 515-294-9484. If you have questions about your rights as a research participant or think you have been treated unfairly, you can call the Institutional Review Board at Iowa State University at 515-294-4566. The Institutional Review Board is an office that helps protect people who join research projects.

If you do not want to be in this research project, you do not have to say yes or sign your name on this form. No one will be mad at you if you say no. You will still be able to go to camp if you do not want to be in this research project.

Date

(Printed name of parent/guardian)



### **APPENDIX G: RESEARCH PARTICIPANT RECEIPT FORM**

CONFIDENTIAL	CONFIDE		CONFIDEN	ISU IRB Approve Expiration	#1 11-548 d Date: 7 December 2012 on Date: 8 December 2014 CONFIDENTIAL
	ا Research P Use if thi	owa State articipant s paymer	University Receipt Form It is less tha	(RPRF) n \$75	
lowa State University research study partici of lowa, the Internal F to serve as document research study condu	(ISU) is require ipants while stil Revenue Servic tation of the rec icted by ISU pe	ed to maintai I complying v e (IRS), and eipt of comp rsonnel.	in the confidentia with record keepi funding agencie pensation associa	ality of infor ing require es. The pu ated with p	mation about ments of the State rpose of this form is articipation in a
I, (Print Research Participar	t Name)	, have the f	e received/or am form and amount	requesting t indicated	compensation in below:
🗆 Cash	\$				
□ Check	\$				
Gift Certific	ate/Card	\$			
Other Pron	erty – Describe	·			
	erty December	) (alua) ()			
		value. ş			
Research Participa	nt Signature			Da	ate
					]
Research participants may this receipt form.	. be given the opportu	inity to participate	without receiving pay	ment if they ch	oose not to complete
This form provides docume as part of your p-card docu	ntation for gift certific mentation.	ates/cards or oth	er property purchased	by ISU p-card	keep original form
If an ISU check needs to be 3606 ASB.	e issued for payment	, attach RPRF to	completed honoraria v	oucher and su	bmit to Accounting,



🟅 للاستشارات



### 5 Fun and Easy Snacks

Dlend fruits, veggies, juice, and ice (yogurt optional) popsicle molds. Yuml Freeze any extra in **1 SMOOTHIE** 

Spread peanut butter 2. WRAP

Add chopped fruits cheese on a wholeor low fat cream or veggies and wheat tortilla.

chocolate candies, dried

**dix unsalted nuts**,

TRAIL MIX

raisins, or apricots) and fruit (try cranberries,

a drizzle of honey. Delicious!

cheese, sliced fruit, and

sauce, veggies, and cheese OR top with low fat cream

English muffin with tomate op a pita or whole whea

**3. PIZZA** 



Layer yogurt or pudding and fruit. Top with granola whole grain cereal. or nuts. Tasty! 5 PARFAIT Dig in!

oll up. Enjoy!







YOU Can Do to Help Your

5 Things

2. Help make a grocery list. Include your favorite

1. Help find new recipes that use fruits and

vegetables to try at mealtime.

fruits and vegetables and at least one new one

each time you shop.



. I (Check out the camp blog to learn

favorite

recommendations. Winners get to choose their

who can meet the daily fruit and vegetable

3. Have a contest with your family to see

about adult recommendations for fruits and veg etables)

Offer to make your family a fun snack using

fruits and veg etables.

at camp! Teach them how fruits and vegetables

can help them stay healthy.

5. Talk to your family about what you learned

### **APPENDIX H: CAMP PUBLICATIONS**

# p and (

ì

🛱 للاستشارات

### Walk Your Way to Itness



day. Adults need 10,000 steps and A pedometer is a small tool that counts your steps throughout the youth need 12,000 steps daily. What is a pedometer?

with one hand and use your thumb To open, hold the top of the dip How do I wear it?

away from you. Gip the pedometer tightly on your waist (beltline) and directly above your knee, making to push the clasp on the cover sure it is level to the ground.

Each day, press and hold the "reset" button to set the How do luse it?

How do I know if it is working? pedometer to zero.

or less, adjust the pedometer forward toward your belly Set the pedometer to 0 and count out 20 steps. If the display reads 19-21 steps, you have positioned the pedameter correctly. If the step count is more button or back toward your hip until a correct step count is reached.



IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Extension and Outreach



How do I get my family to take more steps?

 Take the stairs instead of the elevator or escalator Take a walk before breakfast or after dinner Walk while you are talking on the phone Walk in place while watching television Walk to work or school if nearby Park further away at the store Walk to get the mail Walk the dog

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*



### in, poblical locate, segmed, or loc rouse of or part firstly, long prict, and starp, ser. J should contact service of 20 State State (TGD), USDM as more and or provide of the State State State of the second state of

## un for the intire lamily

physically active on a regular basis. The physical activity This amount of physical activity would also help adults recommendation for youth is 60 minutes per day. Nearly half of American youth and adults are not manage their weight and improve fitness.

Set family goals for the week and display these goals Being active doesn't have to be boring or repetitive

for everyone to see.

Find something that your entire family enjoys



Your daily 60 minutes of activity can be broken up throughout the day. Check out these examples

20 minutes, take your dog for a walk when you get home from school for 30 minutes, play Wi boxing for Kids Play tag during your morning recess for 10 minutes in the evening.

do yard work for 10 minutes when you get home from work, go on a family bke ride or hike for the remaining Parents: Walk for 20 minutes during your lunch break 30 minutes.



The more physically active you are, the better you will feel and the healthier you will bel

Work on being more active throughout the entire day



\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Use resistance bands while watching television Active Indoors

- · Dance to your favorite music Play charades or Simon-says
- Try a new workout video
- · Personally deliver a message at work or school Sales instead of e-mailing or calling . Clean the house for a garage



\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

· Plant a garden or do home repair activities Active Outdoors

- Train together for a charity walk or run Walk to get the mail daily

  - · Play croquet or badminton
  - Create a scavenger hunt Play tag in the park

der storde sigt, per antreget om dere wegte dere segte der antre freide intern, present dere referen eine einer Met de gestellte antre segten an flergenen i Present einkelten einen passe Mennet an ern het zuren antere der stor Met de gestellte antre segten an flergenen i Present einkelten einen passe Mennet an ern het zuren antere der store flergen einer dere store einkelten einen einen der store der store store der dere store dere dere dere Antre Mennet. Deres antere



i كم للاستشارات



لاستشارات

ì

### REFERENCES

- Ahmed, A., Oshiro, C., Loharuka, S., & Novotny, R. (2011). Perceptions of middle school educators in Hawai'i about school-based gardening and child health. *Hawaii Medical Journal*, 70(7 Suppl 1), 11–5. Retrieved from http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3158450&tool=pmcentr ez&rendertype=abstract
- Akaike, H. (1974). A new look at the statistical model indentification. *IEEE Transactions* on Automatic Control, 19(6), 716–723. doi:10.1109/TAC.1974.1100705
- Alaimo, K., Packnett, E., Miles, R., & Kruger, D. (2008). Fruit and vegetable intake among urban community gardeners. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, 40(2), 94–101. doi:10.1016/j.jneb.2006.12.003
- Ammerman, A., Lindquist, C., Lohr, K., & Hersey, J. (2002). The efficacy of behavioral interventions to modify dietary fat and fruit and vegetable intake: a review of the evidence. *Preventive Medicine*, 35(1), 25–41. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12079438
- Anderson, J., Bybee, D., Brown, R., McLean, D., Garcia, E., Breer, M., & Schillo, B. (2001). 5 a day fruit and vegetable intervention improves consumption in a low income population. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 101(2), 195–202. doi:10.1016/S0002-8223(01)00052-9
- Ball, S., Cohen, A., & Meyer, M. (2012). Jump Into Action. Journal of Extension [Online], 50(3), Article 3FEA4. Retrieved from http://www.joe.org/joe/2012june/a4.php
- Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. New York: Freeman.
- Bandura, A. (2000). Exercise of Human Agency Through Collective Efficacy. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 9(3), 75–78. doi:10.1111/1467-8721.00064
- Bandura, A. (2001). Social cognitive theory: an agentic perspective. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *52*, 1–26. doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.1
- Baranowski, T., Domel, S., Gould, R., Baranowski, J., Leonard, S., Treiber, F., & Mullis, R. (1993). Increasing fruit and vegetable consumption among 4th and 5th grade students: results from focus groups using reciprocal determinism. *Journal of Nutrition Education*, 25(3), 114–120. doi:10.1016/S0022-3182(12)80567-X
- Bazzano, L. (2006). The high cost of not consuming fruits and vegetables. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, *106*(9), 1364–1368. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2006.06.021
- Beech, B., Rice, R., Myers, L., Johnson, C., & Nicklas, T. (1999). Knowledge, attitudes, and practices related to fruit and vegetable consumption of high school students. *The*



*Journal of Adolescent Health*, 24(4), 244–250. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10227343

- Bere, E., & Klepp, K. (2004). Correlates of fruit and vegetable intake among Norwegian schoolchildren: parental and self-reports. *Public Health Nutrition*, 7(8), 991–998. doi:10.1079/PHN2004619
- Bere, E., & Klepp, K. (2005). Changes in accessibility and preferences predict children's future fruit and vegetable intake. *The International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 2, 15. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-2-15
- Birch, L. (1999). Development of food preferences. *Annual Review of Nutrition*, *19*, 41–62. doi:10.1146/annurev.nutr.19.1.41
- Blanchette, L., & Brug, J. (2005). Determinants of fruit and vegetable consumption among 6-12-year-old children and effective interventions to increase consumption. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics*, 18(6), 431–443. doi:10.1111/j.1365-277X.2005.00648.x
- Boutelle, K., Birkeland, R., Hannan, P., Story, M., & Neumark-Sztainer, D. (2007). Associations between maternal concern for healthful eating and maternal eating behaviors, home food availability, and adolescent eating behaviors. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, 39(5), 248–256. doi:10.1016/j.jneb.2007.04.179
- Brownell, K., Schwartz, M., Puhl, R., Henderson, K., & Harris, J. (2009). The need for bold action to prevent adolescent obesity. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 45(3 Suppl), S8–17. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2009.03.004
- Bruening, M., Eisenberg, M., MacLehose, R., Nanney, M., Story, M., & Neumark-Sztainer, D. (2012). Relationship between adolescents' and their friends' eating behaviors: breakfast, fruit, vegetable, whole-grain, and dairy intake. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, *112*(10), 1608–1613. doi:10.1016/j.jand.2012.07.008
- Bruening, M., Kubik, M., Kenyon, D., Davey, C., & Story, M. (2010). Perceived barriers mediate the association between self-efficacy and fruit and vegetable consumption among students attending alternative high schools. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 110(10), 1542–1546. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2010.07.001
- Brug, J., Tak, N., te Velde, S., Bere, E., & de Bourdeaudhuij, I. (2008). Taste preferences, liking and other factors related to fruit and vegetable intakes among schoolchildren: results from observational studies. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 99 Suppl 1, S7–S14. doi:10.1017/S0007114508892458
- Burnham, K., & Anderson, D. (2002). Bootstrap. Information and likelihood theory: a basis for model selection and inference. In *Model Selection and Multimodal*



*Inference: A Practical Information-Theoretic Approach* (2nd ed., pp. 90–105). New York: Springer.

- Cartwright, M., Wardle, J., Steggles, N., Simon, A., Croker, H., & Jarvis, M. (2003). Stress and dietary practices in adolescents. *Health Psychology*, 22(4), 362–369. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12940392
- Castro, D., Samuels, M., & Harman, A. (2013). Growing healthy kids: a community garden-based obesity prevention program. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 44(3 Suppl 3), S193–9. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2012.11.024
- Catenacci, V., & Wyatt, H. (2007). America on the move. *Medical Cinics of North America*, 91(6), 1079–89, viii. doi:10.1016/j.mcna.2007.06.011
- Condrasky, M., & Hegler, M. (2010). How culinary nutrition can save the health of a nation. *Journal of Extension [On-line]*, 48(2), Article 2COM1. Retrieved from http://www.joe.org/joe/2010april/comm1.php
- Cullen, K., Baranowski, T., Owens, E., Marsh, T., Rittenberry, L., & de Moor, C. (2003). Availability, accessibility, and preferences for fruit, 100% fruit juice, and vegetables influence children's dietary behavior. *Health Education and Behavior*, 30(5), 615– 626.
- Cutler, G., Flood, A., Hannan, P., & Neumark-Sztainer, D. (2011). Multiple sociodemographic and socioenvironmental characteristics are correlated with major patterns of dietary intake in adolescents. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 111(2), 230–240. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2010.10.052
- Daniels, S., Arnett, D., Eckel, R., Gidding, S., Hayman, L., Kumanyika, S., ... Williams, C. (2005). Overweight in children and adolescents: pathophysiology, consequences, prevention, and treatment. *Circulation*, 111(15), 1999–2012. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.0000161369.71722.10
- Di Noia, J., & Byrd-Bredbenner, C. (2013). Adolescent fruit and vegetable intake: influence of family support and moderation by home availability of relationships with afrocentric values and taste preferences. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, *113*(6), 803–808. doi:10.1016/j.jand.2013.02.001
- Dietz, W. (1998a). Childhood weight affects adult morbidity and mortality. *Journal of Nutrition*, *128*(2 Suppl), 411S–414S.
- Dietz, W. (1998b). Health consequences of obesity in youth: childhood predictors of adult disease. *Pediatrics*, *101*(3 Pt 2), 518–525. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12224658



- Domel, S., Baranowski, T., Hunter, D., Leonard, S., & Riley, P. (1993). Measuring fruit and vegetable preferences among 4th- and 5th-grade students. *Preventive Medicine*, 22(6), 866–879. doi:10.1006/pmed.1993.1078
- Domel, S., Thompson, W., Hunter, D., Baranowski, T., & Leonard, S. (1996). Psychosocial predictors of fruit and vegetable consumption among elementary school children. *Health Education Research*, 11(3), 299–308. doi:10.1093/her/11.3.299
- Dzewaltowski, D., Estabrooks, P., Welk, G., Hill, J., Milliken, G., Karteroliotis, K., & Johnston, J. (2009). Healthy youth places: a randomized controlled trial to determine the effectiveness of facilitating adult and youth leaders to promote physical activity and fruit and vegetable consumption in middle schools. *Health Education and Behavior*, *36*(3), 583–600. doi:10.1177/1090198108314619
- Elfhag, K., Tholin, S., & Rasmussen, F. (2008). Consumption of fruit, vegetables, sweets and soft drinks are associated with psychological dimensions of eating behaviour in parents and their 12-year-old children. *Public Health Nutrition*, *11*(9), 914–923. doi:10.1017/S1368980008002371
- Fisher, J., Mitchell, D., Smiciklas-Wright, H., & Birch, L. (2002). Parental influences on young girls' fruit and vegetable, micronutrient, and fat intakes. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, *102*(1), 58–64. Retrieved from http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2530939&tool=pmcentr ez&rendertype=abstract
- French, S., Lin, B., & Guthrie, J. (2003). National trends in soft drink consumption among children and adolescents age 6 to 17 years: Prevalence, amounts, and sources, 1977/1978 to 1994/1998. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 103(10), 1326–1331. doi:10.1016/S0002-8223(03)01076-9
- Gatto, N., Ventura, E., Cook, L., Gyllenhammer, L., & Davis, J. (2012). LA Sprouts: a garden-based nutrition intervention pilot program influences motivation and preferences for fruits and vegetables in Latino youth. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, *112*(6), 913–920. doi:10.1016/j.jand.2012.01.014
- Geller, K., & Dzewaltowski, D. (2010). Examining elementary school--aged children's self-efficacy and proxy efficacy for fruit and vegetable consumption. *Health Education and Behavior*, *37*(4), 465–478. doi:10.1177/1090198109347067
- Geller, K., Dzewaltowski, D., Rosenkranz, R., & Karteroliotis, K. (2009). Measuring children's self-efficacy and proxy efficacy related to fruit and vegetable consumption. *Journal of School Health*, 79(2), 51–57. doi:10.1111/j.1746-1561.2008.00376.x



- Glanz, K., Sallis, J., Saelens, B., & Frank, L. (2005). Healthy nutrition environments: concepts and measures. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 19(5), 330–333. doi:10.4278/0890-1171-19.5.330
- Gross, S., Pollock, E., & Braun, B. (2010). Family influence: key to fruit and vegetable consumption among fourth- and fifth-grade students. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, 42(4), 235–241. doi:10.1016/j.jneb.2009.05.007
- Grutzmacher, S., & Gross, S. (2011). Household food security and fruit and vegetable intake among low-income fourth-graders. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, 43(6), 455–463. doi:10.1016/j.jneb.2010.10.004
- Guenther, P., Dodd, K., Reedy, J., & Krebs-Smith, S. (2006). Most Americans eat much less than recommended amounts of fruits and vegetables. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, *106*(9), 1371–1379. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2006.06.002
- Hanson, N., Neumark-Sztainer, D., Eisenberg, M., Story, M., & Wall, M. (2005). Associations between parental report of the home food environment and adolescent intakes of fruits, vegetables and dairy foods. *Public Health Nutrition*, 8(1), 77–85.
- Hartline-Grafton, H., Henchy, G., & Levin, M. (2012). Healthier school meals: A summary of the new USDA standards for school breakfast and lunch. Retrieved October 07, 2013, from http://frac.org/federal-foodnutrition-programs/national-school-lunch-program/eligibility/
- Harvard School of Public Health. (2005). HSPH nutrition department's file download site. Retrieved May 28, 2013, from https://regepi.bwh.harvard.edu/health/KIDS/files/3. Youth.Adolescent Activity Questionnaire
- Harvard School of Public Health. (2013). HSPH nutrition department's file download site. Retrieved from https://regepi.bwh.harvard.edu/health/KIDS/files
- Harvey-Berino, J., Hood, V., Rourke, J., Terrance, T., Dorwaldt, A., & Secker-Walker, R. (1997). Food preferences predict eating behavior of very young Mohawk children. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 97(7), 750–753. doi:10.1016/S0002-8223(97)00186-7
- Heim, S., Bauer, K., Stang, J., & Ireland, M. (2011). Can a community-based intervention improve the home food environment? Parental perspectives of the influence of the delicious and nutritious garden. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, 43(2), 130–134. doi:10.1016/j.jneb.2010.01.003
- Heim, S., Stang, J., & Ireland, M. (2009). A garden pilot project enhances fruit and vegetable consumption among children. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 109(7), 1220–1226. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2009.04.009



- Hill, L., Casswell, S., Maskill, C., Jones, S., & Wyllie, A. (1998). Fruit and vegetables as adolescent food choices in New Zealand. *Health Promotion International*, 13(1), 55–65. doi:10.1093/heapro/13.1.55
- Hood, C., Martinez-Donate, A., & Meinen, A. (2012). Promoting healthy food consumption: a review of state-level policies to improve access to fruits and vegetables. WMJ: official publication of the State Medical Society of Wisconsin, 111(6), 283–288. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23362705
- Hoy, M., & Goldman, J. (2012). Potassium intake of the U.S. population: What We Eat In America, NHANES 2009- 2010. Food Surveys Research Group Dietary Data Brief, 10. Retrieved from http://ars.usda.gov/Services/docs.htm?docid=19476
- Hung, H., Joshipura, K., Jiang, R., Hu, F., Hunter, D., Smith-Warner, S., ... Willett, W. (2004). Fruit and vegetable intake and risk of major chronic disease. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 96(21), 1577–1584. doi:10.1093/jnci/djh296
- IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences for Windows. (2010).
- Institute of Medicine. (2006). Dietary Reference Intakes. Retrieved from http://www.iom.edu/reports/2006/dietary-reference-intakes-essential-guide-nutrientrequirements.aspx
- Kelder, S., Perry, C., Klepp, K., & Lytle, L. (1994). Longitudinal tracking of adolescent smoking, physical activity, and food choice behaviors. *American Journal of Public Health*, 84(7), 1121–1126. Retrieved from http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1614729&tool=pmcentr ez&rendertype=abstract
- Kemirembe, O., Radhakrishna, R., Gurgevich, E., Yoder, E., & Ingram, P. (2011). An evaluation of nutrition education program for low-income youth. *Journal of Extension [On-line]*, 49(3), Article 3RIB5. Retrieved from http://www.joe.org/joe/2011june/rb5.php
- Kimmons, J., Gillespie, C., Seymour, J., Serdula, M., & Blanck, H. (2009). Fruit and vegetable intake among adolescents and adults in the United States: percentage meeting individualized recommendations. *Medscape Journal of Medicine*, 11(1), 26. Retrieved from http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2654704&tool=pmcentr ez&rendertype=abstract
- Knai, C., Pomerleau, J., Lock, K., & McKee, M. (2006). Getting children to eat more fruit and vegetables: a systematic review. *Preventive Medicine*, 42(2), 85–95. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2005.11.012



- Koch, S., Waliczek, T., & Zajicek, J. (2006). The effect of a summer garden program on the nutritional knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors of children. *HortTechnology*, *16*(4), 620–625. Retrieved from http://horttech.ashspublications.org/content/16/4/620.short
- Koletzko, B., de la Guéronnière, V., Toschke, A., & von Kries, R. (2004). Nutrition in children and adolescents in Europe: what is the scientific basis? Introduction. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 92 Suppl 2, S67–73. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15522162
- Konishi, S., & Kitagawa, G. (2008). Bootstrap information criterion. In *Information Criteria and Statistical Modeling* (1st ed., pp. 187–208). New York: Springer.
- Kratt, P., Reynolds, K., & Shewchuk, R. (2000). The role of availability as a moderator of family fruit and vegetable consumption. *Health Education and Behavior*, 27(4), 471–482. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10929754
- Kreausukon, P., Gellert, P., Lippke, S., & Schwarzer, R. (2012). Planning and selfefficacy can increase fruit and vegetable consumption: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, *35*(4), 443–451. doi:10.1007/s10865-011-9373-1
- Krebs-Smith, S., Cook, A., Subar, A., Cleveland, L., Friday, J., & Kahle, L. (1996). Fruit and vegetable intakes of children and adolescents in the United States. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine*, 150(1), 81. doi:10.1001/archpedi.1996.02170260085014
- Krebs-Smith, S., Reedy, J., & Bosire, C. (2010). Healthfulness of the U.S. food supply: little improvement despite decades of dietary guidance. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 38(5), 472–477. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2010.01.016
- Kremers, S., Brug, J., de Vries, H., & Engels, R. (2003). Parenting style and adolescent fruit consumption. *Appetite*, *41*(1), 43–50. doi:10.1016/S0195-6663(03)00038-2
- Kristjansdottir, A., Thorsdottir, I., De Bourdeaudhuij, I., Due, P., Wind, M., & Klepp, K. (2006). Determinants of fruit and vegetable intake among 11-year-old schoolchildren in a country of traditionally low fruit and vegetable consumption. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, *3*, 41. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-3-41
- Krølner, R., Rasmussen, M., Brug, J., Klepp, K., Wind, M., & Due, P. (2011).
  Determinants of fruit and vegetable consumption among children and adolescents: a review of the literature. Part II: qualitative studies. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 8, 112. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-8-112
- Kunkel, K., Hurtado, G., Conrad, S., Routh, B., Joeng, J., & Harrison, M. (2013). Lessons in a box make a difference for head start youth. *Journal of Extension [On-*



*line]*, *51*(3), Article 3RIB4. Retrieved from http://www.joe.org/joe/2013june/rb4.php

- Larson, N., Laska, M., Story, M., & Neumark-Sztainer, D. (2012). Predictors of fruit and vegetable intake in young adulthood. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 112(8), 1216–1222. doi:10.1016/j.jand.2012.03.035
- Larson, N., Perry, C., Story, M., & Neumark-Sztainer, D. (2006). Food preparation by young adults is associated with better diet quality. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, *106*(12), 2001–2007. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2006.09.008
- Larson, N., Story, M., Eisenberg, M., & Neumark-Sztainer, D. (2006). Food preparation and purchasing roles among adolescents: associations with sociodemographic characteristics and diet quality. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 106(2), 211–218. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2005.10.029
- Lien, N., Lytle, L., & Klepp, K. (2001). Stability in consumption of fruit, vegetables, and sugary foods in a cohort from age 14 to age 21. *Preventive medicine*, *33*(3), 217–226. doi:10.1006/pmed.2001.0874
- Lineberger, S., & Zajicek, J. (2000). School gardens: can a hands-on teaching tool affect students' attitudes and behaviors regarding fruit and vegetables? *HortTechnology*, *10*(September), 593–597. Retrieved from http://horttech.ashspublications.org/content/10/3/593.short
- Lock, K., Pomerleau, J., Causer, L., Altmann, D., & McKee, M. (2005). The global burden of disease attributable to low consumption of fruit and vegetables : implications for the global strategy on diet. *International Journal of Public Health*, 83(2), 100–108. Retrieved from http://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/72961
- Lorson, B., Melgar-Quinonez, H., & Taylor, C. (2009). Correlates of fruit and vegetable intakes in US children. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, *109*(3), 474–478. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2008.11.022
- Lowe, C., Horne, P., Tapper, K., Bowdery, M., & Egerton, C. (2004). Effects of a peer modelling and rewards-based intervention to increase fruit and vegetable consumption in children. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 58(3), 510–522. doi:10.1038/sj.ejcn.1601838
- Magarey, A., Daniels, L., Boulton, T., & Cockington, R. (2003). Predicting obesity in early adulthood from childhood and parental obesity. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders*, 27(4), 505–513. doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0802251
- Mangunkusumo, R., Brug, J., de Koning, H., van der Lei, J., & Raat, H. (2007). Schoolbased internet-tailored fruit and vegetable education combined with brief



counselling increases children's awareness of intake levels. *Public health nutrition*, *10*(3), 273–279. doi:10.1017/S1368980007246671

MathWorks. (n.d.). MATLAB R2007A. Natick, MA.

- Matsumoto, M., & Nishimura, T. (1998). Mersenne Twister: A 623-dimensionally equidistributed uniform pseudorandom number generator. *ACM Transactions on Modeling and Computer Simulation*, 8(1), 3–30. doi:10.1145/272991.272995
- McAleese, J., & Rankin, L. (2007). Garden-based nutrition education affects fruit and vegetable consumption in sixth-grade adolescents. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, *107*(4), 662–665. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2007.01.015
- McCormack, L., Laska, M., Larson, N., & Story, M. (2010). Review of the nutritional implications of farmers' markets and community gardens: a call for evaluation and research efforts. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 110(3), 399–408. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2009.11.023
- McKinley, M., Lowis, C., Robson, P., Wallace, J., Morrissey, M., Moran, A., & Livingstone, M. (2005). It's good to talk: children's views on food and nutrition. *European journal of clinical nutrition*, *59*(4), 542–551. doi:10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602113
- Meengs, J., Roe, L., & Rolls, B. (2012). Vegetable variety: an effective strategy to increase vegetable intake in adults. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, *112*(8), 1211–1215. doi:10.1016/j.jand.2012.05.013
- Morris, J., Neustadter, A., & Zidenberg-Cherr, S. (2001). First-grade gardeners more likely to taste vegetables. *California Agriculture*, 43–46. Retrieved from http://californiaagriculture.ucanr.org/fileaccess.cfm?article=68883&p=XGKXRG&f iletip=pdf
- Morris, J., & Zidenberg-Cherr, S. (2002). Garden-enhanced nutrition curriculum improves fourth-grade school children's knowledge of nutrition and preferences for some vegetables. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 102(1), 91–93. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11794509
- Moss, A., Smith, S., Null, D., Long Roth, S., & Tragoudas, U. (2013). Farm to school and nutrition education: Positively affecting elementary school-aged children's nutrition knowledge and consumption behavior. *Childhood Obesity*, 9(1), 51–56. doi:10.1089/chi.2012.0056
- Munoz, K., Krebs-Smith, S., Ballard-Barbash, R., & Cleveland, L. (1997). Food intakes of US children and adolescents compared with recommendations. *Pediatrics*, *100*(3), 323–329. doi:10.1542/peds.100.3.323



- National Institutes of Health. (2013). Only half of U.S. youth meet physical activity standards, NIH study shows. *National Institutes of Health*. Retrieved July 11, 2013, from http://www.nih.gov/news/health/jun2013/nichd-25.htm
- Nelson, S., Corbin, M., & Nickols-Richardson, S. (2013). A call for culinary skills education in childhood obesity-prevention interventions: current status and peer influences. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, *113*(8), 1031–1036. doi:10.1016/j.jand.2013.05.002
- Ness, A., & Powles, J. (1997). Fruit and vegetables, and cardiovascular disease: a review. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 26(1), 1–13. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9126498
- Neumark-Sztainer, D, Story, M., Perry, C., & Casey, M. (1999). Factors influencing food choices of adolescents: findings from focus-group discussions with adolescents. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 99(8), 929–937. doi:10.1016/S0002-8223(99)00222-9
- Neumark-Sztainer, Dianne, Wall, M., Perry, C., & Story, M. (2003). Correlates of fruit and vegetable intake among adolescents. *Preventive Medicine*, *37*(3), 198–208. doi:10.1016/S0091-7435(03)00114-2
- Neumark-Sztainer, Story, Resnick, & Blum. (1996). Correlates of inadequate fruit and vegetable consumption among adolescents. *Preventive Medicine*, 25(5), 497–505. doi:10.1006/pmed.1996.0082
- Nicklas, T., Baranowski, T., Baranowski, J., Cullen, K., Rittenberry, L., & Olvera, N. (2001). Family and child-care provider influences on preschool children's fruit, juice, and vegetable consumption. *Nutrition Reviews*, 59(7), 224–235. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11475448
- Nielsen, S. (2003). Patterns and trends in food portion sizes, 1977-1998. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 289(4), 450–453. doi:10.1001/jama.289.4.450
- Ogden, C., Carroll, M., Curtin, L., Lamb, M., & Flegal, K. (2010). Prevalence of high body mass index in US children and adolescents, 2007-2008. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, *303*(3), 242–249. doi:10.1001/jama.2009.2012
- Ogden, C., Carroll, M., Kit, B., & Flegal, K. (2012). Prevalence of obesity and trends in body mass index among US children and adolescents, 1999-2010. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 307(5), 483–90. doi:10.1001/jama.2012.40
- Ozer, E. (2007). The effects of school gardens on students and schools: conceptualization and considerations for maximizing healthy development. *Health Education and Behavior*, *34*(6), 846–863. doi:10.1177/1090198106289002



- Parmer, S., Salisbury-Glennon, J., Shannon, D., & Struempler, B. (2009). School gardens: an experiential learning approach for a nutrition education program to increase fruit and vegetable knowledge, preference, and consumption among second-grade students. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, 41(3), 212– 217. doi:10.1016/j.jneb.2008.06.002
- Patrick, H., & Nicklas, T. (2005). A review of family and social determinants of children's eating patterns and diet quality. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, 24(2), 83–92.
- Patrick, H., Nicklas, T., Hughes, S., & Morales, M. (2005). The benefits of authoritative feeding style: caregiver feeding styles and children's food consumption patterns. *Appetite*, *44*(2), 243–249. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2002.07.001
- Pearson, N., Ball, K., & Crawford, D. (2012). Parental influences on adolescent fruit consumption: the role of adolescent self-efficacy. *Health Education Research*, 27(1), 14–23. doi:10.1093/her/cyr051
- Pearson, N., Biddle, S., & Gorely, T. (2009). Family correlates of fruit and vegetable consumption in children and adolescents: a systematic review. *Public Health Nutrition*, *12*(2), 267–283. doi:10.1017/S1368980008002589
- Pomerleau, J., Lock, K., Knai, C., & McKee, M. (2005). Interventions designed to increase adult fruit and vegetable intake can be effective: a systematic review of the literature. *Journal of Nutrition*, 135(10), 2486–2495. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16177217
- Rabe, M., Ohri-Vachaspati, P., & Scheer, S. (2006). The influence of the youth expanded food and nutrition education program on nutrition knowledge and self-reported behaviors of elementary school children. *Journal of Extension [On-line]*, 44(3), Article 3RIB6. Retrieved from http://www.joe.org/joe/2006june/rb6.php
- Rampersaud, G., Bailey, L., & Kauwell, G. (2003). National survey beverage consumption data for children and adolescents indicate the need to encourage a shift toward more nutritive beverages. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 103(1), 97–100. doi:10.1053/jada.2003.50006
- Rasmussen, M., Krølner, R., Klepp, K., Lytle, L., Brug, J., Bere, E., & Due, P. (2006).
  Determinants of fruit and vegetable consumption among children and adolescents: a review of the literature. Part I: Quantitative studies. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, *3*, 22. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-3-22
- Redmond, B., & Furnish, T. (2013). 7. Self-Efficacy and Social Cognitive Theories -PSYCH 484: Work Attitudes and Job Motivation - Confluence. Retrieved July 08, 2013, from https://wikispaces.psu.edu/display/PSYCH484/7.+Self-Efficacy+and+Social+Cognitive+Theories



- Reinaerts, E., de Nooijer, J., Candel, M., & de Vries, N. (2007). Explaining school children's fruit and vegetable consumption: the contributions of availability, accessibility, exposure, parental consumption and habit in addition to psychosocial factors. *Appetite*, 48(2), 248–258. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2006.09.007
- Resnicow, K., Davis-Hearn, M., Smith, M., Baranowski, T., Lin, L., Baranowski, J., ... Wang, D. (1997). Social-cognitive predictors of fruit and vegetable intake in children. *Health Psychology*, 16(3), 272–276. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9152706
- Reynolds, K., Baranowski, T., Bishop, D., Farris, R., Binkley, D., Nicklas, T., & Elmer, P. (1999). Patterns in child and adolescent consumption of fruit and vegetables: effects of gender and ethnicity across four sites. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, 18(3), 248–254. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10376781
- Reynolds, K., Hinton, A., Shewchuk, R., & Hickey, C. (1999). Social cognitive model of fruit and vegetable consumption in elementary school children. *Journal of Nutrition Education*, *31*(1), 23–30. doi:10.1016/S0022-3182(99)70381-X
- Robinson-O'Brien, R., Neumark-Sztainer, D., Hannan, P., Burgess-Champoux, T., & Haines, J. (2009). Fruits and vegetables at home: child and parent perceptions. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, 41(5), 360–364. doi:10.1016/j.jneb.2008.08.003
- Rockett, H, Breitenbach, M., Frazier, A., Witschi, J., Wolf, A., Field, A., & Colditz, G. (1997). Validation of a youth/adolescent food frequency questionnaire. *Preventive medicine*, 26(6), 808–816. doi:10.1006/pmed.1997.0200
- Rockett, Helaine. (2013, May 24). Youth Adolescent Questionnaire Daily Equivalents. *Personal Communication*.
- Sandeno, C., Wolf, G., Drake, T., & Reicks, M. (2000). Behavioral strategies to increase fruit and vegetable intake by fourth- through sixth-grade students. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 100(7), 828–830. doi:10.1016/S0002-8223(00)00239-X
- Schindler, J., Corbett, D., & Forestell, C. (2013). Assessing the effect of food exposure on children's identification and acceptance of fruit and vegetables. *Eating behaviors*, 14(1), 53–56. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2012.10.013
- Schwimmer, J., Burwinkle, T., & Varni, J. (2003). Health-related quality of life of severely obese children and adolescents. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 289(14), 1813–1819. doi:10.1001/jama.289.14.1813



- Sheehy, A., & Dharod, J. (2008). The difference between physical activity and nutrition attitudes and behaviors among Maine high school students. *Journal of Extension* [On-line], 46(6), Article 6RIB5. Retrieved from http://www.joe.org/joe/2008december/rb5.php
- Steinmetz, K., & Potter, J. (1996). Vegetables, fruit, and cancer prevention: a review. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 96(10), 1027–1039. doi:10.1016/S0002-8223(96)00273-8
- Story, M., Ark-Sztainer, D., & French, S. (2002). Individual and environmental influences on adolescent eating behaviors. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 102(3), S40–S51. doi:10.1016/S0002-8223(02)90421-9
- Struempler, B., & Raby, A. (2005). Pizza please: An interactive nutrition evaluation for second and third grade students. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*. Retrieved February 28, 2013, from http://www2.furman.edu/academics/HSC/Documents/JNEB 2005a.pdf
- Svastisalee, C., Holstein, B., & Due, P. (2012). Fruit and vegetable intake in adolescents: association with socioeconomic status and exposure to supermarkets and fast food outlets. *Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism*, 2012. doi:10.1155/2012/185484
- Taber, D., Chriqui, J., & Chaloupka, F. (2013). State laws governing school meals and disparities in fruit/vegetable intake. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 44(4), 365–372. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2012.11.038
- Te Velde, S., Twisk, J., & Brug, J. (2007). Tracking of fruit and vegetable consumption from adolescence into adulthood and its longitudinal association with overweight. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 98(02), 431–438. Retrieved from http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract\_S0007114507721451
- Thompson, V., Bachman, C., Baranowski, T., & Cullen, K. (2007). Self-efficacy and norm measures for lunch fruit and vegetable consumption are reliable and valid among fifth grade students. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, 39(1), 2– 7. doi:10.1016/j.jneb.2006.06.006
- Thomson, C., & Ravia, J. (2011). A systematic review of behavioral interventions to promote intake of fruit and vegetables. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, *111*(10), 1523–1535. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2011.07.013
- Twiss, J., Dickinson, J., Duma, S., Kleinman, T., Paulsen, H., & Rilveria, L. (2003). Community gardens: lessons learned from California Healthy Cities and Communities. *American Journal of Public Health*, 93(9), 1435–1438. Retrieved from

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1447988&tool=pmcentr ez&rendertype=abstract



- U.S. Department of Agriculture. (2013a). National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference. Retrieved from http://ndb.nal.usda.gov/ndb/foods/show/3048
- U.S. Department of Agriculture. (2013b). Economic Research Service Food Availability Data System. Retrieved from http://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/food-availability-(per-capita)-data-system/.aspx#.UjnqG3\_O\_Tp
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, & Agricultural Research Service. (2012). Nutrient Intakes from Food: Mean Amounts Consumed per Individual, by Gender and Age, What We Eat in America, NHANES 2009-2010. Retrieved from www.ars.usda.gov/ba/bhnrc/fsrg
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, & U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2010). Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2010. *7th Edition, Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office*. Retrieved July 10, 2013, from http://www.health.gov/dietaryguidelines/2010.asp
- Utter, J., Scragg, R., Schaaf, D., & Mhurchu, C. (2008). Relationships between frequency of family meals, BMI and nutritional aspects of the home food environment among New Zealand adolescents. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 5(1), 50. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-5-50
- Van Duyn, M., Kristal, A., Dodd, K., Campbell, M., Subar, A., Stables, G., ... Glanz, K. (2001). Association of awareness, intrapersonal and interpersonal factors, and stage of dietary change with fruit and vegetable consumption: a national survey. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 16(2), 69–78. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11727591
- Van Duyn, M., & Pivonka, E. (2000). Overview of the health benefits of fruit and vegetable consumption for the dietetics professional: selected literature. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 100(12), 1511–1521. doi:10.1016/S0002-8223(00)00420-X
- Van Stan, S., Lessard, L., & Dupont-Phillips, K. (2013). The impact of a statewide training to increase child care providers' knowledge of nutrition and physical activity rules in Delaware. *Childhood Obesity*, 9(1), 43–50. doi:10.1089/chi.2012.0057
- Wang, C., Bleich, S., & Gortmaker, S. (2008). Increasing caloric contribution from sugar-sweetened beverages and 100% fruit juices among US children and adolescents, 1988-2004. *Pediatrics*, 121(6), e1604–14. doi:10.1542/peds.2007-2834
- Wardle, J, Herrera, M., Cooke, L., & Gibson, E. (2003). Modifying children's food preferences: the effects of exposure and reward on acceptance of an unfamiliar vegetable. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 57(2), 341–348. doi:10.1038/sj.ejcn.1601541



- Wardle, Jane. (1995). Parental influences on children's diets. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society, 54(03), 747–758. Retrieved from http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract\_S0029665195000772
- Wardle, Jane, Carnell, S., & Cooke, L. (2005). Parental control over feeding and children's fruit and vegetable intake: how are they related? *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 105(2), 227–232. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2004.11.006
- Whitlock, E., Williams, S., Gold, R., Smith, P., & Shipman, S. (2005). Screening and interventions for childhood overweight: a summary of evidence for the US Preventive Services Task Force. *Pediatrics*, 116(1), e125–44. doi:10.1542/peds.2005-0242
- Wolfe, W., & Campbell, C. (1993). Food pattern, diet quality, and related characteristics of schoolchildren in New York State. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 93(11), 1280–1284. doi:10.1016/0002-8223(93)91955-P
- Wyse, R., Campbell, E., Nathan, N., & Wolfenden, L. (2011). Associations between characteristics of the home food environment and fruit and vegetable intake in preschool children: a cross-sectional study. *BMC public health*, 11, 938. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-11-938
- Yeh, M., Ickes, S., Lowenstein, L., Shuval, K., Ammerman, A., Farris, R., & Katz, D. (2008). Understanding barriers and facilitators of fruit and vegetable consumption among a diverse multi-ethnic population in the USA. *Health Promotion International*, 23(1), 42–51. doi:10.1093/heapro/dam044



### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to thank my major professor, Ruth Litchfield, for her continuous support and encouragement throughout my graduate degree. Her guidance and wisdom has advanced my knowledge markedly. Next, I would like to thank my committee members, Lorraine Lanningham-Foster and Daniel Russell, for their assistance, suggestions, and for serving on my committee. Randy Foster was a tremendous help with the project statistics. This project would not have been possible without the collaboration between Iowa 4-H State center staff, ISU Extension and Outreach employees, Boone County Master Gardeners, ISU faculty and graduate students, and Greek House Chefs. Finally, I would like to thank my family and friends for their support and words of encouragement and the Food Science and Human Nutrition staff for their assistance during my graduate degree at Iowa State University.



137

www.manaraa.com